1 TOWNSHIP OF MILLSTONE PLANNING BOARD 2 COUNTY OF MONMOUTH - STATE OF NEW JERSEY 3 SPECIAL MEETING FOR: 4 MASTER PLAN 5 MILLSTONE TOWNSHIP ADOPTION OF THE TOWNSHIP'S AMENDED HOUSING ELEMENT AND FAIR SHARE PLAN 6 7 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 8 PUBLIC HEARING WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 29, 2020 9 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 10 MITCHELL NEWMAN, CHAIRMAN 11 ROBERT BECK ANTHONY CONOSCENTI 12 STACIE M. CURTIS NANCY GRBELJA, DEPUTY MAYOR 13 ROSE OXLEY 14 THOMAS PADO CHRIS PEPE, VICE-CHAIRMAN MARY PINNEY 15 JEFFREY ZINER 16 ALSO PRESENT: 17 MICHAEL B. STEIB, ESQUIRE, Board Attorney MATT SHAFAI, P.E., Board Engineer 18 M. MCKINLEY MERTZ, P.P., AICP, Board Planner 19 PAMELA D'ANDREA, Board Secretary 20 STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY: ANGELA BUONANTUONO, CCR, RPR, CLR 21 NJ Licensed Court Reporter 22 23 AB COURT REPORTING, LLC 24 26 Algonquin Terrace Millstone Township, New Jersey 08535 Tel: (732)882-3590 25 angelabuonocsr@gmail.com

1

TRANSCRIPT of the

computerized stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before ANGELA C. BUONANTUONO, a Certified Court Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, held at THE TOWNSHIP OF MILLSTONE MUNICIPAL COURT BUILDING, 215 Millstone Road, Millstone Township, New Jersey 08535 on Wednesday, January 29, 2020, commencing at 7:30 in the evening.

			3
1		<u>index</u>	
2	PUBLIC COMMENT:		
3			
4	ERIC DAVIS	210 Disbrow Hill Road	69
5	BOB SANICKI	723 Perrineville Road	80
6	PEGGY MALKIN	Roosevelt	83
7	MIKE HAMILTON	82 Pine Drive, Roosevelt	89
8	MICHAEL RUIZ	722 Perrineville Road	101
9	KYLE SANICKI	723 Perrineville Road	111
10	MIKE PISAURO, ESQ.	31 Titus Mill Road, Pennington	117
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	4	1	6
1	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Good evening,	1	MS. OXLEY: Yes.
2	everyone. We're going to call the meeting to order.	2	MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Pado?
3	Welcome to the January 29th meeting of the Millstone	3	MR. PADO: Yes.
4	Township Planning Board.	4	MRS. D'ANDREA: Vice-Chairman Pepe?
5	Pam, would you please read the	5	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Yes.
6	Statement of Adequate Notice?	6	MRS. D'ANDREA: Ms. Pinney?
7	MRS. D'ANDREA: Let the minutes show	7	MS. PINNEY: Yes.
8	that adequate notice of this meeting, as required by	8	MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Ziner?
9	P.L. 1975, Chapter 231, has been provided by	9	MR. ZINER: Yes.
10	publication in The Asbury Park Press and the Times	10	MRS. D'ANDREA: Ms. Curtis?
11	of Trenton, posted on the Township bulletin board	11	MS. CURTIS: Here.
12	and filed with the Township Clerk on the same date.	12	MRS. D'ANDREA: And Mr. Arpaia is
13	I do have an additional item to read.	13	absent.
14	The Township of Millstone will be videotaping and	14	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. Thank you.
15	recording this meeting for replay on the Millstone	15	MRS. D'ANDREA: And may we table the
16	PEG Channel. By attending this evening, attendees	16	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: The minutes? Okay.
17	acknowledge this recording and agree to allow their	17	MRS. D'ANDREA: the meeting minutes,
18	images to be recorded.	18	please, until the 12th?
19	All attendees and participants agree to	19	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So that will be
20	conduct themselves in a manner appropriate for	20	on for our next meeting?
21	public gathering. Individual speakers should be	21	MRS. D'ANDREA: That's correct.
22	advised that no right of public of privacy	22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Which is
23	protects a person's public comments made in a public	23	February 12th.
24	forum. Accordingly, all participants bear	24	MRS. D'ANDREA: Yes. Thank you.
25	responsibility for their own statements and	25	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Our agenda
	5		7
1	commentary.	1	identifies a public comment portion for 15 minutes
2	And I would just ask that everyone turn	2	and then our primary agenda item, which is our
3	off their cell phones, or just mute them.	3	Master Plan.
4	CHAIRMAN MITCHELL: Okay. Thank you.	4	So if anybody would like to approach
5	Would everyone, please, stand and join us in a flag	5	the Planning Board with any comments that do not
6	salute.	6	pertain to the Master Plan, this would be that
7	(Pledge of Allegiance.)	7	opportunity in our general public comment section.
8	Mike, it says in our agenda there might	8	But if your comment is related to the Master Plan
9	be members still to be sworn in? It has been	9	topic at hand, then there will be a separate,
10	carried over from last month.	10	lengthier-than-15-minute, comment period.
11	MR. STEIB: That is a carryover. Ms.	11	So I would like to open it at this
12	Pinney has signed an oath and has been sworn.	12	point for the public comment not otherwise on items
13	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. Thank you.	13	that are scheduled for our agenda.
14	And, Pam, would you please call the	14	Thank you. Seeing none, we'll close
15	roll?	15	that public comment portion and move on with our
16	MRS. D'ANDREA: Yes. Mr. Beck?	16	agenda, which is the consideration of the Amended
17	MR. BECK: Yes.	17	Housing Element and Fair Share Plan to our Master
18	MRS. D'ANDREA: Deputy Major Grbelja?	18	Plan.
19	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Here.	19	What I'm going to ask this evening is
20	MRS. D'ANDREA: Okay. Mayor Masci is	20	that our attorney, Mr. Steib, will give an
21	not here. Mr. Conoscenti?	21	introduction as to the process and the procedure and
22	MR. CONOSCENTI: Here.	22	what leads up to the hearing that we're going to
1 2 2	MRS. D'ANDREA: Chairman Newman?	23	have tonight. And then we'll turn it over to our
23			
23 24 25	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Ms. Oxley?	24 25	planner and she will give a more detailed analysis of this amendment.

	8	1	10
1	o The board will then, of course, have an	1	event a municipality falls short of meeting their
1		1	
2	opportunity to talk and question our professionals.	2	obligation, then their zoning regulations are
3	And we'll then open it up to the public and you will	3	considered to be exclusionary and unconstitutional
4	have an opportunity to ask questions or present	4	and can be thrown out, essentially.
5	comments. And when you do so, we would ask you to	5	Shortly thereafter, in 1983, the
6	step forward and come up to the microphone. You are	6	Supreme Court had the Mount Laurel II case. And in
7	welcome to sit if that's more comfortable. And	7	that case the Court found that its case in 1975 had
8	we'll ask for your name and address and you will be	8	not been very well accepted by municipalities, and
9	sworn in, in case you're going to provide comments	9	municipalities were not complying with providing
10	or testimony other than just questions.	10	regulations to meet their housing need for their
11	Just because we do have a rather large	11	affordable housing in the region.
12	group and you might say, well, this doesn't seem	12	And they the Court then constructed
13	like a large group by numbers, it is a larger group	13	what has been called the builder's remedy. So that
14	than we sometimes see at meetings, so we will try to	14	if a municipality has not complied with this
15	ask that you keep your comments or questions into	15	obligation, the Court can impose what they call a
16	around a five-minute range.	16	builder's remedy upon that municipality, which
17	We do not have a timer, I promise, but	17	includes imposing, or I should say, first, declaring
18	we're just trying to make sure everyone gets an	18	the ordinances to be invalid and unconstitutional,
19	opportunity to speak. But there will be flexibility	19	and then considering lawsuits by builders to come in
20	with that, of course. We are certainly interested	20	on whatever property they desire and request the
21	in what you have to say or any questions that you	21	Court to compel the municipality to accept
22	might have.	22	high-density development in those areas.
23	So with that, Mike, unless there's any	23	The Mount Laurel Doctrine, as it has
24	other introductory comments you think we need to	24	evolved, consists of three principal concepts. The
25	make, I'll turn it over to you.	25	first principal concept is what we call the
	٥		11
	9 MD STEID: No that's fing My		11
1	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My	1	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that
2	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed	2	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a
2 3	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine.		municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality
2 3 4	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background	2 3 4	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel
2 3 4 5	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme	2 3 4 5	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the	2 3 4 5 6	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u>	2 3 4 5 6 7	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the needs and desires and the resources to have a place	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for high-density development.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the needs and desires and the resources to have a place to live within the community.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for high-density development. The second concept is compliance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the needs and desires and the resources to have a place	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for high-density development.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the needs and desires and the resources to have a place to live within the community. The obligation extends beyond just the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for high-density development. The second concept is compliance standards, which is once you know what your number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the needs and desires and the resources to have a place to live within the community. The obligation extends beyond just the municipal boundaries but extends to a regional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for high-density development. The second concept is compliance standards, which is once you know what your number is, what kind of development will the courts or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the needs and desires and the resources to have a place to live within the community. The obligation extends beyond just the municipal boundaries but extends to a regional boundary. And in the case of Millstone Township,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for high-density development. The second concept is compliance standards, which is once you know what your number is, what kind of development will the courts or under the Fair Housing Act, COAH, which is now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the needs and desires and the resources to have a place to live within the community. The obligation extends beyond just the municipal boundaries but extends to a regional boundary. And in the case of Millstone Township, our region consists of Monmouth County, Ocean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for high-density development. The second concept is compliance standards, which is once you know what your number is, what kind of development will the courts or under the Fair Housing Act, COAH, which is now defunct, but at one point COAH would determine what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the needs and desires and the resources to have a place to live within the community. The obligation extends beyond just the municipal boundaries but extends to a regional boundary. And in the case of Millstone Township, our region consists of Monmouth County, Ocean County, and Mercer County. So we are Region 4;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for high-density development. The second concept is compliance standards, which is once you know what your number is, what kind of development will the courts or under the Fair Housing Act, COAH, which is now defunct, but at one point COAH would determine what kind of development will satisfy your need, will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the needs and desires and the resources to have a place to live within the community. The obligation extends beyond just the municipal boundaries but extends to a regional boundary. And in the case of Millstone Township, our region consists of Monmouth County, Ocean County, and Mercer County. So we are Region 4; that's our region. And that is the group of persons	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for high-density development. The second concept is compliance standards, which is once you know what your number is, what kind of development will the courts or under the Fair Housing Act, COAH, which is now defunct, but at one point COAH would determine what kind of development will satisfy your need, will count against that number that you have to come up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the needs and desires and the resources to have a place to live within the community. The obligation extends beyond just the municipal boundaries but extends to a regional boundary. And in the case of Millstone Township, our region consists of Monmouth County, Ocean County, and Mercer County. So we are Region 4; that's our region. And that is the group of persons that we are directed to by the Supreme Court to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for high-density development. The second concept is compliance standards, which is once you know what your number is, what kind of development will the courts or under the Fair Housing Act, COAH, which is now defunct, but at one point COAH would determine what kind of development will satisfy your need, will count against that number that you have to come up with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. STEIB: No, that's fine. My portion of this is to kind of bring you up to speed on what has been called the Mount Laurel Doctrine. And just give you a little background as to how we got here today, in 1975 the Supreme Court of this state came down with a decision in the case of <u>South Burlington County N.A.A.C.P. v. The</u> <u>Township of Mount Laurel</u> . And in that case the Supreme Court made a declaration that every municipality in the state has a constitutional obligation to affirmatively plan and provide for, in its Land Use Regulations, a reasonable opportunity for persons of low and moderate income to meet the needs and desires and the resources to have a place to live within the community. The obligation extends beyond just the municipal boundaries but extends to a regional boundary. And in the case of Millstone Township, our region consists of Monmouth County, Ocean County, and Mercer County. So we are Region 4; that's our region. And that is the group of persons that we are directed to by the Supreme Court to address in connection with our Mount Laurel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	municipal obligation, which is the number. And that is coming up with a methodology to determine how a municipality, or I should say, how a municipality must, in terms of quantity, address a Mount Laurel obligation. So in the courts, after Mount Laurel II, the courts adopted methodologies through expert witnesses that came in in builder's remedy cases and would use that methodology and impose a number on that municipality and say, this is your obligation, this is what you have to meet. And if you haven't met it, we're going to make you meet it. And that was done by granting builder's remedies for high-density development. The second concept is compliance standards, which is once you know what your number is, what kind of development will the courts or under the Fair Housing Act, COAH, which is now defunct, but at one point COAH would determine what kind of development will satisfy your need, will count against that number that you have to come up with. And then the third concept was

	12		14
1	very, very bad results with courts determining	1	3. Those too were challenged by the builder's
2	extremely high obligations for them to meet that	2	community and those too were, in large part, found
3	were unconscionable, the public outcry from that	3	to be unconstitutional and improper and thrown out.
4	caused the legislature to adopt the Fair Housing Act	4	And the Supreme Court directed COAH
5	in 1975, which created COAH, the Council on	5	once again to come up with a new set of Round 3
6	Affordable Housing.	6	regulations, which they came up with the
7	And COAH was directed to develop new	7	regulations, however, they never adopted them. When
8	regulations and methodologies as to how to arrive at	8	it came time to adopt them, in 2014, there was a
9	the number for each municipality in the entire state	9	three-three tie, three for, three against, so the
10	and then the methodology, the methods by which a	10	motion failed. And at that point, the Fair Share
11	municipality could comply with that number and meet	11	Housing Center, which is a public advocate for
12	that number. What kinds of development would	12	affordable housing, went to the Supreme Court and
13	qualify as a credit against that number.	13	said, Supreme Court, we now have been waiting for
14	And the third thing was immunity. And	14	over ten years for COAH to do its job. They haven't
15	COAH adopted in its regulations the ability for a	15	done their job. They're defunct. And we want you,
16	municipality to get immunity from builder's remedy	16	the courts, to take over and let's go back to Mount
17	suits. So that if you applied with COAH, and if you	17	Laurel II and have the courts as the first resort
18	submitted your documentation as COAH required, and	18	for determining what the obligation is and how you
19	basically played by COAH's rules, you would be	19	satisfy that obligation and whether or not somebody
20	immune from builder's remedy suits as a	20	gets immunity.
20	municipality.	20	And that was that went to the
22	So that went on for some time and we	22	Supreme Court on March 15th of March 12th of
23	had what they called rounds, which are six-year	23	2015. The Supreme Court said, yes, Fair Share
23	periods. The first round of COAH was from 1987 to	23	Housing, you're right, COAH hasn't done its job.
24	1993. That was Round 1. Round 2 was 1993 to 1999.	24 25	COAH is moribund. And we are now going to take
25	1995. That was Round 1. Round 2 was 1995 to 1999.	25	COATTIS Monbullu. And we are now going to take
	13		15
1	13 That was Round 2.	1	15 everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively
1	That was Round 2.	1	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively
2	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone	2	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on
2 3	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their	2 3	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts.
2 3 4	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number	2 3 4	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme
2 3 4 5	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how	2 3 4 5	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the
2 3 4 5 6	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases	2 3 4 5 6	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality
2 3 4 5 6 7	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together	2 3 4 5 6 7	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt regulations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number. And at that same time they could also request the Court, and say to the Court, we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt regulations. When they adopted those regulations, they were challenged by the builders community.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number. And at that same time they could also request the Court, and say to the Court, we have been doing what we could. We tried through COAH to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt regulations. When they adopted those regulations, they were challenged by the builders community. They went up through the court system and were found	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number. And at that same time they could also request the Court, and say to the Court, we have been doing what we could. We tried through COAH to get things done. COAH dropped the ball on us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt regulations. When they adopted those regulations, they were challenged by the builders community. They went up through the court system and were found to be invalid because the methodology that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number. And at that same time they could also request the Court, and say to the Court, we have been doing what we could. We tried through COAH to get things done. COAH dropped the ball on us. We've been acting in good faith, so we're still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt regulations. When they adopted those regulations, they were challenged by the builders community. They went up through the court system and were found to be invalid because the methodology that they used, which was different than the prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number. And at that same time they could also request the Court, and say to the Court, we have been doing what we could. We tried through COAH to get things done. COAH dropped the ball on us. We've been acting in good faith, so we're still entitled to the immunity that we had under COAH.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt regulations. When they adopted those regulations, they were challenged by the builders community. They went up through the court system and were found to be invalid because the methodology that they used, which was different than the prior methodologies, which had been attacked as not being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number. And at that same time they could also request the Court, and say to the Court, we have been doing what we could. We tried through COAH to get things done. COAH dropped the ball on us. We've been acting in good faith, so we're still entitled to the immunity that we had under COAH. And that is, in this case, exactly what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt regulations. When they adopted those regulations, they were challenged by the builders community. They went up through the court system and were found to be invalid because the methodology that they used, which was different than the prior methodologies, which had been attacked as not being satisfactory by municipalities, as still being too	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number. And at that same time they could also request the Court, and say to the Court, we have been doing what we could. We tried through COAH to get things done. COAH dropped the ball on us. We've been acting in good faith, so we're still entitled to the immunity that we had under COAH. And that is, in this case, exactly what Millstone Township did, with over 300 other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt regulations. When they adopted those regulations, they were challenged by the builders community. They went up through the court system and were found to be invalid because the methodology that they used, which was different than the prior methodologies, which had been attacked as not being satisfactory by municipalities, as still being too aggressive as the courts have been under Mount	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number. And at that same time they could also request the Court, and say to the Court, we have been doing what we could. We tried through COAH to get things done. COAH dropped the ball on us. We've been acting in good faith, so we're still entitled to the immunity that we had under COAH. And that is, in this case, exactly what Millstone Township did, with over 300 other communities in the State of New Jersey. Over 300
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt regulations. When they adopted those regulations, they were challenged by the builders community. They went up through the court system and were found to be invalid because the methodology that they used, which was different than the prior methodologies, which had been attacked as not being satisfactory by municipalities, as still being too aggressive as the courts have been under Mount Laurel II, and they adopted a different methodology.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number. And at that same time they could also request the Court, and say to the Court, we have been doing what we could. We tried through COAH to get things done. COAH dropped the ball on us. We've been acting in good faith, so we're still entitled to the immunity that we had under COAH. And that is, in this case, exactly what Millstone Township did, with over 300 other communities in the State of New Jersey. Over 300 declaratory judgment actions were filed in the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt regulations. When they adopted those regulations, they were challenged by the builders community. They went up through the court system and were found to be invalid because the methodology that they used, which was different than the prior methodologies, which had been attacked as not being satisfactory by municipalities, as still being too aggressive as the courts have been under Mount Laurel II, and they adopted a different methodology. But that was thrown out by our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number. And at that same time they could also request the Court, and say to the Court, we have been doing what we could. We tried through COAH to get things done. COAH dropped the ball on us. We've been acting in good faith, so we're still entitled to the immunity that we had under COAH. And that is, in this case, exactly what Millstone Township did, with over 300 other communities in the State of New Jersey. Over 300 declaratory judgment actions were filed in the first and second week of June of 2015 and they have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	That was Round 2. And in both of those rounds, Millstone Township applied to COAH, submitted all of their documentation to COAH, said you've imposed a number on us, we are accepting that number and this is how we're going to comply with it. And in both cases Millstone Township complied by putting together plans and building housing for low and moderate income families so that they complied with those rules and regulations. When Round 3 came about, in 1999, COAH failed to adopt regulations for a period of four years. Not until 2003 did COAH do its job to adopt regulations. When they adopted those regulations, they were challenged by the builders community. They went up through the court system and were found to be invalid because the methodology that they used, which was different than the prior methodologies, which had been attacked as not being satisfactory by municipalities, as still being too aggressive as the courts have been under Mount Laurel II, and they adopted a different methodology.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everything out of COAH's hands, as they effectively don't exist, and we are going to throw it back on the courts. And, at that point in time, the Supreme Court said if a municipality wants to continue the immunity that it had under COAH, the municipality has to file a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court by June 2nd of 2015, requesting the Court to approve their plan, that is, approve the number that they say that they should have to meet and approve the methods by which they could satisfy that number. And at that same time they could also request the Court, and say to the Court, we have been doing what we could. We tried through COAH to get things done. COAH dropped the ball on us. We've been acting in good faith, so we're still entitled to the immunity that we had under COAH. And that is, in this case, exactly what Millstone Township did, with over 300 other communities in the State of New Jersey. Over 300 declaratory judgment actions were filed in the first

			10
1	16 the most part, by the courts in each county	1	18 nor were they well funded.
2	assigning a judge who will be the principal judge to	2	So there were approximately 210
3	oversee the process, the affordable housing process,	3	municipalities that did at the outset create a
4	and then having judges beneath that person to take	4	consortium, each putting in a certain amount of
5	on individual cases and manage them.	5	funds to the consortium in order to be able to hire
6	In addition to that, virtually	6	experts to put forth a municipal's case on what the
7	everywhere the courts have appointed what they call	7	methodology should be as to what the number is for
8	a court master, which is a professional planning	8	each town.
9	consultant presumably knowledgeable in affordable	9	And ultimately, in 2018, a 40-day trial
10	housing issues, to advise the court, not the	10	was conducted in Mercer County, which is part of
11	parties, but to give the court independent advice as	11	Region 4, our region, by Judge Jacobson. And a
12	to whether or not the municipality is the good guy	12	40-day trial was conducted where the experts for the
13	in this case and the builder's lobby are the bad	13	builder's lobby came forth and presented their
14	guys, or vice versa, the municipality isn't doing	14	position on how you come up with the number, and the
15	what they're supposed to do and the affordable	15	municipality's experts came in with how their
16	housing people are really doing the right thing,	16	numbers should be set forth, and the Court also had
17	trying to meet their constitutional obligation.	17	a court master advising the Court independently.
18	And that is where we got into the court	18	And Judge Jacobson came up with a
19	system and that started a process of the court	19	methodology during that 40-day trial and about
20	master assigned to a a municipality's case	20	probably another six months of deliberating,
21	saying, show us what you're proposing. And	21	probably communicating with other judges throughout
22	Millstone, as did all the other Monmouth County	22	the state and came down with a decision setting
23	cases and the counties throughout the state, were	23	forth a methodology.
24	given a form of plan to put together and submit, and	24	That methodology has, in large part,
25	then it became a negotiation.	25	been accepted by many communities because if you go
	17		19
1	And the negotiation came as a result of	1	to trial and say, we're not going to accept that
2	a couple of things. First, Fair Share Housing	2	methodology, we want to try this case, guess who's
3	Center, the group that brought the case to the	3	going to show up for the builder's lobby? The exact
4	Supreme Court to have COAH found to be moribund and	4	same people that testified in the Mercer County
5	debunked, were made by the Supreme Court an	5	case. Who is going to come out for the
6	interested party in every case in the State of New	6	municipality? The exact same experts that testified
7	Jersey.	7	
~	Co overwhere veulre at every town has	-	in the Mercer County case, based upon the same
8	So everywhere you're at, every town has	8	in the Mercer County case, based upon the same information and based upon the same opinions that
8 9	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you		
		8	information and based upon the same opinions that
9	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you	8 9	information and based upon the same opinions that they had.
9 10	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of	8 9 10	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you
9 10 11	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super	8 9 10 11	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a
9 10 11 12	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super high numbers to impose upon municipalities to	8 9 10 11 12	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a municipality probably having to expend \$200,000 to
9 10 11 12 13	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super high numbers to impose upon municipalities to require them to meet numbers that are unrealistic.	8 9 10 11 12 13	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a municipality probably having to expend \$200,000 to take something like that to a trial and you're going to wind up with most likely within a couple of
9 10 11 12 13 14	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super high numbers to impose upon municipalities to require them to meet numbers that are unrealistic. In response to that, and basically that's Fair Share Housing and they are also	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a municipality probably having to expend \$200,000 to take something like that to a trial and you're going to wind up with most likely within a couple of numbers, one way or the other, of the number that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super high numbers to impose upon municipalities to require them to meet numbers that are unrealistic. In response to that, and basically that's Fair Share Housing and they are also supported by the New Jersey Builders Association,	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a municipality probably having to expend \$200,000 to take something like that to a trial and you're going to wind up with most likely within a couple of numbers, one way or the other, of the number that the Mercer County case came up with.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super high numbers to impose upon municipalities to require them to meet numbers that are unrealistic. In response to that, and basically that's Fair Share Housing and they are also supported by the New Jersey Builders Association, which is a builder's lobby and they're well funded.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a municipality probably having to expend \$200,000 to take something like that to a trial and you're going to wind up with most likely within a couple of numbers, one way or the other, of the number that the Mercer County case came up with. So that helped in the settlement
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super high numbers to impose upon municipalities to require them to meet numbers that are unrealistic. In response to that, and basically that's Fair Share Housing and they are also supported by the New Jersey Builders Association,	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a municipality probably having to expend \$200,000 to take something like that to a trial and you're going to wind up with most likely within a couple of numbers, one way or the other, of the number that the Mercer County case came up with.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super high numbers to impose upon municipalities to require them to meet numbers that are unrealistic. In response to that, and basically that's Fair Share Housing and they are also supported by the New Jersey Builders Association, which is a builder's lobby and they're well funded. And not only that, but they had also been fighting their builder's remedy cases bringing experts into	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a municipality probably having to expend \$200,000 to take something like that to a trial and you're going to wind up with most likely within a couple of numbers, one way or the other, of the number that the Mercer County case came up with. So that helped in the settlement processes for many towns in terms of, number one, what is our number? And in Millstone's case, that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super high numbers to impose upon municipalities to require them to meet numbers that are unrealistic. In response to that, and basically that's Fair Share Housing and they are also supported by the New Jersey Builders Association, which is a builder's lobby and they're well funded. And not only that, but they had also been fighting their builder's remedy cases bringing experts into the courts since 1975 attacking towns saying you	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a municipality probably having to expend \$200,000 to take something like that to a trial and you're going to wind up with most likely within a couple of numbers, one way or the other, of the number that the Mercer County case came up with. So that helped in the settlement processes for many towns in terms of, number one, what is our number? And in Millstone's case, that number is 231 units. The builder's lobby came up
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super high numbers to impose upon municipalities to require them to meet numbers that are unrealistic. In response to that, and basically that's Fair Share Housing and they are also supported by the New Jersey Builders Association, which is a builder's lobby and they're well funded. And not only that, but they had also been fighting their builder's remedy cases bringing experts into the courts since 1975 attacking towns saying you haven't met your obligation.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a municipality probably having to expend \$200,000 to take something like that to a trial and you're going to wind up with most likely within a couple of numbers, one way or the other, of the number that the Mercer County case came up with. So that helped in the settlement processes for many towns in terms of, number one, what is our number? And in Millstone's case, that number is 231 units. The builder's lobby came up had a different number. They had 367 units that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super high numbers to impose upon municipalities to require them to meet numbers that are unrealistic. In response to that, and basically that's Fair Share Housing and they are also supported by the New Jersey Builders Association, which is a builder's lobby and they're well funded. And not only that, but they had also been fighting their builder's remedy cases bringing experts into the courts since 1975 attacking towns saying you haven't met your obligation. So they had a long history of experts	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a municipality probably having to expend \$200,000 to take something like that to a trial and you're going to wind up with most likely within a couple of numbers, one way or the other, of the number that the Mercer County case came up with. So that helped in the settlement processes for many towns in terms of, number one, what is our number? And in Millstone's case, that number is 231 units. The builder's lobby came up had a different number. They had 367 units that they thought we should be coming up with.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Fair Share Housing Center as an adversary, if you will, because Fair Share Housing Center is part of the builder's lobby that has been promoting super high numbers to impose upon municipalities to require them to meet numbers that are unrealistic. In response to that, and basically that's Fair Share Housing and they are also supported by the New Jersey Builders Association, which is a builder's lobby and they're well funded. And not only that, but they had also been fighting their builder's remedy cases bringing experts into the courts since 1975 attacking towns saying you haven't met your obligation.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	information and based upon the same opinions that they had. So the popular wisdom was, hey, if you go through that and we're talking about a municipality probably having to expend \$200,000 to take something like that to a trial and you're going to wind up with most likely within a couple of numbers, one way or the other, of the number that the Mercer County case came up with. So that helped in the settlement processes for many towns in terms of, number one, what is our number? And in Millstone's case, that number is 231 units. The builder's lobby came up had a different number. They had 367 units that

	20		22
1	came up with. The Econsult experts, which were for	1	said we're not doing Toll Brothers. We have
2	the town, came up with 161 units, which is a little	2	municipally sponsored 100 percent affordable
3	bit less of a difference downward.	3	projects that we want in. And Toll Brothers was
4	In any event, in Millstone's case,	4	attacking them, trying to throw them out so that
5	Millstone had both Fair Share Housing Center as an	5	they could get even more units in for their
6	adversary and also had Showplace Farms property as	6	inclusionary zoning.
7	an adversary. And ultimately it was determined that	7	And so that you're aware of it,
8	we all agreed that it was not worth having a trial	8	inclusionary zoning means that a developer comes in
9	on the numbers because it was unlikely that there	9	and says, okay, I'm going to provide 50 units of
10	would be any significant difference in the numbers	10	your affordable housing. To provide those 50 units,
11	after going through that exercise. So that number	11	I get to do 200 units of market housing. So you are
12	was agreed upon.	12	going to have to zone me for 250 units in order to
13	The rest of the case was then	13	have 50-unit affordables.
14	deciding well, and there was an immunity aspect	14	Millstone Township has been working
15	of it and we immediately, in 2015, applied for	15	since Round 1 at producing 100 percent affordable
16	immunity, were granted immunity on the basis that we	16	units within Millstone Township and has three
17	were complying with the court, that we were working	17	affordable projects in its plan now, 100 percent
18	with the court master, that we were meeting in	18	affordable projects, one which is under
19	negotiation sessions with Fair Share Housing and a	19	construction, two which are in the concept stages
20	Showplace Farm representative in an effort to reach	20	for that, for which Millstone Township has been
21	a resolution.	21	planning well in advance to do that.
22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So, Mike, sorry to	22	But there comes a point in time where
23	interrupt you give you a chance to take a breath	23	it's no longer affordable to build 100 percent
24	so the five years, effectively, 2015 to 2020,	24	affordable projects and we didn't have one. We did
25	since the Court said that we're taking over since	25	have a tract from Baldachino, where they came in and
	21		23
1	nothing has happened, in those five years we have	1	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to
1 2	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the	1 2	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half
	nothing has happened, in those five years we have		said we will put our property up. And as opposed to
2	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action.	2	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units.
2 3 4 5	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct.	2 3	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units.
2 3 4 5 6	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are	2 3 4 5 6	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring
2 3 4 5 6 7	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process.	2 3 4 5	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the judge assigned to our case said, You're coming up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And that increases would increase your number in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the judge assigned to our case said, You're coming up with a plan and you're coming up with it within, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And that increases would increase your number in the next round because you now have infrastructure and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the judge assigned to our case said, You're coming up with a plan and you're coming up with it within, I'm pulling it out, about 60 days, 60 to 90 days. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And that increases would increase your number in the next round because you now have infrastructure and it would make it easier for others to come in and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the judge assigned to our case said, You're coming up with a plan and you're coming up with it within, I'm pulling it out, about 60 days, 60 to 90 days. I want a final plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And that increases would increase your number in the next round because you now have infrastructure and it would make it easier for others to come in and say, wait a second, we have public water and sewer;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the judge assigned to our case said, You're coming up with a plan and you're coming up with it within, I'm pulling it out, about 60 days, 60 to 90 days. I want a final plan. And if you don't have a final plan,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And that increases would increase your number in the next round because you now have infrastructure and it would make it easier for others to come in and say, wait a second, we have public water and sewer; we can get access to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the judge assigned to our case said, You're coming up with a plan and you're coming up with it within, I'm pulling it out, about 60 days, 60 to 90 days. I want a final plan. And if you don't have a final plan, we're sending you to trial and you're going to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And that increases would increase your number in the next round because you now have infrastructure and it would make it easier for others to come in and say, wait a second, we have public water and sewer; we can get access to it. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Mike, I know you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the judge assigned to our case said, You're coming up with a plan and you're coming up with it within, I'm pulling it out, about 60 days, 60 to 90 days. I want a final plan. And if you don't have a final plan, we're sending you to trial and you're going to have to try the case on the numbers. You are going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And that increases would increase your number in the next round because you now have infrastructure and it would make it easier for others to come in and say, wait a second, we have public water and sewer; we can get access to it. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Mike, I know you touched on this before, but if we were to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the judge assigned to our case said, You're coming up with a plan and you're coming up with it within, I'm pulling it out, about 60 days, 60 to 90 days. I want a final plan. And if you don't have a final plan, we're sending you to trial and you're going to have to try the case on the numbers. You are going to have to spend the money. And you are going to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And that increases would increase your number in the next round because you now have infrastructure and it would make it easier for others to come in and say, wait a second, we have public water and sewer; we can get access to it. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Mike, I know you touched on this before, but if we were to say yesterday, today or tomorrow, we're out, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the judge assigned to our case said, You're coming up with a plan and you're coming up with it within, I'm pulling it out, about 60 days, 60 to 90 days. I want a final plan. And if you don't have a final plan, we're sending you to trial and you're going to have to try the case on the numbers. You are going to have to spend the money. And you are going to have to face your interveners, which at that point,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And that increases would increase your number in the next round because you now have infrastructure and it would make it easier for others to come in and say, wait a second, we have public water and sewer; we can get access to it. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Mike, I know you touched on this before, but if we were to say yesterday, today or tomorrow, we're out, this process doesn't work for us for whatever reason we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the judge assigned to our case said, You're coming up with a plan and you're coming up with it within, I'm pulling it out, about 60 days, 60 to 90 days. I want a final plan. And if you don't have a final plan, we're sending you to trial and you're going to have to try the case on the numbers. You are going to have to spend the money. And you are going to have to face your interveners, which at that point, Showplace Farms was Toll Brothers, which was not a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And that increases would increase your number in the next round because you now have infrastructure and it would make it easier for others to come in and say, wait a second, we have public water and sewer; we can get access to it. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Mike, I know you touched on this before, but if we were to say yesterday, today or tomorrow, we're out, this process doesn't work for us for whatever reason we want to say, and thumb our nose at it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	nothing has happened, in those five years we have had immunity because we are participating in the court process through our declaratory judgment action. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And we are cooperating in the process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And that's part of what we're doing today. We're another step in that cooperation process to continue our immunity? MR. STEIB: That we are. And but we got a gun put to our head in mid-2018 when the judge assigned to our case said, You're coming up with a plan and you're coming up with it within, I'm pulling it out, about 60 days, 60 to 90 days. I want a final plan. And if you don't have a final plan, we're sending you to trial and you're going to have to try the case on the numbers. You are going to have to spend the money. And you are going to have to face your interveners, which at that point,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	said we will put our property up. And as opposed to the Toll Brothers project, they were less than half of what Toll Brothers was proposing in terms of producing units. Toll Brothers was at 569 units. They're at 242. And Toll Brothers was requiring that we bring infrastructure, that is public water and sewer into Millstone Township, whereas the Baldachino tract will do everything on-site. Because once you bring in public water and sewer, that essentially changes the whole complexion of Millstone Township, because Millstone Township then becomes a township that has infrastructure. And that increases would increase your number in the next round because you now have infrastructure and it would make it easier for others to come in and say, wait a second, we have public water and sewer; we can get access to it. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Mike, I know you touched on this before, but if we were to say yesterday, today or tomorrow, we're out, this process doesn't work for us for whatever reason we

24261reading, I promise. Go ahead. Sorry.1Toll Brothers essentially went away in2MR. STEIB: It's my next page.2terms of their 569-unit housing development that3So in any event, it's understood that3terms of their 569-unit housing development that4throughout the entire State of New Jersey,4looking to develop that property, the Showplace5inclusionary zoning developments raise concern by5Farms property, as for warehouse use, which has bee6residents. First of all, because they're higher6a conforming use, permitted for many years, under7density, and just legitimate planning and7the zoning in Millstone Township. So we have now8engineering concerns.8reached settlement with them under those terms.9There are some perhaps less objective9The Housing Element And Fair Share Plan10reasons that some people just don't want those10now has been approved by the court to the extent11people here or don't want that kind of development11that the process is, once you reach a settlement,12here, but those aren't going to be given too much12the court sets what they call a Fair Share hearing13consideration by the courts. But certainly13date. And on that date the judge then listens to14legitimate engineering and planning reasons do.14the various sides and their planning consultants and15The design and implementation of these15submitted provides a realis
2MR. STEIB: It's my next page.2terms of their 569-unit housing development that3So in any event, it's understood that3they wanted with public water and sewer, and is now4throughout the entire State of New Jersey,5inclusionary zoning developments raise concern by56residents. First of all, because they're higher7density, and just legitimate planning and57density, and just legitimate planning and7the zoning in Millstone Township. So we have now8engineering concerns.9There are some perhaps less objective910reasons that some people just don't want those10now has been approved by the court to the extent11people here or don't want that kind of development11that the process is, once you reach a settlement,12here, but those aren't going to be given too much13date. And on that date the judge then listens to14legitimate engineering and planning reasons do.14the various sides and their planning consultants and15The design and implementation of these16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that16developments throughout the state, and particularly16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that17in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will17affordable housing will be developed in this case on18require applications for, in particular, the18ves, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet19And if the Court makes a decision that,20 <t< td=""></t<>
3So in any event, it's understood that3they wanted with public water and sewer, and is now4throughout the entire State of New Jersey,3they wanted with public water and sewer, and is now5inclusionary zoning developments raise concern by6residents. First of all, because they're higher57density, and just legitimate planning and7the zoning in Millstone Township. So we have now8engineering concerns.9There are some perhaps less objective910reasons that some people just don't want those10now has been approved by the court to the extent11people here or don't want that kind of development11that the process is, once you reach a settlement,12here, but those aren't going to be given too much13date. And on that date the judge then listens to14legitimate engineering and planning reasons do.15The design and implementation of these16developments throughout the state, and particularly16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that17in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will17affordable housing will be developed in this case on18require applications for, in particular, the18vers, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet19Mal Stelle.19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20Curt sets down what they call a hearing for21Millstone.23compliance.
5inclusionary zoning developments raise concern by 65Farms property, as for warehouse use, which has beed a conforming use, permitted for many years, under the zoning in Millstone Township. So we have now reached settlement with them under those terms.7density, and just legitimate planning and engineering concerns.7the zoning in Millstone Township. So we have now reached settlement with them under those terms.9There are some perhaps less objective people here or don't want that kind of development 1110now has been approved by the court to the extent that the process is, once you reach a settlement, that the various sides and their planning consultants and decides whether o
5inclusionary zoning developments raise concern by 65Farms property, as for warehouse use, which has beed a conforming use, permitted for many years, under the zoning in Millstone Township. So we have now reached settlement with them under those terms.7density, and just legitimate planning and engineering concerns.7the zoning in Millstone Township. So we have now reached settlement with them under those terms.9There are some perhaps less objective people here or don't want that kind of development 1110now has been approved by the court to the extent that the process is, once you reach a settlement, that the various sides and their planning consultants and decides whether o
7density, and just legitimate planning and engineering concerns.7the zoning in Millstone Township. So we have now reached settlement with them under those terms.9There are some perhaps less objective 109The Housing Element And Fair Share Plan 1010reasons that some people just don't want those 1110now has been approved by the court to the extent 1111people here or don't want that kind of development 1211that the process is, once you reach a settlement, 1213consideration by the courts. But certainly 1313date. And on that date the judge then listens to 1414legitimate engineering and planning reasons do. 1514the various sides and their planning consultants and 1516developments throughout the state, and particularly 1716submitted provides a realistic opportunity that 1717in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will 1717affordable housing will be developed in this case on or before July of 2025.19Baldachino site 2019And if the Court makes a decision that, yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet 2120CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts 2120yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet 2120MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry, 2323compliance.
8engineering concerns.9There are some perhaps less objective9The Housing Element And Fair Share Plan10reasons that some people just don't want those10now has been approved by the court to the extent11people here or don't want that kind of development11that the process is, once you reach a settlement,12here, but those aren't going to be given too much12the court sets what they call a Fair Share hearing13consideration by the courts. But certainly13date. And on that date the judge then listens to14legitimate engineering and planning reasons do.14the various sides and their planning consultants and15The design and implementation of these15decides whether or not the plan that has been16developments throughout the state, and particularly16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that17in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will17affordable housing will be developed in this case on18require applications for, in particular, the19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
9There are some perhaps less objective9The Housing Element And Fair Share Plan10reasons that some people just don't want those10now has been approved by the court to the extent11people here or don't want that kind of development11that the process is, once you reach a settlement,12here, but those aren't going to be given too much12the court sets what they call a Fair Share hearing13consideration by the courts. But certainly13date. And on that date the judge then listens to14legitimate engineering and planning reasons do.14the various sides and their planning consultants and15The design and implementation of these16developments throughout the state, and particularly16developments throughout the state, and particularly16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that17in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will17affordable housing will be developed in this case on18require applications for, in particular, the19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone.23compliance.
10reasons that some people just don't want those10now has been approved by the court to the extent11people here or don't want that kind of development11that the process is, once you reach a settlement,12here, but those aren't going to be given too much12the court sets what they call a Fair Share hearing13consideration by the courts. But certainly13date. And on that date the judge then listens to14legitimate engineering and planning reasons do.14the various sides and their planning consultants and15The design and implementation of these16decides whether or not the plan that has been16developments throughout the state, and particularly16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that17in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will17affordable housing will be developed in this case on18require applications for, in particular, the19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.23
11people here or don't want that kind of development11that the process is, once you reach a settlement,12here, but those aren't going to be given too much12the court sets what they call a Fair Share hearing13consideration by the courts. But certainly13date. And on that date the judge then listens to14legitimate engineering and planning reasons do.14the various sides and their planning consultants and15The design and implementation of these15decides whether or not the plan that has been16developments throughout the state, and particularly16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that17in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will17affordable housing will be developed in this case on18require applications for, in particular, the19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
12here, but those aren't going to be given too much consideration by the courts. But certainly12the court sets what they call a Fair Share hearing date. And on that date the judge then listens to14legitimate engineering and planning reasons do.14the various sides and their planning consultants and15The design and implementation of these15decides whether or not the plan that has been16developments throughout the state, and particularly16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that17in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will17affordable housing will be developed in this case on18require applications for, in particular, the19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
13consideration by the courts. But certainly13date. And on that date the judge then listens to14legitimate engineering and planning reasons do.14the various sides and their planning consultants and15The design and implementation of these15decides whether or not the plan that has been16developments throughout the state, and particularly16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that17in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will17affordable housing will be developed in this case on18require applications for, in particular, the18or before July of 2025.19Baldachino site19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
14legitimate engineering and planning reasons do.14the various sides and their planning consultants and15The design and implementation of these15decides whether or not the plan that has been16developments throughout the state, and particularly16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that17in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will17affordable housing will be developed in this case on18require applications for, in particular, the18or before July of 2025.19Baldachino site19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
15The design and implementation of these15decides whether or not the plan that has been16developments throughout the state, and particularly16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that17in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will17affordable housing will be developed in this case on18require applications for, in particular, the18or before July of 2025.19Baldachino site19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
16developments throughout the state, and particularly in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will require applications for, in particular, the16submitted provides a realistic opportunity that affordable housing will be developed in this case on or before July of 2025.19Baldachino site19And if the Court makes a decision that, ves, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
17in the plan that we have here in Colts Neck, will require applications for, in particular, the17affordable housing will be developed in this case on18require applications for, in particular, the18or before July of 2025.19Baldachino site19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
18require applications for, in particular, the18or before July of 2025.19Baldachino site19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
19Baldachino site19And if the Court makes a decision that,20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
20CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Did you say "Colts20yes, I believe that the plan is fair and does meet21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
21Neck"?21those requirements and is constitutional, then the22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
22MR. STEIB: Millstone. I'm sorry,22Court sets down what they call a hearing for23Millstone.23compliance.
23 Millstone. 23 compliance.
24CHAIRMAN HARMS: Don't you live in24And in our case, on October 22nd, we
25 Colts Neck? 25 went before Judge Grasso Jones. The case was
25 27
1 MR. STEIB: No, I don't. But they 1 presented. All sides were heard and the judge said, 2 would require a variable of municipal country and 2 was I believe that your plan does note:
2 would require a variety of municipal, county, and 2 yes, I believe that your plan does pass 2 state level review by varieus acencies, municipal
3state level review by various agencies, municipal3constitutional muster and, therefore, I am going to4planning board, municipal fire code official, health4grant you a Fair Share approval, subject to a
4 planning board, municipal fire code official, health 5 department, traffic safety, and other approvals are 5 compliance hearing.
6 required at the municipal level. Approvals may be 6 The compliance hearing is what this is,
7 required at the county level if you're on a county 7 the first step of this evening. And that is the
8 road or if you're within 200 feet of county 8 planning board considering and adopting a Housing
9 facilities. If you're on a state highway or near 9 Element And Fair Share Plan, which is a document
10 state facilities, you require state DOT. For 10 that I'll allow McKinley to describe more
11 various environmental things, you will require state 11 particularly, but it has a ton of background
12 NJDEP approvals. So there are multiple levels that 12 material that's required for the planners to put
13 any developer has to go on to make sure that their 13 together and then it sets forth what the compliance
14 sites are reviewed, assessed, and approved under the 14 package is for the town to meet its affordable
15 appropriate regulations. 15 housing obligation.
16 Now, as far as the consequences that 16 And then the question that was raised
17 Mr. Newman has brought up of this approval, 17 is, well, what happens if the board says, so what,
18 Millstone Township entered into a Settlement 18 we don't like the settlement, we want to fight it?
19 Agreement. After this process it went through with 19 There have been some cases, one fairly recently in
20the courts, over a four-and-a-half-year period,20Englewood Cliffs, which gives some idea of what we
21reached a Settlement Agreement where Fair Share21can expect if that's going to occur because they had
22 Housing Center agreed to knock off 130 units of 22 a proposed Settlement Agreement. And as a result of
23 their 130-some units of what they said our number 23 public pressure, the town said no, we decided that
24 was, which came in to a number that the Mercer 24 we're not going to go along with that agreement.
25County methodology comes in at.25And in that case, the other parties to

	20	1	30
1	28	1	30
1	the settlement did, as I would expect the parties in	1	to bump up the number that we're lacking. And that
2	this settlement will, petition the court to revoke	2	number could be added. Again, I don't know if they
3	the Township's immunity from builder's remedy suits.	3	can engineer more on that site.
4	They're going to say the town no longer has a white	4	All we have seen is engineering for the
5	hat on, the town has a black hat on; they negotiated	5	number that they have proposed. And they have done
6	over three years or four-and-a-half years, finally	6	studies and they've done some engineering that says
7	came to a settlement, and now that we have the	7	yes, we can accomplish this so
8	settlement, we put it on paper, now they're saying	8	MR. ZINER: On that same thought, is
9	thumbs up to you, we're not doing it. And if the	9	there a potential to propose a Plan B? A different
10	Court comes up with that decision, then the town	10	plan other than what is being presented tonight?
11	loses its immunity and the town is subject to a	11	MR. STEIB: Anybody can propose
12	builder's remedy suit from any builder that wants to	12	anything but if this doesn't get adopted, then we're
13	build anyplace.	13	not going to have much time. Fair Share Housing is
14	Secondly, Fair Share Housing and others	14	going to be filing an action to throw us out of
15	will be entitled to withdraw from the agreement and	15	immunity and to enforce this agreement.
16	withdraw their agreement to the settlement number.	16	Or in the absence of enforcing the
17	MR. PEPE: Michael, based on what is	17	agreement, attacking the number and trying to push
18	being proposed in this location, what could possibly	18	up our numbers.
19	a builder's remedy lawsuit result could it result	19	MR. ZINER: So let me understand this.
20	in a worse plan?	20	Is there a window of opportunity that we can come up
21	Like let's think about how we're going	21	with Plan B
22	to overuse that property and how we're going to	22	MR. STEIB: That window of opportunity
23	intensify that use. Let's think and then let's	23	was for the last four-and-a-half years.
24	imagine a builder's remedy lawsuit. Could it be	24	MR. PEPE: Okay. But is it closed
25	worse?	25	now?
	29		31
1	29 MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because	1	31 MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed
1 2		1 2	
	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because		MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed
2	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to	2	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these
2 3	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion	2 3	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives.
2 3 4	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking	2 3 4	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property
2 3 4 5	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion	2 3 4 5	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose
2 3 4 5 6	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish	2 3 4 5 6	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more units. They come in and say, hey, we can supply	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property that we might not even know about could say, hey,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more units. They come in and say, hey, we can supply those units; we can engineer them on this site.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property that we might not even know about could say, hey, you lost your immunity, I would like to do X hundred
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more units. They come in and say, hey, we can supply those units; we can engineer them on this site. Then yes, they have an argument for a larger number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property that we might not even know about could say, hey, you lost your immunity, I would like to do X hundred on my 30-acre site somewhere else and because your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more units. They come in and say, hey, we can supply those units; we can engineer them on this site. Then yes, they have an argument for a larger number of units on that site.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property that we might not even know about could say, hey, you lost your immunity, I would like to do X hundred on my 30-acre site somewhere else and because your number is higher, I'm ready, willing, and able?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more units. They come in and say, hey, we can supply those units; we can engineer them on this site. Then yes, they have an argument for a larger number of units on that site. In addition to that, I fully anticipate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property that we might not even know about could say, hey, you lost your immunity, I would like to do X hundred on my 30-acre site somewhere else and because your number is higher, I'm ready, willing, and able? MR. STEIB: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more units. They come in and say, hey, we can supply those units; we can engineer them on this site. Then yes, they have an argument for a larger number of units on that site. In addition to that, I fully anticipate that they that we will then have people coming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property that we might not even know about could say, hey, you lost your immunity, I would like to do X hundred on my 30-acre site somewhere else and because your number is higher, I'm ready, willing, and able? MR. STEIB: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more units. They come in and say, hey, we can supply those units; we can engineer them on this site. Then yes, they have an argument for a larger number of units on that site. In addition to that, I fully anticipate that they that we will then have people coming back in and saying, oh, by the way, you know, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property that we might not even know about could say, hey, you lost your immunity, I would like to do X hundred on my 30-acre site somewhere else and because your number is higher, I'm ready, willing, and able? MR. STEIB: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So it opens us up to so the builder's remedy idea is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more units. They come in and say, hey, we can supply those units; we can engineer them on this site. Then yes, they have an argument for a larger number of units on that site. In addition to that, I fully anticipate that they that we will then have people coming back in and saying, oh, by the way, you know, you fought with Toll Brothers who were attacking your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property that we might not even know about could say, hey, you lost your immunity, I would like to do X hundred on my 30-acre site somewhere else and because your number is higher, I'm ready, willing, and able? MR. STEIB: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So it opens us up to so the builder's remedy idea is other folks, once you lose immunity, can start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more units. They come in and say, hey, we can supply those units; we can engineer them on this site. Then yes, they have an argument for a larger number of units on that site. In addition to that, I fully anticipate that they that we will then have people coming back in and saying, oh, by the way, you know, you fought with Toll Brothers who were attacking your municipally sponsored projects, saying they weren't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property that we might not even know about could say, hey, you lost your immunity, I would like to do X hundred on my 30-acre site somewhere else and because your number is higher, I'm ready, willing, and able? MR. STEIB: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So it opens us up to so the builder's remedy idea is other folks, once you lose immunity, can start jumping in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more units. They come in and say, hey, we can supply those units; we can engineer them on this site. Then yes, they have an argument for a larger number of units on that site. In addition to that, I fully anticipate that they that we will then have people coming back in and saying, oh, by the way, you know, you fought with Toll Brothers who were attacking your municipally sponsored projects, saying they weren't reasonable, they weren't going to be able to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property that we might not even know about could say, hey, you lost your immunity, I would like to do X hundred on my 30-acre site somewhere else and because your number is higher, I'm ready, willing, and able? MR. STEIB: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So it opens us up to so the builder's remedy idea is other folks, once you lose immunity, can start jumping in. MR. STEIB: True.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. STEIB: Well, it could be because I haven't finished with what they're going to do but MR. PEPE: I know. But we're talking about this right now and we're drawing a conclusion in relation to something, so I would like to finish that thought. MR. STEIB: The answer to your question is, yes, it could. And the reason that I say that is because what is going to happen is that not only are they going to ask to kick up the number, so if the number goes up, we need more units. They come in and say, hey, we can supply those units; we can engineer them on this site. Then yes, they have an argument for a larger number of units on that site. In addition to that, I fully anticipate that they that we will then have people coming back in and saying, oh, by the way, you know, you fought with Toll Brothers who were attacking your municipally sponsored projects, saying they weren't reasonable, they weren't going to be able to be feasible economically, and they are going to come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. STEIB: It's pretty much closed unless you want these unless you want these potential alternatives. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: If another property owner if we do go down that path and we lose immunity, taking it to that next step, I understand that the Baldachino site that we started to talk about could have a different number. We don't know the answer to that until we have nobody knows how much more you can engineer that site for additional homes. But it's possible that someone else that has another property that we might not even know about could say, hey, you lost your immunity, I would like to do X hundred on my 30-acre site somewhere else and because your number is higher, I'm ready, willing, and able? MR. STEIB: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So it opens us up to so the builder's remedy idea is other folks, once you lose immunity, can start jumping in. MR. STEIB: True. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And now it's not us,

	32		34
1	MS. CURTIS: And correct me if I'm	1	Windsor did lose their immunity and they had a
2	wrong, too. If we have a builder's remedy suit,	2	builder's remedy and what happened was they now are
3	will that allow them to bring in public water and	3	looking at 1,500 units. That's what I understand.
4	sewer?	4	MR. STEIB: I can't say that because I
5	MR. STEIB: Depends on the location.	5	haven't followed their case. I don't know.
6	If it's part of their plan, if you are back at Toll	6	MS. OXLEY: 1,500 units, they're
7	Brothers, that's a possibility.	7	actually
8	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So let's let Mike	8	MS. CURTIS: They didn't lose their
9	finish his overview, and then if there's any other	9	immunity, they went to trial.
10	questions for Mike, that's fine. Then we'll turn it	10	MS. OXLEY: They went to trial.
11	over to McKinley.	11	MS. CURTIS: They went to trial and
12	MR. STEIB: I think I finished it.	12	MR. PEPE: So that's not we don't
13	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Well then, any	13	know that factually, so let's
14	other Jeff, did you have another follow-up?	14	MS. CURTIS: No, they did, they did go
15	MR. ZINER: I just have one other	15	to trial.
16	follow-up. So if this plan does not get accepted,	16	MS. OXLEY: They did go to trial.
17	can the Township create a different alternative plan	17	MR. PEPE: No, but I'm saying they
18	is really the simple question?	18	didn't necessarily get up to 1,500 units
19	MR. STEIB: Anything is possible. The	19	MS. CURTIS: Yes, they had.
20	problem that I have is whether the Township will	20	MR. PEPE: What was their original
21	have time to do that before the court strips them of	21	number?
22	their immunity and before there are other suits that	22	MS. CURTIS: It was originally I
23	are filed, that the court is not going to say	23	think it went from 2,200 and they had to negotiate
24	after those suits are filed, the court is not going	24	it down to 1,500.
25	to say, oh, we're going to give you immunity again.	25	MR. PEPE: So they did better, you're
1			
	33		35
1	33 MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you	1	35 saying?
1 2		1 2	
	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you		saying?
2	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it?	2	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do
2	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're	2 3	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to
2 3 4	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who	2 3 4	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled?
2 3 4 5	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have	2 3 4 5	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to
2 3 4 5 6	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on.	2 3 4 5 6	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have	2 3 4 5 6 7	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this. MS. OXLEY: I think they basically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick wanted to continue to have only municipally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this. MS. OXLEY: I think they basically lost their immunity; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick wanted to continue to have only municipally sponsored projects. And what they did is they had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this. MS. OXLEY: I think they basically lost their immunity; is that correct? MR. STEIB: I'm not sure about West	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick wanted to continue to have only municipally sponsored projects. And what they did is they had asked for extensions in their plan under Judge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this. MS. OXLEY: I think they basically lost their immunity; is that correct? MR. STEIB: I'm not sure about West Windsor. Monroe did. Englewood Cliffs did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick wanted to continue to have only municipally sponsored projects. And what they did is they had asked for extensions in their plan under Judge Wolfson on two occasions. And on the third occasion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this. MS. OXLEY: I think they basically lost their immunity; is that correct? MR. STEIB: I'm not sure about West Windsor. Monroe did. Englewood Cliffs did. MS. OXLEY: Because my understanding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick wanted to continue to have only municipally sponsored projects. And what they did is they had asked for extensions in their plan under Judge Wolfson on two occasions. And on the third occasion what he did is he said no, and what they did is they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this. MS. OXLEY: I think they basically lost their immunity; is that correct? MR. STEIB: I'm not sure about West Windsor. Monroe did. Englewood Cliffs did. MS. OXLEY: Because my understanding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick wanted to continue to have only municipally sponsored projects. And what they did is they had asked for extensions in their plan under Judge Wolfson on two occasions. And on the third occasion what he did is he said no, and what they did is they had five builder remedy suits that came in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this. MS. OXLEY: I think they basically lost their immunity; is that correct? MR. STEIB: I'm not sure about West Windsor. Monroe did. Englewood Cliffs did. MS. OXLEY: Because my understanding was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick wanted to continue to have only municipally sponsored projects. And what they did is they had asked for extensions in their plan under Judge Wolfson on two occasions. And on the third occasion what he did is he said no, and what they did is they had five builder remedy suits that came in. The thing that protects us is our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this. MS. OXLEY: I think they basically lost their immunity; is that correct? MR. STEIB: I'm not sure about West Windsor. Monroe did. Englewood Cliffs did. MS. OXLEY: Because my understanding was MR. STEIB: Englewood Cliffs wound up with the judge not only removing immunity but they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick wanted to continue to have only municipally sponsored projects. And what they did is they had asked for extensions in their plan under Judge Wolfson on two occasions. And on the third occasion what he did is he said no, and what they did is they had five builder remedy suits that came in. The thing that protects us is our immunity. And in the event the state comes in and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this. MS. OXLEY: I think they basically lost their immunity; is that correct? MR. STEIB: I'm not sure about West Windsor. Monroe did. Englewood Cliffs did. MS. OXLEY: Because my understanding was MR. STEIB: Englewood Cliffs wound up with the judge not only removing immunity but they tripled their number over what they had agreed to in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick wanted to continue to have only municipally sponsored projects. And what they did is they had asked for extensions in their plan under Judge Wolfson on two occasions. And on the third occasion what he did is he said no, and what they did is they had five builder remedy suits that came in. The thing that protects us is our immunity. And in the event the state comes in and the state DEP deems the area not correct, we still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this. MS. OXLEY: I think they basically lost their immunity; is that correct? MR. STEIB: I'm not sure about West Windsor. Monroe did. Englewood Cliffs did. MS. OXLEY: Because my understanding was MR. STEIB: Englewood Cliffs wound up with the judge not only removing immunity but they tripled their number over what they had agreed to in their agreement. It went from 95 to 320-something.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick wanted to continue to have only municipally sponsored projects. And what they did is they had asked for extensions in their plan under Judge Wolfson on two occasions. And on the third occasion what he did is he said no, and what they did is they had five builder remedy suits that came in. The thing that protects us is our immunity. And in the event the state comes in and the state DEP deems the area not correct, we still are protected under immunity. And then what we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CURTIS: So once you lose it, you lose it? MR. STEIB: Once you lose it, you're going to have a hard time convincing a judge who just said, I don't think you're acting in good faith. It's going to be a hard time convincing that judge to say, oh, no, I changed my mind; you have your white hat back on. MS. OXLEY: Mike, can you you know, West Windsor went through something like this, right, West Windsor? MR. STEIB: Everybody is going through something like this. MS. OXLEY: I think they basically lost their immunity; is that correct? MR. STEIB: I'm not sure about West Windsor. Monroe did. Englewood Cliffs did. MS. OXLEY: Because my understanding was MR. STEIB: Englewood Cliffs wound up with the judge not only removing immunity but they tripled their number over what they had agreed to in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	saying? MS. CURTIS: Well, they didn't do better, it was lower. And then when they went to trial, the judge came back and said they wanted 2,200 units. MR. PEPE: And then ultimately settled? MS. CURTIS: And then after they got to trial they settled at 1,500. The original number, I think, you would have to research it, was around 800 or something like that. And when it went to trial, that's what they ended up with. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: South Brunswick wanted to continue to have only municipally sponsored projects. And what they did is they had asked for extensions in their plan under Judge Wolfson on two occasions. And on the third occasion what he did is he said no, and what they did is they had five builder remedy suits that came in. The thing that protects us is our immunity. And in the event the state comes in and the state DEP deems the area not correct, we still

1	36		38
	Because at least what we're doing is we	1	white hat.
2 a	are legitimate in our concern that we want to comply	2	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Correct, we're
3 a	and we have a plan that is in place. So it's not	3	still wearing the white hat.
4 I	like there's we've stopped our plan or we're not	4	MS. CURTIS: You have your immunity.
5 I	looking for a Fourth Round plan or our entire	5	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: And don't think
6 p	process has stopped. We are continuing to look at	6	for a minute that we have not, along this whole
7 0	other alternatives for the Fourth Round or in the	7	process from Round 2 to Round 3, we planned for two
8 e	event something happens that doesn't occur.	8	Round 3s during the time when they were trying to
9	But if our plan doesn't go through and	9	get their regulations. We had two Round 3 plans to
10 i	if we do our strip, and we have builders that do	10	go before it went to the court. So we actually did
11 C	come in, we could actually have 1,155 units that	11	three Round 3 plans.
12 N	Millstone could potentially have	12	So we have always been ready to go.
13	MR. PEPE: Of low income? Or are you	13	And we didn't stop. Even at this particular point,
14 s	saying builder's remedy?	14	we have not stopped. We are working with this, but
15	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Well, it would	15	there are still things that we are working on.
16 t	be of units.	16	MR. PEPE: Matt Shafai, have you had a
17	MR. PEPE: Four to one.	17	chance to look at the township property as our
18	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Right. It's	18	Township engineer?
19 r	really five units for every one, so you multiply 231	19	MR. SHAFAI: Which property; the
20 t	by five because it's that number.	20	Baldachino property?
21	So we were able to actually, with the	21	MR. PEPE: Correct.
22 t	bonus units that we had, lower it, which I'm sure	22	MR. SHAFAI: Yes.
23 N	McKinley will talk about, to about 178 units with	23	MR. PEPE: In your opinion, I know
24 c	only that one inclusionary development.	24	it's not, you know
25	And there's still a possibility, if it	25	MR. SHAFAI: The Concept Plan?
	37		39
1 0	comes before the planning board, we have to actually	1	MR. PEPE: You tell me. Can that land
2 5	see what is the land going to be able to do, which	2	hold this development, what we're proposing?
3 t	this planning board is going to be able to look at,	3	MR. SHAFAI: As it's presented right
4 v	what is it actually going to be able to hold, what	4	now, yes. But they are still missing numerous DEP
5 c	does the DEP say, what are the actual lines going to	5	permits.
6 C	do, which this board controls. And then we'll	6	MR. PEPE: Understood.
7 a	actually see, you know, how it fits into the plan.	7	MR. SHAFAI: And there hasn't been any
8	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So, Nancy, I think	8	studies done.
9 y	you might have said this already, let's say we get	9	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And you haven't seen
10 p	past today's process and it goes to the point where	10	a set of engineering plans
11 t	the site that we're concerned about, Baldachino,	11	MR. SHAFAI: No.
12 p	puts an application in front of us, the state, the	12	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: to confirm the
13 C	county, Soil Conservation District and all those	13	storm water works?
14 a	agencies and it fails for one reason or another,	14	MR. SHAFAI: No, just one-page concept
15 t	because it can't handle the development for any	15	plan.
16 V	variety of environmental reasons, or traffic reasons	16	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: That stuff
17 C	or all the other things that go into our Land Use	17	actually went directly to the court. It didn't come
18 I	laws, then it sounds like you're saying we're back	18	to us, it went to the court master and it went to
19 t	to the court saying, We did we made that effort.	19	directly into the court.
	We did what we were supposed to do. Now we would	20	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. And
21 I	like to present an alternate plan?	21	obviously, McKinley, Chris's question goes to you
1 -	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: We might, yes.	22	also from a planning perspective, what is your
22	MS. CURTIS: Correct. And I think	23	evaluation of the viability or approvability of the
22 23			
23	that we can MR. STEIB: And you're still wearing a	24	Baldachino site? MS. MERTZ: Again, with the limited

I		1	10
	40		42
	drawings that we have gotten, it could support the	1	confused as to how we go from 231 in a remedy suit
	numbers that they're proposing and it's probably	2	to over 1,000?
	better than some other alternatives in the town.	3	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I was just
4	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But you haven't seen	4	using that as an example. If it's 231 and you
	all of the traditional reports and plans that you	5	had if you were just saying if you had if your
	would see in an actual Site Plan Subdivision	6	number was 231, yes, we did this because we have
	submission to this board.	7	been moving along.
8	MS. MERTZ: Correct.	8	But, let's say, for example, not
9	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And do you know,	9	everything has been completed. And I don't know how
	Matt, have they made any formal submissions to the	10	many we have completed already, maybe 60 out of the
	state, to DEP?	11	178. But out of the 2 out of the 231, we got
12	MR. SHAFAI: We have not been copied	12	bonus units and so on and so forth.
13	on any applications anywhere.	13	But I'm just saying in general, as a
14	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So water, sewer,	14	general thing, if we were going to say, oh, we are
15	wetlands, et cetera?	15	going to be inclusionary, we could have had 1,155 if
16	MR. SHAFAI: I haven't seen anything.	16	we were not diligent and making sure that we didn't
17	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. And in our	17	stop our processing.
18	planning board review, if this goes the next steps	18	MR. ZINER: No, that's not the
19	and then we get that application, that all has to be	19	question I'm asking.
20	part of the process?	20	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Well, you're
21	MR. SHAFAI: That's correct.	21	saying of all of the stuff, what we don't have
22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: We have to see where	22	built?
23	the actual wetlands are, where the buffers are, are	23	MR. ZINER: Um-hum.
24	there endangered species?	24	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Anything that
25	MR. SHAFAI: Soil testing, everything.	25	we don't have built is listed there, which would be
	41		43
1	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So, Nancy and Mike,	1	the we probably have at least 100 units that
	in all of your court processes because I know you	2	aren't built.
	have both been on the front lines, in all of that	3	Anything that is on CKV or Burnt Tavern
4	process, the Court understands I'm asking I'm	4	and behind Burger King and that, none of that stuff
5	not suggesting I know the answer, the Court	5	has been built.
6	understands that there's no slam dunk in an element	6	MR. ZINER: It's not built but it's
7	of a plan; it could not work and then come back?	7	already planned and funded
8			already planned and funded.
9	MR. STEIB: Correct.	8	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is
	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for	8 9	
	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just		DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is
	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they	9 10 11	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example.
11 12	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was	9 10	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to
11 12 13	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the	9 10 11	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example.
11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the developer and their legal representative and their	9 10 11 12	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to
11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the	9 10 11 12 13	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to make sure that we're not exaggerating what we're
11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the developer and their legal representative and their	9 10 11 12 13 14	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to make sure that we're not exaggerating what we're doing here.
11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the developer and their legal representative and their engineers. And they came to court and submitted and	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to make sure that we're not exaggerating what we're doing here. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I'm not exaggerating, but what I'm saying is if you are going to take the numbers at any particular time and
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the developer and their legal representative and their engineers. And they came to court and submitted and were represented separately just as Showplace was	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to make sure that we're not exaggerating what we're doing here. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I'm not exaggerating, but what I'm saying is if you are going to take the numbers at any particular time and you take your immunity, anybody could come in just
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the developer and their legal representative and their engineers. And they came to court and submitted and were represented separately just as Showplace was represented by their legal counsel and their	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to make sure that we're not exaggerating what we're doing here. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I'm not exaggerating, but what I'm saying is if you are going to take the numbers at any particular time and you take your immunity, anybody could come in just like we had Toll Brothers come in and fight us tooth
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the developer and their legal representative and their engineers. And they came to court and submitted and were represented separately just as Showplace was represented by their legal counsel and their representatives at the same point as interveners.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to make sure that we're not exaggerating what we're doing here. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I'm not exaggerating, but what I'm saying is if you are going to take the numbers at any particular time and you take your immunity, anybody could come in just
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the developer and their legal representative and their engineers. And they came to court and submitted and were represented separately just as Showplace was represented by their legal counsel and their representatives at the same point as interveners. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right. Unless	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to make sure that we're not exaggerating what we're doing here. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I'm not exaggerating, but what I'm saying is if you are going to take the numbers at any particular time and you take your immunity, anybody could come in just like we had Toll Brothers come in and fight us tooth
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the developer and their legal representative and their engineers. And they came to court and submitted and were represented separately just as Showplace was represented by their legal counsel and their representatives at the same point as interveners. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right. Unless there's any other questions for Mike and we can	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to make sure that we're not exaggerating what we're doing here. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I'm not exaggerating, but what I'm saying is if you are going to take the numbers at any particular time and you take your immunity, anybody could come in just like we had Toll Brothers come in and fight us tooth and nail on our CKV property. I don't really I don't know if this is I don't want to argue semantics but we
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the developer and their legal representative and their engineers. And they came to court and submitted and were represented separately just as Showplace was represented by their legal counsel and their representatives at the same point as interveners. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right. Unless there's any other questions for Mike and we can follow up later as the night moves on MR. ZINER: I just have one question on clarity. Nancy made a comment saying we could be	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to make sure that we're not exaggerating what we're doing here. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I'm not exaggerating, but what I'm saying is if you are going to take the numbers at any particular time and you take your immunity, anybody could come in just like we had Toll Brothers come in and fight us tooth and nail on our CKV property. I don't really I don't know if this is I don't want to argue semantics but we actually had more units on some of the properties
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And it could be for no fault of this town or this board, but just because it wasn't as viable as they DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Everything was submitted in good faith and it was submitted by the developer and their legal representative and their engineers. And they came to court and submitted and were represented separately just as Showplace was represented by their legal counsel and their representatives at the same point as interveners. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right. Unless there's any other questions for Mike and we can follow up later as the night moves on MR. ZINER: I just have one question	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The funding is there but we don't have a shovel in the ground. Anything could happen. What I'm doing is I'm using an example. MR. ZINER: No, no. I'm just trying to make sure that we're not exaggerating what we're doing here. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I'm not exaggerating, but what I'm saying is if you are going to take the numbers at any particular time and you take your immunity, anybody could come in just like we had Toll Brothers come in and fight us tooth and nail on our CKV property. I don't really I don't know if this is I don't want to argue semantics but we

	44		46
1	the numbers on that land.	1	low-income, or very low-income bracket. And what
2	I'm not going to argue right now to say	2	that means is it's in relation to the region's
3	that if we were going to go into where we were	3	median household income.
4	opened up to a builder's remedy suit, that they	4	So, as Mike said, we're in Region 4
5	weren't going to come back and look for more court	5	here. The median household income for Monmouth
6	cases that were going to further reduce our cases	6	County, Ocean County, and Mercer, so all together,
	now, because they wouldn't have stopped unless we		is about \$103,000 for a four-person household. So
7		7	if we break that down to a moderate income
8	didn't have an agreement. So, you know what I'm	8	
9	talking about, Mike. They were relentless. And if	9	household, that's a household that's earning between
10	there was more time, they would have dug up a whole	10	50 and 80 percent of that median income, we get to
11	lot of stuff and would have tried to cut us from	11	\$82,000 a year for a four-person household. If we
12	75 units down to 49 units to 20 units so that they	12	break that down one more, to a low-income household,
13	could do inclusionary developments.	13	that's a household earning between 30 and 50 percent
14	And if you think that you don't have	14	of that median income number, we're at 51,000 a year
15	builders that would be out there that would want to	15	for a four-person household.
16	do that, that is what they were attacking, our	16	MR. PEPE: Hold on. You said between
17	municipally sponsored units. And it happened to be	17	30 and 50 percent but you are taking the higher
18	whether we were going to have rentals, whether the	18	number of that range. So why don't you give us the
19	deeds were going to be held in the same name of the	19	mean number of that range?
20	same company, whether they were going to be	20	MS. MERTZ: If you're asking me to do
21	individuals. They challenged every aspect of that	21	math on the spot, I don't think I can.
22	to try to prevent us from using affordable housing	22	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: I can do it. You
23	and from building those as municipally sponsored	23	get the idea. The way we're looking at this is not
24	units.	24	exactly accurate so, listen, it's super important.
25	I can leave it at that.	25	People are here. We're talking about people's
	45		A /
1		1	47
1	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over	1	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic.
2	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from	2	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize
2 3	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing	2 3	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other.
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it?	2 3 4	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure.
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of	2 3 4 5	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can,
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with	2 3 4 5 6	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's	2 3 4 5 6 7	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not that interesting at times, but every aspect of it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of 108, so it's like 39,000.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not that interesting at times, but every aspect of it is important to how we got to where we are today with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of 108, so it's like 39,000. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. You are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not that interesting at times, but every aspect of it is important to how we got to where we are today with this plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of 108, so it's like 39,000. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. You are correct, I was giving the 50 percent and 80 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not that interesting at times, but every aspect of it is important to how we got to where we are today with this plan. Before I jump into the specifics of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of 108, so it's like 39,000. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. You are correct, I was giving the 50 percent and 80 percent numbers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not that interesting at times, but every aspect of it is important to how we got to where we are today with this plan. Before I jump into the specifics of Millstone's plan, I just wanted to give everybody,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of 108, so it's like 39,000. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. You are correct, I was giving the 50 percent and 80 percent numbers. The final is 30 percent very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not that interesting at times, but every aspect of it is important to how we got to where we are today with this plan. Before I jump into the specifics of Millstone's plan, I just wanted to give everybody, including the board, a visual about the type of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of 108, so it's like 39,000. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. You are correct, I was giving the 50 percent and 80 percent numbers. The final is 30 percent very low-income, 30 percent of the median household
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not that interesting at times, but every aspect of it is important to how we got to where we are today with this plan. Before I jump into the specifics of Millstone's plan, I just wanted to give everybody, including the board, a visual about the type of households that are living in these completed and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of 108, so it's like 39,000. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. You are correct, I was giving the 50 percent and 80 percent numbers. The final is 30 percent very low-income, 30 percent of the median household income and that is \$30,000 about. 30,000 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not that interesting at times, but every aspect of it is important to how we got to where we are today with this plan. Before I jump into the specifics of Millstone's plan, I just wanted to give everybody, including the board, a visual about the type of households that are living in these completed and proposed units. When people hear affordable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of 108, so it's like 39,000. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. You are correct, I was giving the 50 percent and 80 percent numbers. The final is 30 percent very low-income, 30 percent of the median household income and that is \$30,000 about. 30,000 and change. The type of households that comprise these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not that interesting at times, but every aspect of it is important to how we got to where we are today with this plan. Before I jump into the specifics of Millstone's plan, I just wanted to give everybody, including the board, a visual about the type of households that are living in these completed and proposed units. When people hear affordable housing, that's sort of an abstract term and it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of 108, so it's like 39,000. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. You are correct, I was giving the 50 percent and 80 percent numbers. The final is 30 percent very low-income, 30 percent of the median household income and that is \$30,000 about. 30,000 and change. The type of households that comprise these income brackets tend to be teachers, nurses, your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not that interesting at times, but every aspect of it is important to how we got to where we are today with this plan. Before I jump into the specifics of Millstone's plan, I just wanted to give everybody, including the board, a visual about the type of households that are living in these completed and proposed units. When people hear affordable housing, that's sort of an abstract term and it's not always clear what that means.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of 108, so it's like 39,000. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. You are correct, I was giving the 50 percent and 80 percent numbers. The final is 30 percent very low-income, 30 percent of the median household income and that is \$30,000 about. 30,000 and change. The type of households that comprise these income brackets tend to be teachers, nurses, your working class families.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Let's turn it over to McKinley. And if you could go through this from the planning side and the Master Plan Housing Element side of it? MS. MERTZ: Sure. For the benefit of the public, my name is McKinley Mertz. I'm with Heyer, Gruel & Associates. We are the Township's planners. Our office has been helping your governing body develop this plan for the last four or five years. And I put this plan together. I'm here tonight to present it to you. Mike did a great job going over the history. It's very long and complicated and not that interesting at times, but every aspect of it is important to how we got to where we are today with this plan. Before I jump into the specifics of Millstone's plan, I just wanted to give everybody, including the board, a visual about the type of households that are living in these completed and proposed units. When people hear affordable housing, that's sort of an abstract term and it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	homes. We're talking about a very emotional topic. What I'm trying to do here is not sensationalize anything one way or the other. MS. MERTZ: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, if you can, let's just define everything we're doing. This way everybody is comfortable and nobody is going to come back and say that we, as a board, who were put here by them, misrepresented anything. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So the idea of this range, 50 percent, right, it's between 50 and 30. If you want to favor it with a 37 percent of 108, so it's like 39,000. MS. MERTZ: That's fair. You are correct, I was giving the 50 percent and 80 percent numbers. The final is 30 percent very low-income, 30 percent of the median household income and that is \$30,000 about. 30,000 and change. The type of households that comprise these income brackets tend to be teachers, nurses, your

	48		50
1	beginning. You've been very involved and very	1	rehabilitated. That was a funneled down number that
2	diligent in putting forth the effort, receiving your	2	was established.
3	certification, and this process has been no	3	So that present need comes with every
4	exception to that.	4	new round. Every time there's a new round, there's
5	So if we jump into where we are with	5	a new present-need number. So the way the Township
6	this, what we're calling the Third Round, which is,	6	will meet that 20-unit need is through a
7	essentially, from 1999, when COAH failed to adopt	7	rehabilitation program. Counties used to run their
8	new rules, until 2025. That is the current round	8	own rehabilitation programs. However, several of
9	we're in. And as Mike listed, the Township has a	9	them, including Monmouth County, have stopped those
10	Third Round need of 231 units.	10	programs.
11	Now, in addition to that, there is	11	My understanding from talking with
12	still a need that was created during those earlier	12	representatives at the county is that was due to
13	rounds what we call the prior rounds, which was 1987	13	the way they put it to me was it became too
14	to 1999. It was really two rounds but for ease,	14	cumbersome to maintain all of the liens and deed
15	we're combining them into one name or one umbrella.	15	restrictions that had to be put on these units.
16	That requirement was 81 units.	16	Once a unit gets rehabilitated with county funds or
17	Now, because the Township received	17	state funds, a deed restriction needs to be placed
18	their certification for that prior round,	18	on the unit so that it continues to be occupied by
19	those that number 81 has already been fulfilled	19	low or moderate income households.
20	but we still lay it all out in the plan, so I'll	20	So Monmouth County has stopped their
21	just walk you through where the Township was on that	21	program. The Township, therefore, is going to pick
22	as well.	22	up a program and establish a brand-new program. The
23	There is a third category of need for	23	Affordable Housing Alliance is going to be running
24	all of the towns, every town in New Jersey, that's	24	that program. It's going to be all of the
25	known as the present need or rehabilitation need.	25	applicants for these rehabilitated units will go
	49		51
1	And what that is referring to is any deficient	1	through the Affordable Housing Alliance. They will
2	housing within a municipality that needs to be	2	create the program and run it out of their office.
3	rehabilitated to essentially bring it up to code.	3	The Township, additionally, has 18
4	The criteria for an efficient housing has to do with	4	rehabilitated units that they were required to do
5	overcrowding, lack of plumbing and lack of kitchen	5	under court order between 2004 and 2008. Only seven
6	facilities and is in relation to homes built before	6	homes were rehabilitated during that time, so the
7	1960.	7	Township is still on the hook for those remaining
8	So the methodology for how all these	8	units.
9	numbers has come up, Mike has talked about the	9	So in total we have 38 units, the 20
10	negotiations between what the builders' lobby came	10	rehabilitated units from this round and the 18 units
11	up with versus what the municipality's professionals	11	that were assigned between the 2004 and 2008 period,
12	came up with. It's the very detailed process that	12	seven of which have been completed. So we're down
13	it is not even worth beginning to scrape the surface	13	to 31 units that the Affordable Housing Alliance
14	of because it just, quite frankly, doesn't make	14	will manage.
15	sense because we're just bouncing numbers around.	15	MR. STEIB: That isn't new units.
16	But generally speaking, these numbers	16	That's rehabilitating existing homes.
17	come from a state level at first. They are narrowed	17	MS. MERTZ: Right. Those were those
18	down to these regional levels, and then they're	18	deficient housing units we were talking about.
19	narrowed down town by town. So the need, as we're	19	Existing, not new.
20	calling it, comes overall as a state need and then	20	The prior round, which we both
21	gets funneled down.	21	mentioned now, again, 1987 to 1999, the Township
22	So when we say that Millstone has a	22	received certification for that time period. So
23	present rehabilitation need of 20, those are the	23	those units that fulfill that have been created,
24	deficient units, nobody went out and counted there	24	have been constructed. They're being lived in. A
25	are 20 units in this town that need to be	25	handful of them were constructed in the '80s, so

	۲۵ ۲۵	1	F 4
1	52 they have been around for a while. And most of	1	54 your obligation I'm sorry, 25 percent of your
1	those are well, I shouldn't say most, but several	2	obligation, you're capped. But you are able to
	of those are group homes. And group homes count as		receive quite a few extra credits through that that
3	very low-income towards a town's obligation.	3	are not units. They are credits versus units.
4 5	So we have the Millstone Road Group	4 5	So for the prior round Millstone
	Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, Millstone	6	receives 16 bonus credits. So the full 81 units
6		_	
7	Canwright House are all group homes. In addition to that, we have the Novad House, which is a	7	weren't constructed. And again, we also had the RCA
8	single-family rental unit. And finally, the Indian	8	program. But that's what a rental bonus can do. You can receive rental bonus for senior rental units
9		9	
10	Path development was an inclusionary development at	10	at a slightly lower ratio, instead of two for one,
11	the time of the prior round certification. That	11	it's 1.33, basically one-and-a-third per unit. So
12	included six affordable units.	12	that helps municipalities in fulfilling their
13	And that, again, that was constructed	13	number.
14	in the late '80s and sorry, early '90s and has	14	All right. So the Third Round, which
15	been occupied as such. So all of those count toward	15	is again where we are now, which is the 26-year
16	your prior round.	16	round, that is a lot of that has been the focus
17	There was a previous mechanism called	17	of the discussion over the last four years.
18	an RCA, a Regional Contribution Agreement. This was	18	Some of the developments that I
19	a mechanism that was allowed during the prior round	19	mentioned for the prior round had enough credits
20	but the courts have since deemed it	20	that we could bring some of those forward to the
21	unconstitutional. What it did was it allowed one	21	Third Round.
22	municipality, that we call the sending municipality,	22	You had already fulfilled your prior
23	to essentially "send" their units to another town,	23	round and we still had extra credits. For example,
24	the receiving municipality, they would pay that	24	the Red Valley Road Group Home, we didn't need to
25	other town, and that town would build the units	25	use all of those units for the prior round because
	50		
	53		55
1	there. The sending municipality would receive	1	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two
2	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that.	2	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round.
	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but		we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a
2 3 4	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that	2 3 4	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the
2 3 4 5	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to	2 3 4 5	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path
2 3 4 5 6	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits.	2 3 4 5 6	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright
2 3 4 5 6 7	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that	2 3 4 5 6 7	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone still gets to receive those 46 units of credit for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at 31 Burnt Tavern Road and 8 Novad Court.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone still gets to receive those 46 units of credit for their prior round.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at 31 Burnt Tavern Road and 8 Novad Court. Those, again, group homes. Those are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone still gets to receive those 46 units of credit for their prior round. Now, Nancy brought up this point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at 31 Burnt Tavern Road and 8 Novad Court. Those, again, group homes. Those are constructed. We're getting 12 credits between those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone still gets to receive those 46 units of credit for their prior round. Now, Nancy brought up this point earlier, there's this idea of bonus credits. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at 31 Burnt Tavern Road and 8 Novad Court. Those, again, group homes. Those are constructed. We're getting 12 credits between those group homes. Allen House 1, which was constructed a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone still gets to receive those 46 units of credit for their prior round. Now, Nancy brought up this point earlier, there's this idea of bonus credits. So when we say 81 units, 231 units, that's still a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at 31 Burnt Tavern Road and 8 Novad Court. Those, again, group homes. Those are constructed. We're getting 12 credits between those group homes. Allen House 1, which was constructed a few years ago, that's a 100 percent affordable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone still gets to receive those 46 units of credit for their prior round. Now, Nancy brought up this point earlier, there's this idea of bonus credits. So when we say 81 units, 231 units, that's still a municipality's obligation, but they can receive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at 31 Burnt Tavern Road and 8 Novad Court. Those, again, group homes. Those are constructed. We're getting 12 credits between those group homes. Allen House 1, which was constructed a few years ago, that's a 100 percent affordable development for seniors. All rental for seniors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone still gets to receive those 46 units of credit for their prior round. Now, Nancy brought up this point earlier, there's this idea of bonus credits. So when we say 81 units, 231 units, that's still a municipality's obligation, but they can receive bonus credits for rental units. Not sales units,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at 31 Burnt Tavern Road and 8 Novad Court. Those, again, group homes. Those are constructed. We're getting 12 credits between those group homes. Allen House 1, which was constructed a few years ago, that's a 100 percent affordable development for seniors. All rental for seniors. We have recently, here at this board,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone still gets to receive those 46 units of credit for their prior round. Now, Nancy brought up this point earlier, there's this idea of bonus credits. So when we say 81 units, 231 units, that's still a municipality's obligation, but they can receive bonus credits for rental units. Not sales units, but any rental projects. So you can receive two for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at 31 Burnt Tavern Road and 8 Novad Court. Those, again, group homes. Those are constructed. We're getting 12 credits between those group homes. Allen House 1, which was constructed a few years ago, that's a 100 percent affordable development for seniors. All rental for seniors. We have recently, here at this board, in November, we heard a proposal for Allen House 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone still gets to receive those 46 units of credit for their prior round. Now, Nancy brought up this point earlier, there's this idea of bonus credits. So when we say 81 units, 231 units, that's still a municipality's obligation, but they can receive bonus credits for rental units. Not sales units, but any rental projects. So you can receive two for the price of one. If you have a rental development	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at 31 Burnt Tavern Road and 8 Novad Court. Those, again, group homes. Those are constructed. We're getting 12 credits between those group homes. Allen House 1, which was constructed a few years ago, that's a 100 percent affordable development for seniors. All rental for seniors. We have recently, here at this board, in November, we heard a proposal for Allen House 2. It's essentially the exact same thing as Allen House
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone still gets to receive those 46 units of credit for their prior round. Now, Nancy brought up this point earlier, there's this idea of bonus credits. So when we say 81 units, 231 units, that's still a municipality's obligation, but they can receive bonus credits for rental units. Not sales units, but any rental projects. So you can receive two for the price of one. If you have a rental development with five rental units, you can receive ten credits	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at 31 Burnt Tavern Road and 8 Novad Court. Those, again, group homes. Those are constructed. We're getting 12 credits between those group homes. Allen House 1, which was constructed a few years ago, that's a 100 percent affordable development for seniors. All rental for seniors. We have recently, here at this board, in November, we heard a proposal for Allen House 2. It's essentially the exact same thing as Allen House 1. It's even on the property next door. It's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there. The sending municipality would receive credit for that. That program is no longer in play but any towns that received certification with that mechanism during the prior rounds still get to receive those credits. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So we can't use that going forward? MS. MERTZ: We can't use that again, no. But during that prior round, the Township of Millstone entered into an agreement with Asbury Park. And they "sent" 46 units to Asbury Park and they paid them to build the units. So Millstone still gets to receive those 46 units of credit for their prior round. Now, Nancy brought up this point earlier, there's this idea of bonus credits. So when we say 81 units, 231 units, that's still a municipality's obligation, but they can receive bonus credits for rental units. Not sales units, but any rental projects. So you can receive two for the price of one. If you have a rental development	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we fulfilled that. So we got to carry forward two of those units to the Third Round. So what we have in the Third Round is a carry-over from the Millstone Road Group Home, the Red Valley Road Group Home, the Indian Path Inclusionary Development, the Millstone Canwright Group Home. All of those were constructed and occupied in the prior round but we got to bring them forward and basically and claim the rest of them, as we say. Since that time, additional group homes have been constructed. What this plan refers to is Group Home 1 and Group Home 2. Those are located at 31 Burnt Tavern Road and 8 Novad Court. Those, again, group homes. Those are constructed. We're getting 12 credits between those group homes. Allen House 1, which was constructed a few years ago, that's a 100 percent affordable development for seniors. All rental for seniors. We have recently, here at this board, in November, we heard a proposal for Allen House 2. It's essentially the exact same thing as Allen House

	56		58
1	Another 100 hundred percent municipal or 100	1	or Millstone Woods. That will be 100 percent
2	percent affordable project.	2	affordable for sale. So not rental, so we don't get
3	And what some of this discussion	3	to claim any rental bonuses for that one. That is
4	earlier was talking about were these inclusionary	4	going to consist of 46 affordable units, 25 will be
5	developments versus these 100 percent affordable	5	low-income and 24 will be moderate income.
6	developments. And from the municipality's	6	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So let's just a
7	standpoint, these 100 percent affordable	7	minute just to talk about that.
8	developments are the way to go because you don't get	8	MS. MERTZ: Sure.
9	any additional units. You fulfill your affordable	9	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So CKV is 100 percent
10	obligation, your Fair Share obligation without	10	affordable and it will be 46 affordable homes and no
11	adding market-rate units to a site and to the town.	11	market-rate, so it's not inclusionary. But who's
12	The inclusionary development is, as I	12	building it?
13	am fairly sure was made clear but I'll say it again,	13	Ms. MERTZ: That's another one that
14	is when you have market-rate units that are	14	the Affordable Housing Alliance will be securing the
15	basically subsidizing the affordable units. And	15	funding for and doing the construction for.
16	usually that's at a 20 percent set-aside. That is	16	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But Millstone is
17	where that 1-to-5 ratio came into. So for every	17	responsible for some of the construction, if I'm
18	five units you get five units of market-rate, you	18	reading this right, streets, utilities.
19	get one unit of affordable.	19	MS. MERTZ: So we're in the process,
20	So those are where municipalities get	20	the Township is still in the process of working out
21	hit really hard with a lot of additional housing	21	the development agreement with for this project
22	units because you see the affordable number but you	22	with the Affordable Housing Alliance. So we're
23	have to think times five is how many units you're	23	still negotiating.
24	going to end up with.	24	There has been some discussion about
25	So these, Allen House 1 and Allen House	25	what the Township will be contributing or helping
	57		59
1	2, those have been really good projects. And those	1	with in terms of what you said, infrastructure,
2	are or will be managed also by the Affordable	2	utilities. It's expected that some of that will be
3	Housing Alliance. And they are the ones in charge	3	will come from the Township but we have not
4	of again, Allen House 1 is constructed. For	4	determined the extent of that.
5	Allen House 2, the Affordable Housing Alliance is in	5	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: But some of
6	charge of pursuing the funding and securing the	6	that is going to come from the agreement that we
7	funding for that. The municipality has already	7	have with Showplace.
8	the Township has already deeded them the property or	8	MS. MERTZ: Yes.
9	is about to, at least. About to. So they will move	9	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The upfront
10	on and work on their funding for that.	10	money, 1.9 million, that is supposed to come from
11	Additionally, we have the Burnt Tavern	11	the agreement of that, the upfront money to help
12	Apartments. That's another already completed site.	12	with the infrastructure for that to offset our
13	That's a family rental. Another 100 percent	13	costs.
14	affordable that has four rental units within it.	14	MS. MERTZ: Right. That's a good
15	And then we get into the proposed	15	point, so let me explain that a little further right
16	properties. And a lot of these were the subject of	16	now.
17	the negotiations over the last few years. The first	17	The Showplace Farms, as was mentioned,
18	of which is the one that we refer to as Shu Lee or	18	they're no longer doing inclusionary development as
19	Millstone Village.	19	was originally proposed. They're going to be developing warehouse space and doing a payment in
	That is gains to be some wind of		Developing warepouse space and doing a payment in
20	That is going to be comprised of	20	
21	that's also going to be a 100 percent affordable	21	lieu of units. So the township is getting
21 22	that's also going to be a 100 percent affordable family rental project with 30 affordable units. So,	21 22	lieu of units. So the township is getting 2.5 percent of the assessed value will be going to
21 22 23	that's also going to be a 100 percent affordable family rental project with 30 affordable units. So, again, you're not getting any market-rate units with	21 22 23	lieu of units. So the township is getting 2.5 percent of the assessed value will be going to the township. We're estimating that to be about
21 22	that's also going to be a 100 percent affordable family rental project with 30 affordable units. So,	21 22	lieu of units. So the township is getting 2.5 percent of the assessed value will be going to

r		i —	
	60		62
1	utilities, improvements. That money will come	1	donated a piece of property, that property came to
2	directly from what the Township is getting from	2	us.
3	Showplace Farms.	3	The property that the Shu Lee
4	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: What about, Nancy,	4	apartments are on, that is property that is behind
5	here's a question for you. The purchase of the CKV	5	the Burger King area
6	property, how was that handled?	6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You are
7	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The Township	7	stalling us.
8	bonded for that money. That was the property,	8	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Excuse me. We're
9	remember, that we were looking to purchase and we	9	going to let the process continue, if you don't
10	bought.	10	mind, and we will open it up to the public.
11	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right. So the land	11	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: What happened
12	doesn't come for free. It's another part of the	12	was
13	process. You have to buy the land	13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let's get to
14	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: We bought that.	14	the Baldachino question here.
15	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right. We put up a	15	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you for your
16	bond. We have to pay off the bond at some point.	16	comments. We'll do it more formally in a few
17	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Correct.	17	minutes.
18	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So the town has to	18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
19	pay X for the land, and then the town has to pay Y	19	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So if you would hang
20	for the infrastructure, which hopefully comes from	20	out a little bit more, we'd appreciate it.
21	the Showplace moving forward. And then some third	21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're stalling
22	party, this group, is actually going to build the	22	us.
23	homes.	23	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you for that
24	MS. MERTZ: Correct.	24	comment.
25	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So it's a little	25	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Those were two
	61		63
1	bit of a a lot of things had to fall into place	1	of the properties that we purchased back then. So
2	for that?	2	whenever properties became available, what we did is
3	MS. MERTZ: Absolutely.	3	we purchased properties knowing that we had COAH
4	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Nancy, is it	4	obligations that were coming up, and that's what we
5	fair to say that in those several other group home	5	did.
6	scenarios that McKinley went through, several of	6	So that's where we got all of these
7	which are already on the books and successful, there	7	properties from. So we built up the bank.
8	was that same formula?	8	MS. CURTIS: I have a quick question;
9	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Yes. If you	9	can I ask?
10	remember correctly, when different properties became	10	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Sure.
11	available, such as the Allen House 1, that was a	11	MS. CURTIS: Okay, thank you.
12	piece of property that the Township acquired that a	12	How about Showplace Farms, you said
13	builder had that he didn't want and the Township	13	that is going to generate about \$1.8 million in the
14	bought and we just had and we used that.	14	beginning.
15	If you remember when we were sitting at	15	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Yes.
16	our work group, there was that house that Allen	16	MS. CURTIS: Now, how much is it going
17	House 2 was going to go on that was just for sale	17	to generate in annual taxes for the Township?
18	that we got at a very good cost. And we said what	18	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I think we
19	about that for COAH. And we bought that. And we	19	estimated about 1.7 million.
20	had it and we put it in the bank.	20	MS. CURTIS: That's annual?
21	The process that was on here, which was	21	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Yes.
1	the rental homes that just had gone up, the four	22	MS. CURTIS: So that warehouse is not
22			
23	homes, the Burnt Tavern Apartments, that was a piece	23	going to really create any additional cost for
		23 24 25	going to really create any additional cost for education because it's a warehouse, so it's limited

	64	1	66
1	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: No cost for	1	listed, we get a total of 184 units, and 58 rental
2	education and also no impact on schools.	2	bonuses for a grand total of 242 credits. And if
3	MS. CURTIS: Okay. Thank you.	3	anyone can do math, you can see we're about 11 units
4	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Go	4	over, and we are allowed to carry those units to the
5	ahead, please.	5	next the next round, whatever it is that may be.
6	MS. MERTZ: The final proposed	6	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And towards that
7	development, which is why I know everybody is	7	231, 96 of that is the Baldachino site or 48 plus
8	sitting in the audience tonight, is the Baldachino	8	44?
9	property. This is yes, the only new inclusionary	9	MS. MERTZ: Correct. Just to make
10	development that is proposed as a component of this	10	sure everyone is clear, it's 48 units, 96 credits.
11	plan.	11	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: That's what I meant
12	So the numbers for this we're talking	12	to say.
13	194 market-rate units and 48 affordable family	13	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So if I reduce
14	rental units. And, again, that is that 20 percent	14	the size of that, but I'm reducing two for one, I
15	set-aside that we had talked about, that 1-to-5	15	have to reduce half, I could reduce by six,
16	ratio.	16	arguably?
17	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: That also yields	17	MS. MERTZ: Correct.
18	bonus credits?	18	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Reduce by six
19	MS. MERTZ: Yes. Because we get	19	ultimately because I have five for one, right, I
20	rental for all of this, we're getting 44 bonus	20	reduce by six. And then six times five, 30. So I
21	credits from this site. So we're getting 48 units	21	get 36 less units on the property if we were to just
22	but we're also getting 48 bonus credits.	22	take that property and meet our minimum?
23	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So we get a total	23	MS. MERTZ: Good math. Yes.
24	of 96 credits?	24	Those are all of the proposed
25	MS. MERTZ: Correct.	25	mechanisms. I will note one thing that we talked
	65		67
	05		07
1	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do	1	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a
1 2		1 2	
	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do		about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a
2	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH?	2	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're
2 3	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231	2 3	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so
2 3 4	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231?	2 3 4	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing
2 3 4 5	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And	2 3 4 5	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its
2 3 4 5 6	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that	2 3 4 5 6	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private	2 3 4 5 6 7	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable household. Should someone choose to take advantage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can only be spent on affordable housing measures.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable household. Should someone choose to take advantage of that, it's available.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can only be spent on affordable housing measures. So things like the construction of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable household. Should someone choose to take advantage of that, it's available. And even though none of those units	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can only be spent on affordable housing measures. So things like the construction of the 100 percent developments adding to the utilities,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable household. Should someone choose to take advantage of that, it's available. And even though none of those units even though no one has come to the Township yet to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can only be spent on affordable housing measures. So things like the construction of the 100 percent developments adding to the utilities, that comes out of this fund. Mine and Mike's fees
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable household. Should someone choose to take advantage of that, it's available. And even though none of those units even though no one has come to the Township yet to build one of those units, the Township still gets to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can only be spent on affordable housing measures. So things like the construction of the 100 percent developments adding to the utilities, that comes out of this fund. Mine and Mike's fees can come out of this fund because it's all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable household. Should someone choose to take advantage of that, it's available. And even though none of those units even though no one has come to the Township yet to build one of those units, the Township still gets to take the ten credits because that program is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can only be spent on affordable housing measures. So things like the construction of the 100 percent developments adding to the utilities, that comes out of this fund. Mine and Mike's fees can come out of this fund because it's all affordable housing dedicated. The money to run the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable household. Should someone choose to take advantage of that, it's available. And even though none of those units even though no one has come to the Township yet to build one of those units, the Township still gets to take the ten credits because that program is available. We're creating the opportunity. That is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can only be spent on affordable housing measures. So things like the construction of the 100 percent developments adding to the utilities, that comes out of this fund. Mine and Mike's fees can come out of this fund because it's all affordable housing dedicated. The money to run the rehabilitation program can come out of this fund.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable household. Should someone choose to take advantage of that, it's available. Mnd even though none of those units even though no one has come to the Township yet to build one of those units, the Township still gets to take the ten credits because that program is available. We're creating the opportunity. That is what the courts want to see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can only be spent on affordable housing measures. So things like the construction of the 100 percent developments adding to the utilities, that comes out of this fund. Mine and Mike's fees can come out of this fund because it's all affordable housing dedicated. The money to run the rehabilitation program can come out of this fund. Anything that is affordable-housing focused.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable household. Should someone choose to take advantage of that, it's available. And even though none of those units even though no one has come to the Township yet to build one of those units, the Township still gets to take the ten credits because that program is available. We're creating the opportunity. That is what the courts want to see. So that program, while it hasn't been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can only be spent on affordable housing measures. So things like the construction of the 100 percent developments adding to the utilities, that comes out of this fund. Mine and Mike's fees can come out of this fund because it's all affordable housing dedicated. The money to run the rehabilitation program can come out of this fund. Anything that is affordable-housing focused. So beyond the developments we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable household. Should someone choose to take advantage of that, it's available. And even though none of those units even though no one has come to the Township yet to build one of those units, the Township still gets to take the ten credits because that program is available. We're creating the opportunity. That is what the courts want to see. So that program, while it hasn't been taken advantage of yet, is still an opportunity. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can only be spent on affordable housing measures. So things like the construction of the 100 percent developments adding to the utilities, that comes out of this fund. Mine and Mike's fees can come out of this fund because it's all affordable housing dedicated. The money to run the rehabilitation program can come out of this fund. Anything that is affordable-housing focused. So beyond the developments we're talking about today, there's constantly this fee
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: And how many do we need to satisfy this round of COAH? MS. MERTZ: So we need 231 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: 231? MS. MERTZ: Total units, correct. And with all of the developments that I just expressed now, with the addition of one final program called the Accessory Apartment Program, what that essentially does is it allows somebody, a private property owner, should they choose to build an accessory affordable apartment on their site, the Township will give them money to construct it and deed restrict it for ten years for an affordable household. Should someone choose to take advantage of that, it's available. And even though none of those units even though no one has come to the Township yet to build one of those units, the Township still gets to take the ten credits because that program is available. We're creating the opportunity. That is what the courts want to see. So that program, while it hasn't been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about with the Showplace Farms, we worked out a Settlement Agreement with them that they're contributing their 2.5 percent to the Township so that they can construct affordable housing elsewhere. However, the Township already has on its books a development fee ordinance. And we'll continue to run that. And what that means is anytime a multifamily building, which doesn't happen very often in Millstone, or a commercial building comes in, they have to pay a percentage of their total assessed value towards this development fee which goes into a trust fund. And that trust fund can only be spent on affordable housing measures. So things like the construction of the 100 percent developments adding to the utilities, that comes out of this fund. Mine and Mike's fees can come out of this fund because it's all affordable housing dedicated. The money to run the rehabilitation program can come out of this fund. Anything that is affordable-housing focused. So beyond the developments we're

	68	1	70
1	MS. CURTIS: Is that a one-time fee or	1	current obligation, how many actual units do we have
2	an annual fee?	2	to construct now to get to the 231?
3	MS. MERTZ: It's a one-time fee, yeah,	3	MS. MERTZ: So we're proposing 184
4	when they construct.	4	actual units to be constructed, the rest to be
5	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Anything further?	5	acquired through bonus credits.
6	MS. MERTZ: No, that's it.	6	MR. DAVIS: If we construct those, we
	I want to make one point just in case	7	will actually have excess credits, you said?
7		8	MS. MERTZ: Right
8	there's any confusion. The maps that we included in		MR. ZINER: Those are affordable
9	our plan include wetlands but we have not performed	9	
10	an LOI. We're required by the law to put any	10	units.
11	environmental constraints on those maps. But we're	11	MR. DAVIS: Right.
12	using state data. We did not go out and do our own	12	MS. MERTZ: Right. And I'll clarify
13	research for that.	13	that a little bit. The way that the plan is now
14	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So the lines might	14	with the 184 units and 58 bonus credits, we get to
15	be bigger or wider?	15	242, which is 11 more than the requirement.
16	MS. MERTZ: Correct. When these	16	Now, part of the reason for that, for
17	developments come in, as Matt said, they'll have to	17	adding that was a little buffer area. The Accessory
18	go through the whole permitting process and do these	18	Apartment Program that I talked about, not a single
19	LOIs and their lines could be slightly different	19	one of our towns has ever had someone come forth and
20	from ours.	20	want to take advantage of that program.
21	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anything	21	There is some uncertainty about the
22	else from anyone on the board as to our	22	viability of it in the future, so we built a little
23	professionals? Any other comments or questions?	23	buffer just in case something were to happen and the
24	Sorry, we're going to take a	24	courts were to say, you know, no one is taking
25	five-minute break. Thanks, everybody. We are	25	advantage, that's not an opportunity. So there's a
	69		71
1	adjourned for a couple of minutes.	1	71 little buffer built in.
1 2		1 2	
	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.)		little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward.
2	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.)	2	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then
2 3	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.)	2 3	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward.
2 3 4	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody.	2 3 4	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about
2 3 4 5	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we	2 3 4 5	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the
2 3 4 5 6	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record.	2 3 4 5 6	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this
2 3 4 5 6 7	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation	2 3 4 5 6 7	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public. If there's any member of the public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike, correct me if I'm remembering this wrong I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public. If there's any member of the public that would like to approach the board with any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike, correct me if I'm remembering this wrong I think it remains 2.5 percent for the commercial and one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public. If there's any member of the public that would like to approach the board with any questions or comments, please approach the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike, correct me if I'm remembering this wrong I think it remains 2.5 percent for the commercial and one point 1.5 percent for residential unless they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public. If there's any member of the public that would like to approach the board with any questions or comments, please approach the microphone. You're welcome to sit down.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike, correct me if I'm remembering this wrong I think it remains 2.5 percent for the commercial and one point 1.5 percent for residential unless they're coming in for density bonus.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public. If there's any member of the public that would like to approach the board with any questions or comments, please approach the microphone. You're welcome to sit down. We do ask that you say your name and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike, correct me if I'm remembering this wrong I think it remains 2.5 percent for the commercial and one point 1.5 percent for residential unless they're coming in for density bonus. If they're asking for more units than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public. If there's any member of the public that would like to approach the board with any questions or comments, please approach the microphone. You're welcome to sit down. We do ask that you say your name and spell your last name and give your address so that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike, correct me if I'm remembering this wrong I think it remains 2.5 percent for the commercial and one point 1.5 percent for residential unless they're coming in for density bonus. If they're asking for more units than is permitted by zoning, then that number jumps to 6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public. If there's any member of the public that would like to approach the board with any questions or comments, please approach the microphone. You're welcome to sit down. We do ask that you say your name and spell your last name and give your address so that we can get you properly logged in on the record, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike, correct me if I'm remembering this wrong I think it remains 2.5 percent for the commercial and one point 1.5 percent for residential unless they're coming in for density bonus. If they're asking for more units than is permitted by zoning, then that number jumps to 6 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public. If there's any member of the public that would like to approach the board with any questions or comments, please approach the microphone. You're welcome to sit down. We do ask that you say your name and spell your last name and give your address so that we can get you properly logged in on the record, and look forward to your comments. Thanks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike, correct me if I'm remembering this wrong I think it remains 2.5 percent for the commercial and one point 1.5 percent for residential unless they're coming in for density bonus. If they're asking for more units than is permitted by zoning, then that number jumps to 6 percent. MR. DAVIS: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public. If there's any member of the public that would like to approach the board with any questions or comments, please approach the microphone. You're welcome to sit down. We do ask that you say your name and spell your last name and give your address so that we can get you properly logged in on the record, and look forward to your comments. Thanks. MR. DAVIS: I'm Eric Davis. I live at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike, correct me if I'm remembering this wrong I think it remains 2.5 percent for the commercial and one point 1.5 percent for residential unless they're coming in for density bonus. If they're asking for more units than is permitted by zoning, then that number jumps to 6 percent. MR. DAVIS: Okay. MS. MERTZ: And that is already on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public. If there's any member of the public that would like to approach the board with any questions or comments, please approach the microphone. You're welcome to sit down. We do ask that you say your name and spell your last name and give your address so that we can get you properly logged in on the record, and look forward to your comments. Thanks. MR. DAVIS: I'm Eric Davis. I live at 210 Disbrow Hill Road in Millstone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike, correct me if I'm remembering this wrong I think it remains 2.5 percent for the commercial and one point 1.5 percent for residential unless they're coming in for density bonus. If they're asking for more units than is permitted by zoning, then that number jumps to 6 percent. MR. DAVIS: Okay. MS. MERTZ: And that is already on the books. The Township already has that. We're going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	adjourned for a couple of minutes. (A recess is taken at 8:50 p.m.) (The record resumes at 9:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks, everybody. I'm going to call the meeting back to order and we are back on the record. I believe we concluded the presentation of our professionals and questions by and comments by the board. And at this time, I do want to let the board members know that you're free to present other comments or questions, but at this moment I would like to open it up to the public. If there's any member of the public that would like to approach the board with any questions or comments, please approach the microphone. You're welcome to sit down. We do ask that you say your name and spell your last name and give your address so that we can get you properly logged in on the record, and look forward to your comments. Thanks. MR. DAVIS: I'm Eric Davis. I live at 210 Disbrow Hill Road in Millstone. I just have a couple of questions about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	little buffer built in. But if it does remain viable, then those credits can be carried forward. MR. DAVIS: Got it. Question about the development fees. You mentioned that the Showplace Farms, at least according to this document, was 1.7 million at 2 1/2 percent. The other development fees, you said that was just for multifamily or commercial? MS. MERTZ: So it's buried deep in here, I probably don't have a tab for it correct. So I think it's I think it remains and, Mike, correct me if I'm remembering this wrong I think it remains 2.5 percent for the commercial and one point 1.5 percent for residential unless they're coming in for density bonus. If they're asking for more units than is permitted by zoning, then that number jumps to 6 percent. MR. DAVIS: Okay. MS. MERTZ: And that is already on the books. The Township already has that. We're going to update that to current standards, but you already

	77	1	74
1	72	4	74
1	here where we come up with like 2.3 million in	1	come to 2.3 million. And then the page HGA-7 shows
2	revenue, they project 100,000 per year from 2020 to	2	how we're going to spend that money, which I think
3	2025. Using the two-and-a-half percent	3	was 465,000 for rehab, 200,000 for accessory
4	MS. CURTIS: What page is that?	4	apartments and then roughly 500,000 for the
5	MS. MERTZ: There's no page numbers.	5	municipal-sponsored projects. MS. MERTZ: That's correct. That's
6	MR. DAVIS: HGA-5.	6	
7	MS. MERTZ: So that's the draft of the	7	how it's projected right now, yeah.
8	spending plan. So one of the facets of this that we	8	MR. DAVIS: So that roughly comes back
9	didn't go into is all towns are required to put	9	to 1.1 million. So if you're collecting 1.7,
10	together a spending plan that will show how they	10	actually we would have a 600,000 surplus for other
11	plan to spend the fees that they collect from the	11	stuff, other future
12	development fee ordinance.	12	MS. MERTZ: Right. And there is a
13	So the numbers listed in this plan are	13	requirement to spend the townships have to
14	an estimate based off of previous development fees	14	provide affordability assistance out of their trust
15	collected, that \$100,000 a year. We did an analysis	15	fund. And 30 percent of the trust fund has to be
16	of the I think we looked back we looked back	16	spent on affordability assistance. So that's where
17	at the trust fund that you already have, you've been	17	some of the excess money goes to.
18	collecting development fees, we looked back at that	18	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: What is
19	from 2007 until now.	19	affordability assistance?
20	And we also looked at the New Jersey	20	MS. MERTZ: That is helping make, for
21	Department of Community Affairs and examined the new	21	example, providing help with, like, security
22	construction that has taken place in Millstone since	22	deposits. It's helping to make units more
23	2000. And that is how we came up with that general	23	affordable, that might not be, to affordable
24	\$100,000 a year from the general development fees,	24	households.
25	basically everything except Showplace.	25	It will be run with the the
	73		75
1	So we're projecting about \$100,000 a	1	Affordable Housing Alliance will do it as part of
2	year to come in plus the 1.7. I misspoke earlier.	2	their contract.
3	I said 1.8. We're projecting 1.7 from Showplace.	3	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I think we have
4	MR. DAVIS: So assuming the	4	some upgrading of the original Allen House 1. Some
5	two-and-a-half percent is more likely the fees, so	5	of the bathrooms, they weren't made with handicap
6	that you're looking at roughly \$4 million in	6	accessibility in some of the bathrooms and some of
7	development per year as a basis to get that 100,000?	7	the tubs. So I know that they wanted to add some
8	Is that what it calculates to, two-and-a-half	8	handicap rails in the bathrooms.
9	percent?	9	MS. MERTZ: That's a good example.
10	MS. MERTZ: My math, I'm sorry, I'm	10	The money is not going to creating new units, it's
11	bad at math.	11	going to maintaining the existing ones.
12	MR. DAVIS: I put it in my calculator.	12	MR. DAVIS: So one last question about
13	MS. MERTZ: I believe you, yes. That	13	the redevelopment or development fees. You said it
14			$\dots = 1, 1/2$ means that a sublice still α and β
4 -	sounds right.	14	was 1 1/2 percent for a multifamily. So I'm just
15	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you're suggesting	15	wondering in these calculations if the Baldachino
16	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you're suggesting that we need to keep generating that much new	15 16	wondering in these calculations if the Baldachino project goes through, the multifamily that are not
16 17	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you're suggesting that we need to keep generating that much new development every year to generate that number?	15 16 17	wondering in these calculations if the Baldachino project goes through, the multifamily that are not that are market-rate ones, are they exempt from
16 17 18	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you're suggesting that we need to keep generating that much new development every year to generate that number? MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Well, looking at	15 16 17 18	wondering in these calculations if the Baldachino project goes through, the multifamily that are not that are market-rate ones, are they exempt from the one-and-a-half percent?
16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you're suggesting that we need to keep generating that much new development every year to generate that number? MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Well, looking at what we're expending, we may not need that but I was	15 16 17 18 19	wondering in these calculations if the Baldachino project goes through, the multifamily that are not that are market-rate ones, are they exempt from the one-and-a-half percent? MS. MERTZ: Yeah, because they're
16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you're suggesting that we need to keep generating that much new development every year to generate that number? MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Well, looking at what we're expending, we may not need that but I was trying to get a clarification on the 100,000 per	15 16 17 18 19 20	wondering in these calculations if the Baldachino project goes through, the multifamily that are not that are market-rate ones, are they exempt from the one-and-a-half percent? MS. MERTZ: Yeah, because they're providing the affordable units. So anybody that is
16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you're suggesting that we need to keep generating that much new development every year to generate that number? MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Well, looking at what we're expending, we may not need that but I was trying to get a clarification on the 100,000 per year is based off of, assuming two-and-a-half	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wondering in these calculations if the Baldachino project goes through, the multifamily that are not that are market-rate ones, are they exempt from the one-and-a-half percent? MS. MERTZ: Yeah, because they're providing the affordable units. So anybody that is not providing affordable units.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you're suggesting that we need to keep generating that much new development every year to generate that number? MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Well, looking at what we're expending, we may not need that but I was trying to get a clarification on the 100,000 per year is based off of, assuming two-and-a-half percent, would be 4 million in development,	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wondering in these calculations if the Baldachino project goes through, the multifamily that are not that are market-rate ones, are they exempt from the one-and-a-half percent? MS. MERTZ: Yeah, because they're providing the affordable units. So anybody that is not providing affordable units. MR. DAVIS: All right. One other
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you're suggesting that we need to keep generating that much new development every year to generate that number? MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Well, looking at what we're expending, we may not need that but I was trying to get a clarification on the 100,000 per year is based off of, assuming two-and-a-half percent, would be 4 million in development, commercial, basically.	 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	wondering in these calculations if the Baldachino project goes through, the multifamily that are not that are market-rate ones, are they exempt from the one-and-a-half percent? MS. MERTZ: Yeah, because they're providing the affordable units. So anybody that is not providing affordable units. MR. DAVIS: All right. One other question about the treatment facility that's
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you're suggesting that we need to keep generating that much new development every year to generate that number? MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Well, looking at what we're expending, we may not need that but I was trying to get a clarification on the 100,000 per year is based off of, assuming two-and-a-half percent, would be 4 million in development,	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wondering in these calculations if the Baldachino project goes through, the multifamily that are not that are market-rate ones, are they exempt from the one-and-a-half percent? MS. MERTZ: Yeah, because they're providing the affordable units. So anybody that is not providing affordable units. MR. DAVIS: All right. One other

761MR. DAVIS: It's not really a2technical question, it's more of a well, I guess3the question is, this came up before, once these get4constructed, who is responsible for maintaining5that?6MS. MERTZ: I actually don't know.7MR. SHAFAI: Right now they are,8wheever builds it. Wheever is gains to run the	st of
2technical question, it's more of a well, I guess2that one-fifth would have to pick up the co3the question is, this came up before, once these get3maintenance for a facility that would cover4constructed, who is responsible for maintaining4know, significantly more people.5that?5Does that become cost prohit6MS. MERTZ: I actually don't know.6low-income community to maintain that?7MR. SHAFAI: Right now they are,7CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yeah,	st of
3the question is, this came up before, once these get3maintenance for a facility that would cover4constructed, who is responsible for maintaining4know, significantly more people.5that?5Does that become cost prohit6MS. MERTZ: I actually don't know.6low-income community to maintain that?7MR. SHAFAI: Right now they are,7CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yeah,	
4constructed, who is responsible for maintaining4know, significantly more people.5that?5Does that become cost prohit6MS. MERTZ: I actually don't know.6low-income community to maintain that?7MR. SHAFAI: Right now they are,7CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yeah,	. vou
5that?5Does that become cost prohit6MS. MERTZ: I actually don't know.6low-income community to maintain that?7MR. SHAFAI: Right now they are,7CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yeah,	, ,
6MS. MERTZ: I actually don't know.6low-income community to maintain that?7MR. SHAFAI: Right now they are,7CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yeah,	
7 MR. SHAFAI: Right now they are, 7 CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yeah,	pitive for a
Q when you build ait. When you is going to wan the	that wouldn't
8 whoever builds it. Whoever is going to run the 8 happen. It would be the entire community	because
9 whole complex. 9 the other units would have to be part of th	e
10MR. STEIB: Normally what they're10homeowner association.	
11going to do is they're going to wind up hiring a11VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So a	separate
12company that monitors and operates these facilities12homeowner association?	
13in various places to oversee it.13CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Or one	e. It depends
14VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Let's be clear,14how it's ultimately set up.	
15though. When we had this board had been15MR. DAVIS: Is it though that	: only
16addressed about that before, at one point, because I16one the marketplace units are sell becau	ise I
17sat in your seat asking these questions, I was told17think we said we were taking credits for all	of them
18the Township would be responsible for that.18for rentals, right?	
19So I just want to be clear, what is the19MS. MERTZ: For the rentals	of the
20right answer here?The Township won't have anything20Baldachino site?	
21to do with that.21MR. DAVIS: Yeah.	
22 MR. STEIB: Correct. 22 MS. MERTZ: Yes.	
23 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That's going to be 23 MR. STEIB: The affordable up	nits.
24strictly on the homeowners association of that24MS. MERTZ: I'm sorry, the available	ffordables.
25 community. 25 MRS. D'ANDREA: One at a til	me. One at
77	79
1 MR. DAVIS: I have a question about if 1 a time. For Mrs. Buonantuono, one at a tir	ne.
2 these are rental units, is there actually a 2 MS. MERTZ: I'm sorry.	
3 homeowners association? 3 MR. DAVIS: So the affordabl	
4 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Well, who is 4 rentals and the other ones could be salable	e units.
5 managing the rental units? I don't know the answer 5 MR. STEIB: It could be.	
6 to that. 6 MR. DAVIS: They could be, c	or they
7 CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: It's a landlord or 7 could rentals, whatever they decide.	
8 homeowner association. Either way, it's a private 8 MR. STEIB: Correct.	
9 entity that, as Mike is suggesting, will hire a 9 MR. DAVIS: So ultimately it	would be
10 professional wastewater management company to manage 10 either the homeowners association or the l	andlord
11the wastewater system.11that ultimately would be responsible for the	e ongoing
12MR. DAVIS: So it technically, it12maintenance of the treatment.	
13should be on the developer to hire someone and pay13CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: It's point	ssible that
14for the ongoing maintenance?14the homeowner association is for the for-sa	ale
15 CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Correct. 15 market-rate units, and then the landlord-o	
16VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Well, does the16affordables is a member of that association	i, or
17 developer run a homeowners association or after he's 17 otherwise contributing to the upkeep of the	e grounds
18done with the project does he walk away?18and the wastewater facility.	
19CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Well, in the case of19But when this if and when this	
20 a rental community, it's the developer/landlord who 20 application comes before the planning boar	
21 will stay. 21 will certainly be a topic that they'll have to	
	ely handled
22 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Right. So it's 22 demonstrate to us that they have adequate	
22VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Right. So it's22demonstrate to us that they have adequate23just going to be a subset of these things. Let's23how the association is going to work.	
22 VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Right. So it's 22 demonstrate to us that they have adequate	

	80	l	82
1	the DCA, who would have to bless whatever homeowners	1	this piece of paper, I'm looking at what I perceive
2	association they come up with with an adequate	2	to be a shopping mall at the back because the
3	budget.	3	footprint of these two buildings, you could fit
4	MR. DAVIS: Or some sort of bonding	4	probably six or eight of the residential buildings
5	that's set aside to in case something happens.	5	into that footprint.
6	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Sure. The town will	6	I'm saying, how could they have a
7	certainly ask for a bond to confirm the construction	7	shopping mall? Oh, no, I'm sorry. That's the
8	and then maintenance of that facility.	8	wastewater plant and the sewage treatment plant.
9	But there's lots of layers of	9	That's what it is. It's not a shopping mall.
10	governmental oversight to get to that point. And	10	So that's another issue I have with
11	obviously we're one of the layers today in that	11	this. Everything is so condensed, so intense and
12	process.	12	what once was what once was kind of a quiet,
13	MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you.	13	ten-acre, Rural Preservation Zone is preserved no
14	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Anyone else?	14	more.
15	MR. SANICKI: Okay, it's show time.	15	And what happened to the Master Plan
16	Hi. My name is Bob Sanicki. I live at 723	16	that some of these people wrote up? That beautiful
17	Perrineville Road. That's S-A-N-I-C-K-I.	17	vision. A Master Plan. Why aren't these areas
18	And I'm just shocked. I think I heard	18	spread out, you know, 45 here or 25 there. Why
19	five years of siting and planning by the brain trust	19	isn't this in a commercial zone?
20	of Millstone Township and they come up with this	20	Why isn't this, God forbid, in a
21	disaster. Who is the genius who wants to go from	21	five-acre residential zone? Why did we have to go
22	the lowest density in Millstone, ten-acre rural	22	all the way to ten-acre?
23	reservation preservation, and go all the way to	23	So it's all kind of crazy here. So I
24	the highest inconceivable, whatever, 1,000 for	24	thoroughly oppose this plan. I think it's
25	15 acres? Who comes up with a concept like that and	25	ill-conceived. And I don't know why, I
	81		83
1	81 tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that	1	83 just let's dump it over here and be rid of it,
1 2		1 2	
	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that		just let's dump it over here and be rid of it,
2	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me.	2 3	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right.
2	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason,	2 3	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of
2 3 4	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed	2 3 4	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil
2 3 4 5	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable	2 3 4 5	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily
2 3 4 5 6	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh	2 3 4 5 6	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight.
2 3 4 5 6 7	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try	2 3 4 5 6 7	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone. How could that possibly happen? I thought Millstone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure. MAYOR MALKIN: Hi. I'm Peggy Malkin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone. How could that possibly happen? I thought Millstone was environmentally sensitive? Speaking of which, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure. MAYOR MALKIN: Hi. I'm Peggy Malkin. I'm the Mayor of Roosevelt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone. How could that possibly happen? I thought Millstone was environmentally sensitive? Speaking of which, I don't see a tree. Is there a tree here? What, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure. MAYOR MALKIN: Hi. I'm Peggy Malkin. I'm the Mayor of Roosevelt. So I would like to start out by saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone. How could that possibly happen? I thought Millstone was environmentally sensitive? Speaking of which, I don't see a tree. Is there a tree here? What, was this land clean cut? Clear cut? How could they fit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure. MAYOR MALKIN: Hi. I'm Peggy Malkin. I'm the Mayor of Roosevelt. So I would like to start out by saying I'm a little perplexed and disappointed that after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone. How could that possibly happen? I thought Millstone was environmentally sensitive? Speaking of which, I don't see a tree. Is there a tree here? What, was this land clean cut? Clear cut? How could they fit this I call it the Fort Dix solution. This is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure. MAYOR MALKIN: Hi. I'm Peggy Malkin. I'm the Mayor of Roosevelt. So I would like to start out by saying I'm a little perplexed and disappointed that after five years of talks about this, that Roosevelt was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone. How could that possibly happen? I thought Millstone was environmentally sensitive? Speaking of which, I don't see a tree. Is there a tree here? What, was this land clean cut? Clear cut? How could they fit this I call it the Fort Dix solution. This is Millstone's affordable housing is the Fort Dix	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure. MAYOR MALKIN: Hi. I'm Peggy Malkin. I'm the Mayor of Roosevelt. So I would like to start out by saying I'm a little perplexed and disappointed that after five years of talks about this, that Roosevelt was never informed or included or in any way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone. How could that possibly happen? I thought Millstone was environmentally sensitive? Speaking of which, I don't see a tree. Is there a tree here? What, was this land clean cut? Clear cut? How could they fit this I call it the Fort Dix solution. This is Millstone's affordable housing is the Fort Dix solution because that's what it is. I lived at Fort	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure. MAYOR MALKIN: Hi. I'm Peggy Malkin. I'm the Mayor of Roosevelt. So I would like to start out by saying I'm a little perplexed and disappointed that after five years of talks about this, that Roosevelt was never informed or included or in any way knowledgeable about all of this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone. How could that possibly happen? I thought Millstone was environmentally sensitive? Speaking of which, I don't see a tree. Is there a tree here? What, was this land clean cut? Clear cut? How could they fit this I call it the Fort Dix solution. This is Millstone's affordable housing is the Fort Dix solution because that's what it is. I lived at Fort Dix for two months and the company street went up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure. MAYOR MALKIN: Hi. I'm Peggy Malkin. I'm the Mayor of Roosevelt. So I would like to start out by saying I'm a little perplexed and disappointed that after five years of talks about this, that Roosevelt was never informed or included or in any way knowledgeable about all of this. I know that you're not actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone. How could that possibly happen? I thought Millstone was environmentally sensitive? Speaking of which, I don't see a tree. Is there a tree here? What, was this land clean cut? Clear cut? How could they fit this I call it the Fort Dix solution. This is Millstone's affordable housing is the Fort Dix solution because that's what it is. I lived at Fort Dix for two months and the company street went up and down. You could shoot a bullet down the avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure. MAYOR MALKIN: Hi. I'm Peggy Malkin. I'm the Mayor of Roosevelt. So I would like to start out by saying I'm a little perplexed and disappointed that after five years of talks about this, that Roosevelt was never informed or included or in any way knowledgeable about all of this. I know that you're not actually required to discuss it with us but, you know, we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone. How could that possibly happen? I thought Millstone was environmentally sensitive? Speaking of which, I don't see a tree. Is there a tree here? What, was this land clean cut? Clear cut? How could they fit this I call it the Fort Dix solution. This is Millstone's affordable housing is the Fort Dix solution because that's what it is. I lived at Fort Dix for two months and the company street went up and down. You could shoot a bullet down the avenue just like here, because it's all jammed up between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure. MAYOR MALKIN: Hi. I'm Peggy Malkin. I'm the Mayor of Roosevelt. So I would like to start out by saying I'm a little perplexed and disappointed that after five years of talks about this, that Roosevelt was never informed or included or in any way knowledgeable about all of this. I know that you're not actually required to discuss it with us but, you know, we're kind of like the hole in the middle of the donut of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	tries to sell it? And who buys a concept like that is beyond me. But let's say, for some crazy reason, this does pass the board, that the zoning is changed and this ten-acre rural preservation in glorious, pristine Millstone becomes a high-density zone. Let's say this plan shows up at the Affordable Housing Office in Trenton, they open it up, oh I'm not much of an actor, but I'm going to try oh, it's from Millstone. Oh, this should be easy. Hold it. I don't see Route 33. I don't see Great Adventure here. Where the heck is this? Oh, it's in the formal formerly ten-acre residential zone. How could that possibly happen? I thought Millstone was environmentally sensitive? Speaking of which, I don't see a tree. Is there a tree here? What, was this land clean cut? Clear cut? How could they fit this I call it the Fort Dix solution. This is Millstone's affordable housing is the Fort Dix solution because that's what it is. I lived at Fort Dix for two months and the company street went up and down. You could shoot a bullet down the avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just let's dump it over here and be rid of it, but it's just not right. And if this is the five years of work went into this, I could get a ruler, a pencil and do this overnight. I could do this easily overnight. And I think my final word is to say, you know, if this is the best, all we can do, I think some of the elected officials of this town have to be primaried at this time because this is not right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure. MAYOR MALKIN: Hi. I'm Peggy Malkin. I'm the Mayor of Roosevelt. So I would like to start out by saying I'm a little perplexed and disappointed that after five years of talks about this, that Roosevelt was never informed or included or in any way knowledgeable about all of this. I know that you're not actually required to discuss it with us but, you know, we're

	84		86
1	I think there's a number of issues here	1	and Elys Corner are dangerous intersections.
2	that are of grave concern to Roosevelt. I'm not	2	And when I first moved to Roosevelt
3	sure if all of you are aware that Roosevelt is the	3	I have been there for 45 years the only people
4	only town in the United States that has national and	4	that came through Elys Corner were people that live
5	state historic designation for the town in its	5	in Roosevelt. Now you have all the Millstone people
6	entirety.	6	from all your different developments. But it's
7	, We're the only town in this country	7	still going to pale in comparison to how many people
8	that our entire town is a Historic District. We	8	are coming through the intersection once this
9	have tried to preserve the town as much as possible	9	development is completed.
10	from the original design and concept in 1936. We	10	So I know you said many of these
11	will be severely impacted by many issues here.	11	studies still have to be done, but you have to
12	First of all, traffic. So if how	12	consider, like, that entire intersection will have
13	many exact units are we talking about here? I know	13	to be changed. Are you widening the road?
14	there's one-, two- and three-bedroom apartments.	14	Most people move to Roosevelt and
15	MS. MERTZ: I believe it's 242.	15	Millstone with the expectation of living in the
16	Ms. MALKIN: 242, that's right. I	16	country. That's why we move here, for people that
17	just wanted to make sure before I stated that.	17	want to live in a rural area. Not the suburbs, not
18	So you have to assume if they're one-,	18	a gated community, and not an urban area. They want
19	two- and three-bedroom apartments, every family	19	to live in the country. So now, is the road going
20	moving in will have a minimum of two cars. So right	20	to be widened? Is there going to be a big
21	away you're talking about almost 600 cars.	21	intersection at Elys Corner?
22	Most of those cars, I know you're	22	I do think it's ill-conceived to put
23	probably going to think that they're going to go the	23	such a high-density development in that area, which
24	opposite way to East Windsor, but many cars will be	24	is a pristine, rural, beautiful area.
25	coming through Roosevelt. They are going to get to	25	And finally, environmentally. What is
	85		87
			67
1	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They	1	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of
1 2		1 2	
	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt.		going to happen, you're going to pave over all of
2	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to	2	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's
2 3	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure	2	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have
2 3 4	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on	2 3 4	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table.
2 3 4 5	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close	2 3 4 5	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen
2 3 4 5 6	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children	2 3 4 5 6	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we
2 3 4 5 6 7	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars that presently come through Roosevelt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over. So there's many, many issues that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars that presently come through Roosevelt. I'm also curious, I saw that you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over. So there's many, many issues that I think you really need to study deeply before you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars that presently come through Roosevelt. I'm also curious, I saw that you have allotted 400 spaces for this development, but we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over. So there's many, many issues that I think you really need to study deeply before you just give a blanket approval to this. And as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars that presently come through Roosevelt. I'm also curious, I saw that you have allotted 400 spaces for this development, but we know you are going to have more than 400 cars. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over. So there's many, many issues that I think you really need to study deeply before you just give a blanket approval to this. And as I said, you know, we are a few feet away and this will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars that presently come through Roosevelt. I'm also curious, I saw that you have allotted 400 spaces for this development, but we know you are going to have more than 400 cars. I wonder where all those other cars are going to park	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over. So there's many, many issues that I think you really need to study deeply before you just give a blanket approval to this. And as I said, you know, we are a few feet away and this will dramatically impact Roosevelt on many levels.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars that presently come through Roosevelt. I'm also curious, I saw that you have allotted 400 spaces for this development, but we know you are going to have more than 400 cars. I wonder where all those other cars are going to park because you have a three-bedroom apartment, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over. So there's many, many issues that I think you really need to study deeply before you just give a blanket approval to this. And as I said, you know, we are a few feet away and this will dramatically impact Roosevelt on many levels. So I would hope that you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars that presently come through Roosevelt. I'm also curious, I saw that you have allotted 400 spaces for this development, but we know you are going to have more than 400 cars. I wonder where all those other cars are going to park because you have a three-bedroom apartment, you're going to have more than one or two cars for that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over. So there's many, many issues that I think you really need to study deeply before you just give a blanket approval to this. And as I said, you know, we are a few feet away and this will dramatically impact Roosevelt on many levels. So I would hope that you would reconsider either the density or putting it, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars that presently come through Roosevelt. I'm also curious, I saw that you have allotted 400 spaces for this development, but we know you are going to have more than 400 cars. I wonder where all those other cars are going to park because you have a three-bedroom apartment, you're going to have more than one or two cars for that unit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over. So there's many, many issues that I think you really need to study deeply before you just give a blanket approval to this. And as I said, you know, we are a few feet away and this will dramatically impact Roosevelt on many levels. So I would hope that you would reconsider either the density or putting it, you know, on one of the other properties that Millstone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars that presently come through Roosevelt. I'm also curious, I saw that you have allotted 400 spaces for this development, but we know you are going to have more than 400 cars. I wonder where all those other cars are going to park because you have a three-bedroom apartment, you're going to have more than one or two cars for that unit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over. So there's many, many issues that I think you really need to study deeply before you just give a blanket approval to this. And as I said, you know, we are a few feet away and this will dramatically impact Roosevelt on many levels. So I would hope that you would reconsider either the density or putting it, you know, on one of the other properties that Millstone owns.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars that presently come through Roosevelt. I'm also curious, I saw that you have allotted 400 spaces for this development, but we know you are going to have more than 400 cars. I wonder where all those other cars are going to park because you have a three-bedroom apartment, you're going to have more than one or two cars for that unit. We also Elys Corner, do you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over. So there's many, many issues that I think you really need to study deeply before you just give a blanket approval to this. And as I said, you know, we are a few feet away and this will dramatically impact Roosevelt on many levels. So I would hope that you would reconsider either the density or putting it, you know, on one of the other properties that Millstone owns.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	195. They are going to go to Great Adventure. They are going to go to the beach. They are going to come through Roosevelt. We have a very small, narrow county road that goes through the center of town. I'm sure most of you know. We also have a public school on that road. Our children walk to school. It's close to everyone, so there's no buses. We have children walking to school every day from September to June. Then we have a camp program in the summer. And we're going to have this influx of cars that is going to, I don't know, I can't even come up with the number, triple, quadruple the number of cars that presently come through Roosevelt. I'm also curious, I saw that you have allotted 400 spaces for this development, but we know you are going to have more than 400 cars. I wonder where all those other cars are going to park because you have a three-bedroom apartment, you're going to have more than one or two cars for that unit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to happen, you're going to pave over all of that land. I know there is a lot of wetlands. I have been told it's almost like a lake. I have walked that property but not for a long time. It's almost like a lake back there. It's a high, high water table. In Roosevelt our water table has risen dramatically over the years. We've lost trees, we lost it started a long time ago and then once Sandy hit, we lost more trees and the water table rose even more. So with this development where is that storm water going? That's a lot of acreage that will now be paved over. So there's many, many issues that I think you really need to study deeply before you just give a blanket approval to this. And as I said, you know, we are a few feet away and this will dramatically impact Roosevelt on many levels. So I would hope that you would reconsider either the density or putting it, you know, on one of the other properties that Millstone owns.

	88		90
1	housing?	1	against us.
2	MS. MALKIN: Well, right now we have	2	And so we ended up spending a lot of
3	Solar Village and I think there's you know, I	3	money and a lot of time fighting off some developers
4	can't remember how many units. It's fairly small.	4	with deep pockets. Probably deeper pockets than the
5	As of right now that meets our quota. So we have	5	ones you guys might be facing. U.S. Home. We
6	been told that it may go up in, you know, the near	6	fought off U.S. Home for probably over a year and a
7	future. But you know you're familiar with Solar	7	half. And it cost a lot of money and a lot of
8	Village? Where the senior citizens it's a very	8	aggravation.
9	small apartment complex where senior citizens live.	9	So I can relate to what you're trying
10	Mike Hamilton may know because he was the mayor	10	to do. You have an obligation, you have to fill it
11	years ago before I was.	11	somehow. You've got to look at the land that you
12	Do you know how many units there are?	12	have that is available and figure out how you're
13	MR. HAMILTON: How many what our	13	going to do it in the best possible way.
14	obligation is?	14	One of the things that I wanted to
15	MS. MALKIN: Or how many units are at	15	mention is there's a lot of people here and I know
16	Solar Village? Because that does meet that does	16	we're all in the same boat if you live in Millstone
17	meet our obligation.	17	or in Roosevelt. When you buy a house you rely on
18	MR. HAMILTON: I'm not exactly sure,	18	the zoning and you buy into the zoning. If it's
19	but I think it may be 3 or 4 units, something like	19	five-acre zoning and you have, like in Roosevelt, we
20	that. We're pretty much built out. Any of the land	20	have a lot of preserved land on both sides of on
21	that was available we reserved and put into	21	both sides of a lot of the houses, you buy into this
22	preservation. I can speak to that, too.	22	expectation and you put your life savings into this
23	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I was just	23	home with the expectation that that zoning is a law
24	MS. MALKIN: No, I knew I was going to	24	and that law is going to protect you and you buy
25	let Mike speak to that, that part of it.	25	into that lifestyle. And in Millstone you buy into
-		_	
	89		91
1	89 DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you.	1	
1 2		1	91 a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a
	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you.		a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a
2	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope	2	a community that is a beautiful community, that has
2 3	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully,	2 3	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful
2 3 4	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to	2 3 4	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you
2 3 4 5	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely.	2 3 4 5	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you
2 3 4 5 6	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't	2 3 4 5 6	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the	2 3 4 5 6 7	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around. And where you're planning on putting this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know, there was going to be a lot of traffic, 60, 80 kids, that kind of thing, and they could have won in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around. And where you're planning on putting this development is at that tip of the croissant, which we like to call it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know, there was going to be a lot of traffic, 60, 80 kids,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around. And where you're planning on putting this development is at that tip of the croissant, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know, there was going to be a lot of traffic, 60, 80 kids, that kind of thing, and they could have won in court. So people were, rightfully, upset about that because, yeah, you spend you put your life and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around. And where you're planning on putting this development is at that tip of the croissant, which we like to call it. I can really relate to where you guys	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know, there was going to be a lot of traffic, 60, 80 kids, that kind of thing, and they could have won in court. So people were, rightfully, upset about that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around. And where you're planning on putting this development is at that tip of the croissant, which we like to call it. I can really relate to where you guys are with this because my job from 2000 through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know, there was going to be a lot of traffic, 60, 80 kids, that kind of thing, and they could have won in court. So people were, rightfully, upset about that because, yeah, you spend you put your life and your heart and your soul and your sweat equity into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around. And where you're planning on putting this development is at that tip of the croissant, which we like to call it. I can really relate to where you guys are with this because my job from 2000 through the end of 2003 was to fight off developers. Because we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know, there was going to be a lot of traffic, 60, 80 kids, that kind of thing, and they could have won in court. So people were, rightfully, upset about that because, yeah, you spend you put your life and your heart and your soul and your sweat equity into a place that you love and you expect that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around. And where you're planning on putting this development is at that tip of the croissant, which we like to call it. I can really relate to where you guys are with this because my job from 2000 through the end of 2003 was to fight off developers. Because we had a COAH obligation and we did everything pretty	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know, there was going to be a lot of traffic, 60, 80 kids, that kind of thing, and they could have won in court. So people were, rightfully, upset about that because, yeah, you spend you put your life and your heart and your soul and your sweat equity into a place that you love and you expect that that zoning is not going to change.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around. And where you're planning on putting this development is at that tip of the croissant, which we like to call it. I can really relate to where you guys are with this because my job from 2000 through the end of 2003 was to fight off developers. Because we had a COAH obligation and we did everything pretty much by the book, like you guys are doing, and tried	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know, there was going to be a lot of traffic, 60, 80 kids, that kind of thing, and they could have won in court. So people were, rightfully, upset about that because, yeah, you spend you put your life and your heart and your soul and your sweat equity into a place that you love and you expect that that zoning is not going to change. So I can really relate to people who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around. And where you're planning on putting this development is at that tip of the croissant, which we like to call it. I can really relate to where you guys are with this because my job from 2000 through the end of 2003 was to fight off developers. Because we had a COAH obligation and we did everything pretty much by the book, like you guys are doing, and tried to put everything and memorialize everything and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know, there was going to be a lot of traffic, 60, 80 kids, that kind of thing, and they could have won in court. So people were, rightfully, upset about that because, yeah, you spend you put your life and your heart and your soul and your sweat equity into a place that you love and you expect that that zoning is not going to change. So I can really relate to people who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around. And where you're planning on putting this development is at that tip of the croissant, which we like to call it. I can really relate to where you guys are with this because my job from 2000 through the end of 2003 was to fight off developers. Because we had a COAH obligation and we did everything pretty much by the book, like you guys are doing, and tried to put everything and memorialize everything and submit it to on time to the courts. Only we ran	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know, there was going to be a lot of traffic, 60, 80 kids, that kind of thing, and they could have won in court. So people were, rightfully, upset about that because, yeah, you spend you put your life and your heart and your soul and your sweat equity into a place that you love and you expect that that zoning is not going to change. So I can really relate to people who are here whose zoning is going to change drastically by this and all of a sudden they're going to feel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: So I do hope that you give this a lot of thought and, hopefully, reconsider because this is this is going to damage Roosevelt severely. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, sir. Why don't you take the next run so we get your name on the record. MR. HAMILTON: Mike Hamilton from the Borough of Roosevelt. 82 Pine Drive. Right around the corner. We're in the donut hole. It's actually a croissant, I guess, kind of. It's shaped around. And where you're planning on putting this development is at that tip of the croissant, which we like to call it. I can really relate to where you guys are with this because my job from 2000 through the end of 2003 was to fight off developers. Because we had a COAH obligation and we did everything pretty much by the book, like you guys are doing, and tried to put everything and memorialize everything and submit it to on time to the courts. Only we ran a few hours late. And the developers sued us the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a community that is a beautiful community, that has great woodlands and it's a beautiful it was a beautiful farming community, it still is a beautiful community. But you have this expectation, and you have the right to have this expectation, when you buy into that zoning that you're going to be protected by that. We ran into that in Roosevelt with people who wanted to build a yeshiva in a residential area, and they sued us for that. They were going to build a school, essentially, in a residential area right on a street where, you know, there was going to be a lot of traffic, 60, 80 kids, that kind of thing, and they could have won in court. So people were, rightfully, upset about that because, yeah, you spend you put your life and your heart and your soul and your sweat equity into a place that you love and you expect that that zoning is not going to change. So I can really relate to people who are here whose zoning is going to change drastically by this and all of a sudden they're going to feel like the rug was pulled out from underneath them. I

1	02	1	04
1	92 So this is this is a real shocker to	1	94 other people and a lot of Borough officials who have
		1	
2	me because I have been in public office in Roosevelt	2	gone through this whole process, we understand the
3	for over 20-some years now, close to 30, actually,	3	ins and outs of it, including, you know, what
4	but we haven't heard anything from any of you guys	4	happens when you have to go to court when the
5	regarding this. We have a lot of experience with	5	builders sue you, and they will. I mean, they don't
6	COAH, with builder's remedy lawsuits, with the	6	hesitate. They're bullies. They're going to come
7	courts. We understand what you're going through.	7	in and they're going to threaten you and they're
8	We would have been glad to sit down with you guys	8	going to sue you. And your deputy mayor is correct,
9	and say, Look, we understand what you're doing.	9	they'll go after every little damn thing to try to
10	Have you thought about this?	10	beat you into submission so that they can get their
11	We ended up snatching up all the	11	huge development just for your little quota.
12	developable land in Roosevelt and buying the options	12	So I understand what you're going
13	and stealing it away from the developers while we	13	through, but I really wish that, as a town that is
14	were occupying them in court. We were ticking them	14	really going to be affected by this, that we had
15	off in court. We were talking about how they were	15	some more outreach and more inclusion, as far as we
16	using this club against this small little town and	16	were concerned. And we would be glad and we're
17	trying to hammer us into submission so that they	17	offering that now, too. We're glad to help you out
18	could fill their pockets with money. And, you know,	18	with any advice that we can give or anything
19	they lost sight of the fact that their options were	19	regarding our experience, you know, with the courts
20	expiring.	20	and that kind of thing.
21	We snatched up all of the developable	21	The whole system has changed. And I
22	land in Roosevelt, on both sides of 571 and on the	22	think that was outlined very effectively by Michael.
23	Notterman tract which adjoins Millstone. We took it	23	And it is it is a whole different process now.
24	off the books. The developers all of a sudden, even	24	But I can relate to what you're going through. It's
25	though they were in court fighting against us, they	25	not easy. And you are essentially pulling the rug
	93		95
1	said, what are we doing now, we don't have any	1	out from people, who, you know, have this
2	options on any of this land. There's no equity on	2	expectation that, you know, we have this ten-acre
3	the builder's remedy if there's no buildable land.	3	zoning, we bought into that. We put our life
4	We're built out. We preserved it.	4	savings into it.
5	That was one of the ways that we handled things, we	5	It's a hard pill to swallow when that
6	put our money into preservation, into buying these	6	happens to people. They don't like to feel like
7	options and taking that land off the books.	7	they were blindsided or somehow the deal was changed
8	I don't know how many acres and what	8	on them.
9	your land situation looks like when you look at a	9	So I am not I'm not so worried about
10	map and you look at the developable areas, but	10	developers. I think you I think, if you're
11	that's an option sometimes is to try to figure out	11	smart, you can deal with them, but it's a risky
12	how you could remove that land from the inventory so	12	venture. It's a risky venture. But I think you
13	that you're not subject to a builder's remedy.	13	should look at things and take a creative approach
14	The builder's remedy is a horrible	14	and not be so afraid of the builder's remedy. I
15	thing. I mean it's really they can drag you	15	think maybe you can come up with some other possible
16	through the courts, you can get to depositions. It	16	solutions.
17	can cost you hundreds of thousands of dollars. So I	17	I don't know how much time you have and
18	understand why you're taking this approach. But I	18	how long you can keep your immunity. That's the
19	think there are other more creative approaches that	19	question.
20	you might want to look at, too, but it depends on	20	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: As Mike suggested,
21	your situation as far as how much developable land	21	or said, we're at the end of the time.
22	you have that is available, and I don't know that	22	But I did want to, I'm not here to
23	situation with you guys.	23	respond to everyone's comments, but just on your
24	But we're glad to help. We're right	24	offer of assistance, I would like to see if that
25	around the corner. And Mayor Malkin and myself and	25	could be something that perhaps we might call on at

	96		98
1	a later point. Assuming things continue the way	1	heading out of town on 571, where this development
2	that the court would want them to continue, and	2	is going to be, there's often road flooding down
3	there is at some point an application in front of	3	there during rains and all. You're talking about a
4	this board for this property, you might not be on	4	lot of impermeable surface there, too. 450 parking
5	the formal 200-foot notice. But, Pam, we can	5	spots plus, you know, that the layout is going to
6	MRS. D'ANDREA: The surrounding town	6	have a lot of other impermeable surface.
7	would be.	7	So I would be concerned about that,
8	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So what we	8	that that is going to pass muster with a lot of
9	would hope is that you get notice or we somehow	9	different agencies as far as that's concerned.
10	otherwise contact you, and that you could assist us	10	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: The State has some
11	in evaluating and that's a very key word	11	complicated storm water regulations that are getting
12	evaluating this application for all of the reasons	12	more complicated every year. So, right, we would
13	that we've talked about and particularly the ones	13	all have to be watchdogs over the State and their
14	that you have talked about, which would be helpful	14	evaluation of that process.
15	to us, giving a very hard look at whether it is as	15	MR. HAMILTON: But you guys have done a
16	viable as the Baldachino property owner currently	16	great job of preserving a lot of open space and a
17	suggests.	17	lot of farmland and I know that. I'd look at what
18	MR. HAMILTON: Yeah, we ran into issues	18	else is on the books, what else you have. I think
19	with the wetlands buffer zone. And you said that no	19	the money could be better spent on preserving the
20	LOI was done yet on that property as far as the	20	rest of the open land so that, essentially, you're
21	buffer zones. I don't know if it's exceptional	21	built out. There's no room for a builder's remedy
22	value wetlands. And if that's the case there's a	22	if there's no place for a builder to build a house.
23	350-foot buffer zone around the wetlands, and you	23	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I am not sure if we
24	may not have as many as much developable land	24	can get there. Nancy, you have done an incredible
25	there as you want to use for those units.	25	job preserving thousands of acres.
	97		99
1	So there's a lot of you know, this	1	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: We try to
2	is Step 1 and there is a lot of things that could	2	preserve everything we can.
3	really influence whether or not this plan is a	3	MR. HAMILTON: And you have done a good
4	viable one for you guys, but these are the kinds of	4	job with that, but there may be other creative
5	things that we might we might be able to talk to	5	approaches that you could take. That's all I'm
6	you guys about informally.	6	saying.
7	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right. Even, in	7	But, anyhow, looking forward to working
8	addition to appearing at the board and assisting	8	with you.
9	with professional evaluation and local evaluation,	9	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Great.
10	when elements of it go to the various state	10	MR. HAMILTON: We're also very
11	agencies, such as the DEP for wetlands, certainly	11	concerned about the traffic situation going through
12	this board or neighbors or neighboring towns could	12	Roosevelt. There's no pedestrian walkways along 571
13	also approach the DEP to identify that they believe	13	and Roosevelt near the public school. And there is
14	that a line is a little wider than perhaps the	14	going to be traffic going through there.
15	developer is suggesting, based on actual	15	If I wanted to get from there to 195 to
16	on-the-ground knowledge, or local knowledge, that	16	head over toward the shore or Great Adventure, I
17			would out through Doogovalt. Twould out through
1	the State may not have.	17	would cut through Roosevelt. I would cut through
18	MR. HAMILTON: Right.	18	the Assunpink, too. So there is going to be impact
19	MR. HAMILTON: Right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you can certainly	18 19	the Assunpink, too. So there is going to be impact on a lot of different areas from this development.
19 20	MR. HAMILTON: Right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you can certainly provide valuable guidance and assistance at that	18 19 20	the Assunpink, too. So there is going to be impact on a lot of different areas from this development. It's fairly wide ranging.
19 20 21	MR. HAMILTON: Right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you can certainly provide valuable guidance and assistance at that stage. We appreciate tonight, but I'm just I'm	18 19 20 21	the Assunpink, too. So there is going to be impact on a lot of different areas from this development. It's fairly wide ranging. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And I would
19 20 21 22	MR. HAMILTON: Right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you can certainly provide valuable guidance and assistance at that stage. We appreciate tonight, but I'm just I'm trying to think about where we can go together. And	18 19 20 21 22	the Assunpink, too. So there is going to be impact on a lot of different areas from this development. It's fairly wide ranging. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And I would anticipate that, if we're at that point, this board
19 20 21 22 23	MR. HAMILTON: Right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you can certainly provide valuable guidance and assistance at that stage. We appreciate tonight, but I'm just I'm trying to think about where we can go together. And thanks for the idea.	18 19 20 21 22 23	the Assunpink, too. So there is going to be impact on a lot of different areas from this development. It's fairly wide ranging. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And I would anticipate that, if we're at that point, this board would hire, in addition to Matt and McKinley's
19 20 21 22	MR. HAMILTON: Right. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So you can certainly provide valuable guidance and assistance at that stage. We appreciate tonight, but I'm just I'm trying to think about where we can go together. And	18 19 20 21 22	the Assunpink, too. So there is going to be impact on a lot of different areas from this development. It's fairly wide ranging. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And I would anticipate that, if we're at that point, this board

	100	1	102
1	100	1	102
1	applicant, of course, through the escrow process,	1	application to widen the street, the cost would be
2	but we would hire our own independent traffic	2	on the developer, and if those if the widening
3	expert. And you may consider doing the same, to	3	extends past the right-of-way because because the
4	assist in helping us understand the impacts and how	4	road is what you see, the paved road, of course, and
5	to avoid or mitigate, as we evaluate everything.	5	then there's a right of way that is outside of that. I don't know the particulars of that road and the
6	MR. HAMILTON: Who is going to provide	6	·
7	the police coverage for this proposed development?	7	right-of-way. But if they have to widen it wider
8	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Well, right now	8	than the right-of-way, they're going to have to go
9	Millstone is covered through the State Police.	9	through a process where they purchase that property
10	MR. HAMILTON: So the State Police will	10	from you, or there's an eminent domain process that
11	pick up that additional burden without a cost to	11	the county
12	Millstone?	12	MR. RUIZ: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: That's a township	13	MR. SHAFAI: That's a county road.
14	council question. I don't know the answer to that.	14	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So then the
15	MR. HAMILTON: Yeah, I would be	15	county would be involved in that decision, not the
16	concerned about that, too, because, you know, you're	16	town, to potentially widen it. And then the county,
17	asking them to do more and more in terms of coverage	17	in my experience, would have the developer approach
18	and safety and all kinds of issues so that may also	18	you to compensate you for the widening. And you
19	come up as an additional cost for you guys.	19	would say "yes" or "no" or negotiate. And if it
20	But, anyhow, any way that we can help,	20	comes to no, then the county would have to step in
21	but I think it's I would hate to see that land	21	to go through an appraisal process. And if you have
22	developed for a lot of reasons. I think there is	22	certain features that would be disrupted, that would
23	exceptional value wetlands possibly there. It's a	23	go into that appraisal calculation, or you can
24	beautiful area and I would like to really encourage	24 25	negotiate something.
25	you guys to preserve as much of that land as you	25	MR. RUIZ: Right.
	101		102
1	101	1	103
1	can.	1	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm
2	can. So, thank you.	2	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no
2 3	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you	2 3	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the
2 3 4	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much.	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more
2 3 4 5	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board?	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of
2 3 4 5 6	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get
2 3 4 5 6 7	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs to occur, for like, you know, any displacement or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right there in Downtown Freehold. And they have meetings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs to occur, for like, you know, any displacement or any inconvenience needs to occur to us for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right there in Downtown Freehold. And they have meetings that are not well attended so if a few of us are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs to occur, for like, you know, any displacement or any inconvenience needs to occur to us for construction purposes or for whatever requirements,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right there in Downtown Freehold. And they have meetings that are not well attended so if a few of us are there, it would make quite the impact.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs to occur, for like, you know, any displacement or any inconvenience needs to occur to us for construction purposes or for whatever requirements, you know, from the township, whatever, to widen the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right there in Downtown Freehold. And they have meetings that are not well attended so if a few of us are there, it would make quite the impact. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Another question I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs to occur, for like, you know, any displacement or any inconvenience needs to occur to us for construction purposes or for whatever requirements, you know, from the township, whatever, to widen the roads or anything? Like who is going to compensate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right there in Downtown Freehold. And they have meetings that are not well attended so if a few of us are there, it would make quite the impact. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Another question I have, of the low income housing at that development,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs to occur, for like, you know, any displacement or any inconvenience needs to occur to us for construction purposes or for whatever requirements, you know, from the township, whatever, to widen the roads or anything? Like who is going to compensate who is going to cover that cost? Because I have,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right there in Downtown Freehold. And they have meetings that are not well attended so if a few of us are there, it would make quite the impact. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Another question I have, of the low income housing at that development, how much is the what is the ratio of, like,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs to occur, for like, you know, any displacement or any inconvenience needs to occur to us for construction purposes or for whatever requirements, you know, from the township, whatever, to widen the roads or anything? Like who is going to compensate who is going to cover that cost? Because I have, you know, I have landscaping and pier lights and a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right there in Downtown Freehold. And they have meetings that are not well attended so if a few of us are there, it would make quite the impact. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Another question I have, of the low income housing at that development, how much is the what is the ratio of, like, moderate income to low income to very low income?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs to occur, for like, you know, any displacement or any inconvenience needs to occur to us for construction purposes or for whatever requirements, you know, from the township, whatever, to widen the roads or anything? Like who is going to compensate who is going to cover that cost? Because I have, you know, I have landscaping and pier lights and a myriad of things that would cost tens of thousands	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right there in Downtown Freehold. And they have meetings that are not well attended so if a few of us are there, it would make quite the impact. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Another question I have, of the low income housing at that development, how much is the what is the ratio of, like, moderate income to low income to very low income? MS. MERTZ: I think it's so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs to occur, for like, you know, any displacement or any inconvenience needs to occur to us for construction purposes or for whatever requirements, you know, from the township, whatever, to widen the roads or anything? Like who is going to compensate who is going to cover that cost? Because I have, you know, I have landscaping and pier lights and a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right there in Downtown Freehold. And they have meetings that are not well attended so if a few of us are there, it would make quite the impact. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Another question I have, of the low income housing at that development, how much is the what is the ratio of, like, moderate income to low income to very low income? MS. MERTZ: I think it's so 50 percent will be moderate income level, 50 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs to occur, for like, you know, any displacement or any inconvenience needs to occur to us for construction purposes or for whatever requirements, you know, from the township, whatever, to widen the roads or anything? Like who is going to compensate who is going to cover that cost? Because I have, you know, I have landscaping and pier lights and a myriad of things that would cost tens of thousands of dollars to, you know, replace if the street were to the widened.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right there in Downtown Freehold. And they have meetings that are not well attended so if a few of us are there, it would make quite the impact. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Another question I have, of the low income housing at that development, how much is the what is the ratio of, like, moderate income to low income to very low income? MS. MERTZ: I think it's so 50 percent will be moderate income level, 50 percent will be a low income level, and it sounds like there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can. So, thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thanks. Thank you very much. Anyone else like to approach the board? Sure, go ahead. MR. RUIZ: Hey, there. My name is Mike Ruiz. I'm from 722 Perrineville Road. I just have a couple of questions. We're going to be living right across from this development, so it's going to impact us probably the most, aside from Kyle and Bob. And I'm just curious to know, like, if street widening needs to occur, for like, you know, any displacement or any inconvenience needs to occur to us for construction purposes or for whatever requirements, you know, from the township, whatever, to widen the roads or anything? Like who is going to compensate who is going to cover that cost? Because I have, you know, I have landscaping and pier lights and a myriad of things that would cost tens of thousands of dollars to, you know, replace if the street were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But I don't I'm not suggesting the road would be widened. I have no idea, the board hasn't evaluated that, the application hasn't come forward. And, more importantly, the county would be another one of those layers or governmental agencies that would get the application, we would then lend our two cents, so to speak, to the county. We, in effect, our neighboring town, Roosevelt, might also be approaching the county because it would affect their county roads as well. And, of course, you're welcome to the county is pretty easy to get to, it's right there in Downtown Freehold. And they have meetings that are not well attended so if a few of us are there, it would make quite the impact. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Another question I have, of the low income housing at that development, how much is the what is the ratio of, like, moderate income to low income to very low income? MS. MERTZ: I think it's so 50 percent will be moderate income level, 50 percent

	104		106
1	considering like any kind of pedestrian traffic?	1	in this plan.
2	Because there's no public transportation there at	2	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So the zoning
3	all. So, like, how would people in low income, you	3	wouldn't be site specific?
4	know, who can't afford a car and they're being	4	MS. MERTZ: Yes. Affordable housing
5	subsidized to even get the apartment, how are they	5	is the only time when spot zoning is legal.
6	going to get around?	6	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Spot zoning is
7	MR. SHAFAI: There is nothing designed	7	allowed.
8	right now.	8	MS. MERTZ: Yes.
9	MR. RUIZ: Right.	9	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I just wanted to
10	MR. SHAFAI: The plan that you have,	10	follow up on a process question you asked.
11	that's all we have.	11	So the compliance hearing is the end of
12	MR. RUIZ: Well, I mean, when well,	12	February?
13	like what is the timeline? Like when are we going	13	MS. MERTZ: Right.
14	to have something, like, more concrete that we can	14	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: When does the zoning
15	sort of like these questions would be pertinent?	15	have to be done, before or after?
16	MS. MERTZ: Well, they will the	16	MS. MERTZ: Technically before.
17	township has to rezone the property to allow them to	17	MR. STEIB: It's supposed to be done
18	develop this, and the township has to go to the	18	before. I don't I'm not anticipating at this
19	Mike spoke earlier about the final compliance	19	point that the compliance hearing is going to occur
20	hearing that we have scheduled right now for the end	20	at the end of February.
21	of February.	21	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the
22	MR. RUIZ: So, I'm sorry to interrupt,	22	zoning happens
23	so is zoning like the first step you're going to	23	MR. STEIB: And that's as a result of
24	take, the zoning change? So if you zone it	24	some communications that I had with the court master
25	rezone it and you find that the DEP or whatever	25	in the last day.
	105		107
	100		107
1	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't	1	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the
1 2		1 2	
	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't		CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the
2	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there.	2	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an
2 3	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build	2	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has
2 3 4	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there.	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is
2 3 4 5	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes
2 3 4 5 6	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that
2 3 4 5 6 7	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now learned that this is not this cannot be developed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning ordinance part of it, as Mike and McKinley are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now learned that this is not this cannot be developed and then we have to find another alternative.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning ordinance part of it, as Mike and McKinley are explaining, sounds like it's going to happen rather
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now learned that this is not this cannot be developed and then we have to find another alternative. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just like the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning ordinance part of it, as Mike and McKinley are explaining, sounds like it's going to happen rather quickly. I'm just going to say a couple of months,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now learned that this is not this cannot be developed and then we have to find another alternative. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just like the scenario where you would apply to the court for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning ordinance part of it, as Mike and McKinley are explaining, sounds like it's going to happen rather quickly. I'm just going to say a couple of months, but it feels like that. And if all that goes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now learned that this is not this cannot be developed and then we have to find another alternative. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just like the scenario where you would apply to the court for that, just like the scenario where we talked about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning ordinance part of it, as Mike and McKinley are explaining, sounds like it's going to happen rather quickly. I'm just going to say a couple of months, but it feels like that. And if all that goes through, then the developer has to prepare an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now learned that this is not this cannot be developed and then we have to find another alternative. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just like the scenario where you would apply to the court for that, just like the scenario where we talked about bringing infrastructure in relation to sewer at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning ordinance part of it, as Mike and McKinley are explaining, sounds like it's going to happen rather quickly. I'm just going to say a couple of months, but it feels like that. And if all that goes through, then the developer has to prepare an application, a plan, an engineering plan. And, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now learned that this is not this cannot be developed and then we have to find another alternative. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just like the scenario where you would apply to the court for that, just like the scenario where we talked about bringing infrastructure in relation to sewer at the project, the Showplace Farms, and that, in theory,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning ordinance part of it, as Mike and McKinley are explaining, sounds like it's going to happen rather quickly. I'm just going to say a couple of months, but it feels like that. And if all that goes through, then the developer has to prepare an application, a plan, an engineering plan. And, in my experience, even if he goes at a breakneck speed,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now learned that this is not this cannot be developed and then we have to find another alternative. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just like the scenario where you would apply to the court for that, just like the scenario where we talked about bringing infrastructure in relation to sewer at the project, the Showplace Farms, and that, in theory, that would open up a scenario for that to happen in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning ordinance part of it, as Mike and McKinley are explaining, sounds like it's going to happen rather quickly. I'm just going to say a couple of months, but it feels like that. And if all that goes through, then the developer has to prepare an application, a plan, an engineering plan. And, in my experience, even if he goes at a breakneck speed, it's somewhere between 4 to 6 months.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now learned that this is not this cannot be developed and then we have to find another alternative. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just like the scenario where you would apply to the court for that, just like the scenario where we talked about bringing infrastructure in relation to sewer at the project, the Showplace Farms, and that, in theory, that would open up a scenario for that to happen in other places in town. If we're to change the zone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning ordinance part of it, as Mike and McKinley are explaining, sounds like it's going to happen rather quickly. I'm just going to say a couple of months, but it feels like that. And if all that goes through, then the developer has to prepare an application, a plan, an engineering plan. And, in my experience, even if he goes at a breakneck speed, it's somewhere between 4 to 6 months.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now learned that this is not this cannot be developed and then we have to find another alternative. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just like the scenario where you would apply to the court for that, just like the scenario where we talked about bringing infrastructure in relation to sewer at the project, the Showplace Farms, and that, in theory, that would open up a scenario for that to happen in other places in town. If we're to change the zone here, does that open up an opportunity for other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning ordinance part of it, as Mike and McKinley are explaining, sounds like it's going to happen rather quickly. I'm just going to say a couple of months, but it feels like that. And if all that goes through, then the developer has to prepare an application, a plan, an engineering plan. And, in my experience, even if he goes at a breakneck speed, it's somewhere between 4 to 6 months.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	finds the land inadequate to not you know, won't permit MR. SHAFAI: Then they can't build there. MR. RUIZ: So do you switch the zoning back, or is there always going to be the threat of, like, having someone come in MR. STEIB: We will have to rethink the plan with the court, and explain to the court that because of these environmental characteristics or whatever characteristics impact on it, whether it's wetlands, whether it's wetland buffers, riparian zones, whatever it is, that we have now learned that this is not this cannot be developed and then we have to find another alternative. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just like the scenario where you would apply to the court for that, just like the scenario where we talked about bringing infrastructure in relation to sewer at the project, the Showplace Farms, and that, in theory, that would open up a scenario for that to happen in other places in town. If we're to change the zone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So if the zoning is supposed to happen before that, first an ordinance has to be drafted. Then the ordinance has to be introduced by the council. Then it comes to the planning board for their review. Then it goes back to the council for a final reading, which is also a public hearing, which will give everybody an opportunity to weigh in on the intricacies of that ordinance, setbacks, buffers and all the things that can start to shape a community that will come forward in the eventual plan to the planning board. Your question, which is a really good one, is, okay, when does that happen? The zoning ordinance part of it, as Mike and McKinley are explaining, sounds like it's going to happen rather quickly. I'm just going to say a couple of months, but it feels like that. And if all that goes through, then the developer has to prepare an application, a plan, an engineering plan. And, in my experience, even if he goes at a breakneck speed, it's somewhere between 4 to 6 months.

	108		110
1	so he can submit to the county, Soil Conservation	1	would the town be in if the developer does this
2	District, and all those kinds of things.	2	thing, it comes back negative, and he backs out of
3	So I can't say how quick or slow	3	the project.
4	they're going to move. And I don't want to	4	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Mike, why don't you
5	overstate anything and say, well, we can see an	5	take that. Great question.
6	application by X month or X year, but they can move	6	MR. STEIB: There's a process under
7	as fast as they want after the town adopts a zoning	7	the current mandate from the Supreme Court that we
8	ordinance. But I would suggest that we, as citizens	8	do a couple of things. First of all, in June of
9	or neighbors of our town, will have another chance	9	next year we will have to do a reevaluation of our
10	to take a look at this at the zoning ordinance stage	10	plan and present that to the court to say how are
11	to see if there are parts of the zoning ordinance	11	you doing with the projects that you zoned for and
12	that can be fine-tuned to help focus the	12	what, if anything, is happening with them.
13	development.	13	And then annually we have to submit a
14	MR. RUIZ: Okay.	14	report, put it on the website, the town website, and
15	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I know I didn't give	15	provide it to Fair Share Housing as to what's
16	you really hard-and-fast answers. I apologize. I	16	happening with the plan, are things developing or
17	just don't have those.	17	not.
18	MR. SHAFAI: The first notice they're	18	If things are looking like they're not
19	going to get is for the wetland studies. When they	19	developing, then the onus would come on us to go to
20	flag the wetlands, 200 foot radius around this site,	20	the court and say, judge, this site isn't working
21	you will get a notice that you can come and look at	21	out for this reason, for that reason, for another
22	the plan.	22	reason, we have looked at it and we have now found
23	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Even before a site	23	that maybe we can get our number here, at some other
24	plan?	24	spot.
25	MR. SHAFAI: That's correct.	25	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Which goes to what
	109		111
1	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Is one of our	1	Nancy was
2	completeness requirements an LOI?	2	MR. STEIB: And look for the court to
3	MR. SHAFAI: It's a condition of the	3	then approve a modification to the plan. MR. ZINER: So that we're still
4	approval. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay.	4	MR. ZINER. SO that we re sum
5 6			wearing white?
	,	5	wearing white?
	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're	6	MR. STEIB: Yep.
7	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first.	6 7	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay.
7 8	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It	6 7 8	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone
7 8 9	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to	6 7 8 9	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board?
7 8 9 10	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice,	6 7 8 9 10	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki,
7 8 9 10 11	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the	6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road.
7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come to the town, we have a copy of it.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my feelings. I have spoken before the board before.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come to the town, we have a copy of it. MR. RUIZ: Okay. But that will happen	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my feelings. I have spoken before the board before. And to, again, go on the record to say that I remain
7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come to the town, we have a copy of it. MR. RUIZ: Okay. But that will happen after the zoning?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my feelings. I have spoken before the board before. And to, again, go on the record to say that I remain extremely concerned about the proposed development
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come to the town, we have a copy of it. MR. RUIZ: Okay. But that will happen after the zoning? MR. SHAFAI: It's probably after the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my feelings. I have spoken before the board before. And to, again, go on the record to say that I remain extremely concerned about the proposed development on this property.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come to the town, we have a copy of it. MR. RUIZ: Okay. But that will happen after the zoning? MR. SHAFAI: It's probably after the zoning.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my feelings. I have spoken before the board before. And to, again, go on the record to say that I remain extremely concerned about the proposed development on this property. It is ten-acre rural zoning. It is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come to the town, we have a copy of it. MR. RUIZ: Okay. But that will happen after the zoning? MR. SHAFAI: It's probably after the zoning. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Okay, thanks.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my feelings. I have spoken before the board before. And to, again, go on the record to say that I remain extremely concerned about the proposed development on this property. It is ten-acre rural zoning. It is rural zoning to protect the aquifer that's below it
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come to the town, we have a copy of it. MR. RUIZ: Okay. But that will happen after the zoning? MR. SHAFAI: It's probably after the zoning. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Okay, thanks. MS. MERTZ: But you get that notice.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my feelings. I have spoken before the board before. And to, again, go on the record to say that I remain extremely concerned about the proposed development on this property. It is ten-acre rural zoning. It is rural zoning to protect the aquifer that's below it and the wetlands that are behind it and that was
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come to the town, we have a copy of it. MR. RUIZ: Okay. But that will happen after the zoning? MR. SHAFAI: It's probably after the zoning. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Okay, thanks. MS. MERTZ: But you get that notice. So if you do, come to the town, look at the map.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my feelings. I have spoken before the board before. And to, again, go on the record to say that I remain extremely concerned about the proposed development on this property. It is ten-acre rural zoning. It is rural zoning to protect the aquifer that's below it and the wetlands that are behind it and that was instituted by this board in whatever however it
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come to the town, we have a copy of it. MR. RUIZ: Okay. But that will happen after the zoning? MR. SHAFAI: It's probably after the zoning. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Okay, thanks. MS. MERTZ: But you get that notice. So if you do, come to the town, look at the map. MR. RUIZ: Great. Thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my feelings. I have spoken before the board before. And to, again, go on the record to say that I remain extremely concerned about the proposed development on this property. It is ten-acre rural zoning. It is rural zoning to protect the aquifer that's below it and the wetlands that are behind it and that was instituted by this board in whatever however it was at the time it was done, it was done for a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come to the town, we have a copy of it. MR. RUIZ: Okay. But that will happen after the zoning? MR. SHAFAI: It's probably after the zoning. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Okay, thanks. MS. MERTZ: But you get that notice. So if you do, come to the town, look at the map. MR. RUIZ: Great. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my feelings. I have spoken before the board before. And to, again, go on the record to say that I remain extremely concerned about the proposed development on this property. It is ten-acre rural zoning. It is rural zoning to protect the aquifer that's below it and the wetlands that are behind it and that was instituted by this board in whatever however it was at the time it was done, it was done for a specific reason. This board is preparing to change
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SHAFAI: But here, if they're smart enough, they should get that first. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Yes, absolutely. It would be not smart to MR. SHAFAI: So you will get a notice, a certified mail notice, that they have studied the wetlands and if you want to look at the plan, come to the town, we have a copy of it. MR. RUIZ: Okay. But that will happen after the zoning? MR. SHAFAI: It's probably after the zoning. MR. RUIZ: Okay. Okay, thanks. MS. MERTZ: But you get that notice. So if you do, come to the town, look at the map. MR. RUIZ: Great. Thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STEIB: Yep. MR. ZINER: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else like to approach the board? MRS. SANICKI: My name is Kyle Sanicki, S-A-N-I-C-K-I. And it's 723 Perrineville Road. Today I want to just reiterate my feelings. I have spoken before the board before. And to, again, go on the record to say that I remain extremely concerned about the proposed development on this property. It is ten-acre rural zoning. It is rural zoning to protect the aquifer that's below it and the wetlands that are behind it and that was instituted by this board in whatever however it was at the time it was done, it was done for a

	112	1	114
1	has never seen before over an aquifer, preparing a	1	that what is going to happen?
2	septic treatment plant, butted up against preserved	2	I drove around the block. The block
3	wetlands and digging a well into this aquifer to	3	around here is 7 miles on one side, 11 miles on the
4	support, give or take, 1,000 human beings that would	4	other. It rained a little bit a couple of days ago,
5	be permanent residents there, not to mention who is	5	didn't it? My car was plowing through water.
6	going to come and go in the meantime. And	6	Plowing through it. And now what's going to happen?
7	everything I hear about what everyone is saying is	7	And there are no sidewalks and there are no drains.
8	looking at this piece of land to see whether or not	8	And even if you put them in, where the hell is the
9	this monstrosity can be supported on it.	9	water going to go?
10	No one has talked about how that is	10	So I'm shocked. I'm shocked that you
11	going to affect any one of the residential homes	11	sit up here and discuss this like it's some kind of
12	that surround this, any one of the residential homes	12	abstract comment. And, Ms. McKinley, I am a nurse.
13	that border the roads and the byways going to and	13	And 30 years ago, as a single mother of two, I would
14	from, what that's going to do. We haven't talked	14	be excluded from buying one of these units. So
15	about where is the runoff going to be? What is the	15	don't sit here and whitewash it, all right? I find
16	pollution going to be? Where does anyone think that	16	that insulting. And so probably do the policemen
17	water is going to go after you cover this with	17	and the firemen and the teachers that you think are
18	foundations and macadam and increased human life	18	going to be lining up to buy those places. So think
19	that is going to try and exist on this tiny plot of	19	again. There is a whole other populous out here.
20	land? That doesn't change.	20	And when you think about this town of
21	And when the DEP comes in, the DEP, I	21	Roosevelt, and all along Baird Road, and all along
22	would hope, looks at that land under all weather	22	into East Windsor, and all through this community,
23	conditions: After it rains; after it rains for	23	what you're going to do. Because if you think,
24	days; when the snow is melting; and when there is	24	again, that people are going to gravitate to
25	drought. And no one has thought about looking at	25	Route 33, when you just put a warehouse in there
	113		115
1	what is that going to do to any of the properties	1	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day,
2	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that.	1 2	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we
	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people		that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I
2 3 4	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what	2 3 4	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again.
2 3 4 5	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment	2 3 4 5	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person
2 3 4 5 6	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table	2 3 4 5 6	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And
2 3 4 5 6 7	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water	2 3 4 5 6 7	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this, something that this town doesn't even want to do, of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again. And when this EPA comes in, I want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this, something that this town doesn't even want to do, of affluent people. And what happens when that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again. And when this EPA comes in, I want to see them come in in the rain. I want to see them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this, something that this town doesn't even want to do, of affluent people. And what happens when that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again. And when this EPA comes in, I want to see them come in in the rain. I want to drive around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this, something that this town doesn't even want to do, of affluent people. And what happens when that management company decides to go south, belly up, bankrupt? What happens when those people stop	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again. Mand when this EPA comes in, I want to see them come in in the rain. I want to see them come in in the snow. I want them to drive around and walk those lands. Because those wetlands on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this, something that this town doesn't even want to do, of affluent people. And what happens when that management company decides to go south, belly up, bankrupt? What happens when those people stop paying their HOA fees? It happens all the time,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again. Mand when this EPA comes in, I want to see them come in in the rain. I want to see them come in in the snow. I want them to drive around and walk those lands. Because those wetlands on a dry day are not the same wetlands on a wet day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this, something that this town doesn't even want to do, of affluent people. And what happens when that management company decides to go south, belly up, bankrupt? What happens when those people stop paying their HOA fees? It happens all the time, folks. Then what happens?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again. Mad when this EPA comes in, I want to see them come in in the rain. I want to see them come in in the snow. I want them to drive around and walk those lands. Because those wetlands on a dry day are not the same wetlands on a wet day. Think about that. Think about what is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this, something that this town doesn't even want to do, of affluent people. And what happens when that management company decides to go south, belly up, bankrupt? What happens when those people stop paying their HOA fees? It happens all the time, folks. Then what happens? And when that leaks or breaks or goes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again. Mad when this EPA comes in, I want to see them come in in the rain. I want to see them come in in the snow. I want them to drive around and walk those lands. Because those wetlands on a dry day are not the same wetlands on a wet day. Think about that. Think about what is going to happen if there's a drought. What is going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this, something that this town doesn't even want to do, of affluent people. And what happens when that management company decides to go south, belly up, bankrupt? What happens when those people stop paying their HOA fees? It happens all the time, folks. Then what happens? And when that leaks or breaks or goes belly up and that effluent and gray water pours into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again. Mad when this EPA comes in, I want to see them come in in the rain. I want to see them come in in the snow. I want them to drive around and walk those lands. Because those wetlands on a dry day are not the same wetlands on a wet day. Think about that. Think about what is going to happen if there's a drought. What is going to happen to that well? And what happens when the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this, something that this town doesn't even want to do, of affluent people. And what happens when that management company decides to go south, belly up, bankrupt? What happens when those people stop paying their HOA fees? It happens all the time, folks. Then what happens? And when that leaks or breaks or goes belly up and that effluent and gray water pours into that wetlands and absorbs into the soil, into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again. Mnd when this EPA comes in, I want to see them come in in the rain. I want to see them come in in the snow. I want them to drive around and walk those lands. Because those wetlands on a dry day are not the same wetlands on a wet day. Think about that. Think about what is going to happen if there's a drought. What is going to happen to that well? And what happens when the well at my neighbor's, that's built in the 1900s,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this, something that this town doesn't even want to do, of affluent people. And what happens when that management company decides to go south, belly up, bankrupt? What happens? It happens all the time, folks. Then what happens? And when that leaks or breaks or goes belly up and that effluent and gray water pours into that wetlands and absorbs into the soil, into the drinking water that our wells pull off of, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again. And when this EPA comes in, I want to see them come in in the rain. I want to see them come in in the snow. I want them to drive around and walk those lands. Because those wetlands on a dry day are not the same wetlands on a wet day. Think about that. Think about what is going to happen if there's a drought. What is going to happen to that well? And what happens when the well at my neighbor's, that's built in the 1900s, that's not even as deep as my well, what happens
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what is that going to do to any of the properties that surround that. When there is drought and 1,000 people are drawing down off a well in that aquifer, what happens to our wells? When that septic treatment decides to fail? Hello. Happened. Water table rose. But for 1,000 people? Discharging gray water and effluent back into the land that can't absorb it even now. What is going to happen when that goes bad? When this management company and these low-income human beings that are going to come and live there, who are going to try and support this, something that this town doesn't even want to do, of affluent people. And what happens when that management company decides to go south, belly up, bankrupt? What happens when those people stop paying their HOA fees? It happens all the time, folks. Then what happens? And when that leaks or breaks or goes belly up and that effluent and gray water pours into that wetlands and absorbs into the soil, into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that is going to run 300 semitrucks 24 hours a day, plus this overload on these county roads. And we say maybe the roads will be widened. Maybe. I don't know. Maybe, think again. You don't have to be a planning person to understand what the impact is going to be. And yet you sit here and you talk about this like it's an abstract. And you should think about being more creative. And, you know what, I I just want to stomp on the ground and say when you talk about planning, I say, like my father used to say, I call bullshit on that, because it's not and you need to think again. Mnd when this EPA comes in, I want to see them come in in the rain. I want to see them come in in the snow. I want them to drive around and walk those lands. Because those wetlands on a dry day are not the same wetlands on a wet day. Think about that. Think about what is going to happen if there's a drought. What is going to happen to that well? And what happens when the well at my neighbor's, that's built in the 1900s,

1		i	
	116		
1	And you're going to change this zoning	1	MS. MERTZ: It will be a complete
2	now? And the question is, if this doesn't go	2	rezoning.
3	through and I hope to God it doesn't are you	3	MR. PISAURO: Okay.
4	going to change the zoning back? Nobody can say.	4	MS. MERTZ: And we are working on
5	Nobody can say.	5	drafting it presently.
6	So shame on you all, right here and	6	MR. PISAURO: All right.
7	right now. And we'll continue to come here and	7	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Are you foreclosing
8	we'll continue to talk about this. But it's not	8	an overlay or it just hasn't been determined?
9	black and white and it's not abstract. Get out	9	MS. MERTZ: It won't be an overlay.
10	there and walk. Get out there and drive. Go up and	10	It will be a complete rezoning of the site.
11	down that road.	11	I'm sorry, just to clarify, overlays
12	In the space of a mile and a quarter	12	have different implications in terms of the types of
13	there's two 90-degree turns that have accidents on	13	credits you can take for it. I don't want to get
14	them all the time, which neither one of them	14	there's so many levels. But it is a full rezoning,
15	granted one is in East Windsor, one is in Millstone	15	yeah.
16	didn't even come up on that last meeting where we	16	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. Mike, before
17	talked about dangerous roads in this community.	17	you continue, I'm sorry to interrupt you. What
18	Why? Why? Why?	18	relationship will The Watershed Institute have to
19	Thanks.	19	this project if it's going through a site plan
20	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you.	20	approval?
21	Anyone else from the public like to	21	Is there an obligation for the
22	approach the board? We're going to let new folks	22	applicant to submit to you?
23	MS. MALKIN: I just had a question	23	MR. PISAURO: No. We're a nonprofit
24	anyway, so that's fine.	24	watershed organization. We are in 25, probably 35
25	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Come on up. We'll	25	towns, in various degrees, reviewing developments
1	117 let new folks go first, and then we can go back	1	119 and working with municipalities, trying to help them
2	around, if necessary.	2	with ordinances, sustainability.
3	MR. PISAURO: Thank you very much. My	3	We actually sent out, just last week,
4	name is Mike Pisauro. It's P-I-S-A-U-R-O. I'm the	4	in a letter to all elected officials inviting them
5	policy director for the Watershed Institute, you may	5	to a roundtable on March 13th to talk about issues
6	know us under our older name, The	6	regarding sustainability, environment and what
7	Stony Brook-Millstone Watershed Association.	7	municipalities are dealing with. So, as one
	I'm here today because, obviously,	8	municipal engineer said, sometimes we are a friend
8 9	we're very concerned about the impacts of a	9	and an ally and other times we're a pain in the
	particular property on wetlands and storm water and	9 10	when it comes to development applications.
10	water quality. I do appreciate where we are in the	11	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So when an
11	process and I do appreciate sort of the Catch-22		application comes in here, you don't necessarily
12 13	municipalities are in because of COAH's failure to	12 13	know about it unless you happen to dig in and figure
	do its job over, you know, probably a decade or so.	13	it out, or if we alerted you?
14 15	But, you know, this is one element that is going to	14 15	MR. PISAURO: Correct. Correct. We
15	start into motion a bunch of other actions which,	15	try to stay on top of agendas but that doesn't
10	you know, once started it may be very hard to deal	10	always happen. So, you know, I always ask anyone
	with.	17	who is a friend of The Watershed, if you hear of
18 19	So with that being said, I had a couple		something, please let us know.
20	of questions. One, and I'm glad for the	19 20	MRS. D'ANDREA: I have an agenda
	clarification earlier, there's going to be a	20 21	contact thing that I put together and you can put
21	rezoning ordinance and there is no sort of timeline	21	your email on the back of this before you leave and
22 23	on that at this moment?	22	when I do my agendas.
	Any idea, are we going to do it as a	23 24	Give me your card
24 25	complete zoning or as an overlay zone?	24 25	MR. PISAURO: That would be great.
25	complete zoning of as all overlay zone:	23	mini rionono. mai woulu de great.

	120		122
1	MRS. D'ANDREA: and I can put you on	1	MR. PISAURO: No, no, there was at
2	my agenda contact list.	2	the end of this packet there was a proposed
3	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay, thanks. I	3	Ordinance 19, and it was blank. So it's on
4	interrupted you, I'm sorry about that.	4	Page 666, towards the real back of it.
5	MR. PISAURO: Oh, no, I appreciate	5	MS. MERTZ: I think it's is it
6	that, and I really appreciate the way you've handled	6	Exhibit T?
7	this hearing. It is, like I said, there are serious	7	MR. PISAURO: Appendix S. The
8	issues.	8	ordinance amending Chapter XXXV Land Use Article V.
9	So with that being said, I did have	9	MS. MERTZ: I think it's the
10	some questions. There were a couple of times that	10	affordable housing ordinance.
11	there was a discussion about not having an LOI,	11	MR. PISAURO: Zoning district
12	reading through the material	12	regulations, accessory apartments. It just said
13	MR. SHAFAI: We don't have any permit,	13	accessory apartments.
14	no approvals, nothing right now.	14	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: What does it say?
15	MR. PISAURO: But in the packet that	15	MR. PISAURO: It's several pages long.
16	was, you know, on the agenda there was discussion	16	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: What's the gist
17	from either the applicant or the applicant's	17	of it, though.
18	attorney about having either old LOIs	18	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: It was in the PDF.
19	MR. SHAFAI: 2008.	19	MR. PISAURO: I think it's just, now
20	MR. PISAURO: 2008?	20	that I'm looking at it, more detailed accessory
21	MR. SHAFAI: It's expired.	21	apartments. But it was some very good language in
22	MR. PISAURO: They have not been	22	there I'm sorry, it's Exhibit T.
23	extended?	23	MS. MERTZ: Oh, it is T?
24	MR. SHAFAI: No.	24	MR. PISAURO: I'm sorry. I looked at
25	MR. PISAURO: Okay. Do you have a copy	25	the wrong one. 668.
	121		123
1	121 of those?	1	123 So it is, "The ordinance of the
1 2		1 2	
	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes.		So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article
2	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's	2	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of
2 3	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes.	2 3	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article
2 3 4	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not.	2 3 4	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or
2 3 4 5	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can	2 3 4 5	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that
2 3 4 5 6	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil	2 3 4 5 6	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the
2 3 4 5 6 7	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually	2 3 4 5 6 7	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution. MR. SHAFAI: They all go through state	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building strategies. That will not alleviate any flooding or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution. MR. SHAFAI: They all go through state approvals. They do. I have no jurisdiction over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building strategies. That will not alleviate any flooding or increase storm water runoff from any development
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution. MR. SHAFAI: They all go through state approvals. They do. I have no jurisdiction over that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building strategies. That will not alleviate any flooding or increase storm water runoff from any development
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution. MR. SHAFAI: They all go through state approvals. They do. I have no jurisdiction over that. MR. PISAURO: I appreciate that. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building strategies. That will not alleviate any flooding or increase storm water runoff from any development because our rules just storm water rules don't deal with that, but DEP adopted at the very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution. MR. SHAFAI: They all go through state approvals. They do. I have no jurisdiction over that. MR. PISAURO: I appreciate that. But in that letter there was talking about soil logs so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building strategies. That will not alleviate any flooding or increase storm water runoff from any development because our rules just storm water rules don't deal with that, but DEP adopted at the very beginning of December new storm water rules
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution. MR. SHAFAI: They all go through state approvals. They do. I have no jurisdiction over that. MR. PISAURO: I appreciate that. But in that letter there was talking about soil logs so I just was curious, does the township have them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building strategies. That will not alleviate any flooding or increase storm water runoff from any development because our rules just storm water rules don't deal with that, but DEP adopted at the very beginning of December new storm water rules requiring green infrastructure. That would sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution. MR. SHAFAI: They all go through state approvals. They do. I have no jurisdiction over that. MR. PISAURO: I appreciate that. But in that letter there was talking about soil logs so I just was curious, does the township have them? MR. SHAFAI: We don't have them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building strategies. That will not alleviate any flooding or increase storm water runoff from any development because our rules just storm water rules don't deal with that, but DEP adopted at the very beginning of December new storm water rules requiring green infrastructure. That would sort of change the pyridine of how storm water is done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution. MR. SHAFAI: They all go through state approvals. They do. I have no jurisdiction over that. MR. PISAURO: I appreciate that. But in that letter there was talking about soil logs so I just was curious, does the township have them? MR. SHAFAI: We don't have them. MR. PISAURO: Okay. And you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building strategies. That will not alleviate any flooding or increase storm water runoff from any development because our rules just storm water rules don't deal with that, but DEP adopted at the very beginning of December new storm water rules requiring green infrastructure. That would sort of change the pyridine of how storm water is done. This would get the township out in front, it will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution. MR. SHAFAI: They all go through state approvals. They do. I have no jurisdiction over that. MR. PISAURO: I appreciate that. But in that letter there was talking about soil logs so I just was curious, does the township have them? MR. SHAFAI: We don't have them. MR. PISAURO: Okay. And you know so there was an ordinance at the end of the packet,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building strategies. That will not alleviate any flooding or increase storm water runoff from any development because our rules just storm water rules don't deal with that, but DEP adopted at the very beginning of December new storm water is done. This would get the township out in front, it will provide some benefits in future development.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution. MR. SHAFAI: They all go through state approvals. They do. I have no jurisdiction over that. MR. PISAURO: I appreciate that. But in that letter there was talking about soil logs so I just was curious, does the township have them? MR. SHAFAI: We don't have them. MR. PISAURO: Okay. And you know so there was an ordinance at the end of the packet, is that something that has been introduced?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building strategies. That will not alleviate any flooding or increase storm water runoff from any development because our rules just storm water rules don't deal with that, but DEP adopted at the very beginning of December new storm water rules requiring green infrastructure. That would sort of change the pyridine of how storm water is done. This would get the township out in front, it will provide some benefits in future development. Bo putting that in the ordinance would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of those? MR. SHAFAI: It should be on the plan that you guys have, yes. MR. PISAURO: But do you have DEP's actual LOI? MR. SHAFAI: No, I do not. MR. PISAURO: Okay. Because that can change. And there was also a discussion about soil logs. Obviously one of the concerns is actually a couple, but you're putting a package plant literally on top of the wetlands, and the impacts to the hydrology, the impacts to the health from nutrient pollution. MR. SHAFAI: They all go through state approvals. They do. I have no jurisdiction over that. MR. PISAURO: I appreciate that. But in that letter there was talking about soil logs so I just was curious, does the township have them. MR. SHAFAI: We don't have them. MR. PISAURO: Okay. And you know so there was an ordinance at the end of the packet,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So it is, "The ordinance of the Township of Millstone amending the general code of the township by repealing and replacing Article VIII, affordable housing". I was going to ask or make a suggestion. On Page 3 of that ordinance there is a section in definitions called green building strategies, it means those strategies that minimize the impact of development on the environment, enhance the health, et cetera. I would hope, and I would recommend, that we can if the planning board could recommend to the township, the council, that you include green storm water, green infrastructure as part of that green building strategies. That will not alleviate any flooding or increase storm water runoff from any development because our rules just storm water rules don't deal with that, but DEP adopted at the very beginning of December new storm water is done. This would get the township out in front, it will provide some benefits in future development.

	124		126
1	a note of it and I don't foresee any issues but	1	retention basin, all that stuff, that hasn't been
2	certainly we'll put it forth to the Township	2	designed yet.
3	Committee.	3	MS. SANICKI: In wetlands.
4	MR. PISAURO: I appreciate that.	4	MR. PISAURO: But my point is if you
5	I have a bunch of questions and I	5	are changing the grading, if you changing the way
6	realize, though, it really some of these are more	6	that storm water runs currently off that site, you
7	appropriate for when the plan comes in. And we are	7	may be directing water that is currently feeding
8	willing to be as much of assistance and guide and	8	those wetlands and the health of that wetland and
9	pain as possible.	9	you're directing to another part of the site which
10	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Rather than ask us	10	may have an impact on those wetlands. And the storm
11	questions, though, each question obviously has a	11	water rules don't necessarily look at that.
12	purpose. Could you just list the topics of your	12	MR. ZINER: So does the EPA study that
13	questions so that we are starting to think about	13	would be required for this have any effect on that
14	those things?	14	or enforce
15	MR. PISAURO: Certainly. Certainly. I	15	MR. PISAURO: Not in my experience,
16	mean when I looked at the Conceptual Plan for that	16	no. It almost is somewhat of an exaggeration but do
17	site you're literally taking almost every square	17	you stay out of wetlands? Yes. You're good. Do
18	inch of usable land. And I appreciate the	18	you have a storm water management plan that reduces
19	conceptual plan staying out of the transition areas	19	the peak flow, the rate at which it runs off
20	but you're using every square inch of that property	20	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I don't believe
21	that can be used. You're putting a package plant	21	all that engineering was done when it was submitted
22	literally on the doorsteps of the wetlands. That	22	to the court. It was just a conceptual thing. We
23	package plant is going to be discharging, it sounded	23	haven't gotten anywhere near that at all.
24	like a septic field will be discharging nutrients.	24	MR. PISAURO: I understand. I'm just
25	It will be changing the hydrology of that site. So	25	responding to the questions of some of our concerns.
	125		127
1	what are those impacts of those wetlands?	1	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: But I think it
2	You are creating an immense amount of		
_		2	would be good if you talked about what the topics
3	impervious coverage	2	would be good if you talked about what the topics were and then when they sit down with the plan at
3	impervious coverage.	2 3 4	were and then when they sit down with the plan at
4	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second.	3 4	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could
4 5	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure.	3 4 5	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where
4 5 6	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement	3 4 5 6	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about
4 5 6 7	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt?	3 4 5 6 7	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back
4 5 6 7 8	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have	3 4 5 6 7 8	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking
4 5 6 7 8 9	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about.
4 5 7 8 9 10	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about. So if you put that together and you
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about. So if you put that together and you send it into the township, it's certainly something
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about. So if you put that together and you send it into the township, it's certainly something that we could have on the radar.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about. So if you put that together and you send it into the township, it's certainly something that we could have on the radar. MR. PISAURO: Great. I appreciate
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at the storm water management, there's no requirement	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about. So if you put that together and you send it into the township, it's certainly something that we could have on the radar. MR. PISAURO: Great. I appreciate that. We will do that.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at the storm water management, there's no requirement in the storm water management rules that say are you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about. So if you put that together and you send it into the township, it's certainly something that we could have on the radar. MR. PISAURO: Great. I appreciate that. We will do that. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Great, thank you.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at the storm water management, there's no requirement in the storm water management rules that say are you changing the direction of surface flow that is going	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about.</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at the storm water management, there's no requirement in the storm water management rules that say are you changing the direction of surface flow that is going to have an impact on wetlands. The wetlands rules	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at the storm water management, there's no requirement in the storm water management rules that say are you changing the direction of surface flow that is going to have an impact on wetlands. The wetlands rules say, are you staying out of the wetlands? Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at the storm water management, there's no requirement in the storm water management rules that say are you changing the direction of surface flow that is going to have an impact on wetlands. The wetlands rules say, are you staying out of the wetlands? Yes. Great. Storm water rules are saying	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about. So if you put that together and you send it into the township, it's certainly something that we could have on the radar. MR. PISAURO: Great. I appreciate that. We will do that. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Great, thank you. Fantastic. MR. PISAURO: Thank you for this opportunity. I look forward CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Look forward to</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at the storm water management, there's no requirement in the storm water management rules that say are you changing the direction of surface flow that is going to have an impact on wetlands. The wetlands rules say, are you staying out of the wetlands? Yes. Great. Storm water rules are saying MR. SHAFAI: Don't increase the storm	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at the storm water management, there's no requirement in the storm water management rules that say are you changing the direction of surface flow that is going to have an impact on wetlands. The wetlands rules say, are you staying out of the wetlands? Yes. Great. Storm water rules are saying MR. SHAFAI: Don't increase the storm water.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at the storm water management, there's no requirement in the storm water management rules that say are you changing the direction of surface flow that is going to have an impact on wetlands. The wetlands rules say, are you staying out of the wetlands? Yes. Great. Storm water rules are saying MR. SHAFAI: Don't increase the storm water. MR. PISAURO: Well, you're increasing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about. So if you put that together and you send it into the township, it's certainly something that we could have on the radar. MR. PISAURO: Great. I appreciate that. We will do that. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Great, thank you. Fantastic. MR. PISAURO: Thank you for this opportunity. I look forward CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Look forward to working with you. MR. PISAURO: Yes. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Thank you very</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at the storm water management, there's no requirement in the storm water management rules that say are you changing the direction of surface flow that is going to have an impact on wetlands. The wetlands rules say, are you staying out of the wetlands? Yes. Great. Storm water rules are saying MR. SHAFAI: Don't increase the storm water. MR. PISAURO: Well, you're increasing the volume. You're changing the rate at which it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about. So if you put that together and you send it into the township, it's certainly something that we could have on the radar. MR. PISAURO: Great. I appreciate that. We will do that. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Great, thank you. Fantastic. MR. PISAURO: Thank you for this opportunity. I look forward CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Look forward to working with you. MR. PISAURO: Yes. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Thank you very much.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Just one second. MR. PISAURO: Sure. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That statement there, does the DEP ring in on that, Matt? MR. SHAFAI: Yes, they have jurisdiction over reviewing and approving the wetlands and all of the design criteria. MR. PISAURO: They do. That doesn't mean they're not going to have an effect. I mean, for example, taking a look at the storm water management, there's no requirement in the storm water management rules that say are you changing the direction of surface flow that is going to have an impact on wetlands. The wetlands rules say, are you staying out of the wetlands? Yes. Great. Storm water rules are saying MR. SHAFAI: Don't increase the storm water. MR. PISAURO: Well, you're increasing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>were and then when they sit down with the plan at least we know what you're looking at and we could incorporate that or think about what it is and where you're going so that we don't have to think about something that has been designed and then come back and redo it, but know kind of what you're thinking about. So if you put that together and you send it into the township, it's certainly something that we could have on the radar. MR. PISAURO: Great. I appreciate that. We will do that. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Great, thank you. Fantastic. MR. PISAURO: Thank you for this opportunity. I look forward CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Look forward to working with you. MR. PISAURO: Yes. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Thank you very</pre>

		1	
	128		130
1	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: The time might be	1	MR. ZINER: It's an amendment to it.
2	perfect to push harder.	2	MAYOR MALKIN: All right. Thank you.
3	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Thank you.	3	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you, again.
4	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Anyone else like to	4	Unless there's anyone else who would like to
5	approach the board before we have other folks that	5	approach the board, seeing none, I will close the
6	have already spoken.	6	public hearing portion and ask the board if there
7	Go ahead, Mayor.	7	was any further questions or comments from the board
8	MAYOR MALKIN: Peggy Malkin.	8	or our professionals on the matter before us?
9	Roosevelt, New Jersey. Well, my first question now	9	So, Mike, we have this obligation to
10	has been answered because I wanted to know if we	10	evaluate this affordable housing plan, which is
11	could be officially included on, you know, the	11	effectively as part of our will become a part of
12	notice of all of the meetings, all the future	12	our Master Plan, what type of action should we
13	meetings.	13	consider taking on this matter?
14	Two quick things. To piggyback onto	14	I'm not suggesting you tell us what to
15	what Mike Hamilton said, we have a lot of experience	15	do, but what would be a motion that we could make
16	in Roosevelt fighting off people who want to come in	16	and then deliberate and vote on?
17	and sort of take over our town. I think we're	17	MR. STEIB: Well, the action, pursuant
18	always seen as tiny and very vulnerable, but we're	18	to the Settlement Agreement and the direction of
19	sort of the mouse that roared.	19	Judge Grasso Jones is that your professionals were
20	In the years that I have been there we	20	to prepare and submit to you a Housing Element And
21	have fought off at least two large developers. We	21	Fair Share Plan consistent with the terms of the
22	fought off this yeshiva, who didn't just want to put	22	settlement. And the board has, then, to make a
23	a school but an entire campus on a residential	23	determination whether to adopt it or deny it.
24	street with cafeteria, dorms.	24	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So is it and I'm
25	What I really wanted to remind	25	not looking to simplify it, but is our charge to
	129		131
1	everyone, I'm not sure if any of you were here then,	1	identify whether this board agrees that this is
2			
2	but we also were able to fight off the State when	2	consistent with the Settlement Agreement, or are we
3	but we also were able to fight off the State when they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in	2 3	consistent with the Settlement Agreement, or are we charged with something
	-		_
3	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that	3	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with
3 4	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in	3 4	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement.
3 4 5	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we	3 4 5	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with
3 4 5 6	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents	3 4 5 6	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's
3 4 5 6 7 8	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt.	3 4 5 6 7	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is.
3 4 5 6 7	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with	3 4 5 6 7 8	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in Roosevelt where somebody wants to change it and we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court process. MR. STEIB: Correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in Roosevelt where somebody wants to change it and we are able to stave that off.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then if this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in Roosevelt where somebody wants to change it and we are able to stave that off. But what I really wanted to ask you is,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then if this board were to take action to adopt that, the next
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in Roosevelt where somebody wants to change it and we are able to stave that off. But what I really wanted to ask you is, is this included in your Master Plan, like this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then if this board were to take action to adopt that, the next step would be the town, then, preparing and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in Roosevelt where somebody wants to change it and we are able to stave that off. But what I really wanted to ask you is, is this included in your Master Plan, like this rezoning, this development, is this all in your	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then if this board were to take action to adopt that, the next step would be the town, then, preparing and considering a zoning ordinance to follow the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in Roosevelt where somebody wants to change it and we are able to stave that off. But what I really wanted to ask you is, is this included in your Master Plan, like this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then if this board were to take action to adopt that, the next step would be the town, then, preparing and considering a zoning ordinance to follow the different properties that are in this document?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in Roosevelt where somebody wants to change it and we are able to stave that off. But what I really wanted to ask you is, is this included in your Master Plan, like this rezoning, this development, is this all in your Master Plan? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The affordable	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then if this board were to take action to adopt that, the next step would be the town, then, preparing and considering a zoning ordinance to follow the different properties that are in this document? MR. STEIB: Correct. Ordinances to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in Roosevelt where somebody wants to change it and we are able to stave that off. But what I really wanted to ask you is, is this included in your Master Plan, like this rezoning, this development, is this all in your Master Plan? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The affordable housing? Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then if this board were to take action to adopt that, the next step would be the town, then, preparing and considering a zoning ordinance to follow the different properties that are in this document? MR. STEIB: Correct. Ordinances to implement the plan, for instance, adopting the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in Roosevelt where somebody wants to change it and we are able to stave that off. But what I really wanted to ask you is, is this included in your Master Plan, like this rezoning, this development, is this all in your Master Plan? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The affordable housing? Yes. MAYOR MALKIN: But I mean this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then if this board were to take action to adopt that, the next step would be the town, then, preparing and considering a zoning ordinance to follow the different properties that are in this document? MR. STEIB: Correct. Ordinances to implement the plan, for instance, adopting the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in Roosevelt where somebody wants to change it and we are able to stave that off. But what I really wanted to ask you is, is this included in your Master Plan, like this rezoning, this development, is this all in your Master Plan? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The affordable housing? Yes. MAYOR MALKIN: But I mean this particular development?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then if this board were to take action to adopt that, the next step would be the town, then, preparing and considering a zoning ordinance to follow the different properties that are in this document? MR. STEIB: Correct. Ordinances to implement the plan, for instance, adopting the ordinance for the rehabilitation plan, adopting the ordinance for the apartment, accessory apartment
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they wanted to put a nuclear waste facility in Roosevelt. And that was one of the few times that Millstone joined in. We had many, many residents from Millstone coming to our meetings and we successfully lobbied to not have that facility in Roosevelt. So we have a lot of experience with preserving our town in its for its historic value and its beauty. And so, as Mike said, we're willing to help you in any way we can. We really have, every few years, it seems like something comes up in Roosevelt where somebody wants to change it and we are able to stave that off. But what I really wanted to ask you is, is this included in your Master Plan, like this rezoning, this development, is this all in your Master Plan? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The affordable housing? Yes. MAYOR MALKIN: But I mean this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	charged with something MR. STEIB: You're here to comply with the Settlement Agreement. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. MR. STEIB: That's what the court's order says. What the court's direction is. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then this document, Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, would be that document that would comply with the Settlement Agreement that is part of the court process. MR. STEIB: Correct. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then if this board were to take action to adopt that, the next step would be the town, then, preparing and considering a zoning ordinance to follow the different properties that are in this document? MR. STEIB: Correct. Ordinances to implement the plan, for instance, adopting the

	132		134
1	property.	1	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: In your
2	MR. STEIB: Adopting through the Shu	2	experience has anything ever happened? In other
3	Lee property, the CKV property. It's, for each of	3	words, you go and speak to the judge. Does he
4	those things, it would be an ordinance adoption.	4	change his mind?
5	MR. ZINER: It's an all-or-nothing is	5	MR. STEIB: I have seen matters
6	how it's written presently, right?	6	carried in order to address, perhaps, as you said,
7	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: This plan?	7	have come up. In other cases I have seen the judge
8	MR. STEIB: That is true. It is	8	hear things and say I'm satisfied.
9	because it follows the terms of the Settlement	9	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then if that, if
10	Agreement, the outline of the Settlement Agreement.	10	the judge does bless the plan regardless of the
11	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And if this board	11	public
12	were to not take that action it would be in	12	MR. STEIB: It won't be blessing the
13	violation of that Settlement Agreement?	13	plan, it will be saying you have complied with what
14	How do you want to characterize it?	14	I ordered you to do.
15	MR. STEIB: It would be. It would be	15	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Well, which is the
16	we would be to the end of my conversation with	16	zoning, the zoning ordinance that has been adopted.
17	you at the outset.	17	MR. STEIB: There's a lot more than
18	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. Which would	18	just the zoning ordinance.
19	then?	19	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. I'm
20	MR. STEIB: We would be in violation	20	simplifying it to get to my next point, which is and
21	of the Settlement Agreement. We would be looking at	21	then the applicant has to go through all of that
22	the possibility of Fair Share Housing; looking for	22	process, time, money and expense, of proving the
23	the court to withdraw our immunity, to increase our	23	site meets all of these criteria with all of these
24	numbers; for potential other developers to come in;	24	governmental agencies, including coming to this
25	et cetera, et cetera.	25	board for their evaluation of all of the things that
	133		135
1	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So with that	1	we talked about tonight and probably others.
2	said, if we proceed tonight the way that the court	2	MR. STEIB: Yes.
3	would anticipate we proceed, there is a zoning	3	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: But how much bite
4	ordinance process that will, again, have public	4	does this board ultimately have.
5	participation and this planning board will have an	5	So let's assume that we said yes to all
6	opportunity to review that zoning ordinance.	6	of that, and the plan comes to us to be approved.
7	MR. STEIB: Correct.	7	And we look at it and we go, we don't feel
8	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And then, if that	8	comfortable with this, we think this encroaches on
9	all moves ahead, there's a compliance hearing which	9	wetlands, we think there's too much impervious
10	I guess, we could attend but I would assume that the	10	surface. And we say all those things, right, and we
11	judge would approve this at the compliance hearing	11	say this isn't correct and ultimately you need to
12	if everyone else if everything else is squared	12	shrink the project to make it comply. And if we do
13	away.	13	that, then what happens?
14	MR. STEIB: There will be an	14	Because now we're not in compliance
15	opportunity for comments to be submitted to the	15	with the law or the agreement that we
16	court pre-compliance hearing, and for people to	16	MR. STEIB: It depends on whether what
17	appear at court at the compliance hearing, if they	17	you just said is correct, that, in fact, they are
18	wish.	18	encroaching on wetlands and that, therefore that's
19	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Just like here,	19	inappropriate. And they are
20	members of the public.	20	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So it would only
21	MR. STEIB: Yes.	21	be in that case it would only be in that case
22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And they can speak	22	that it was an environmental issue, it wouldn't be
23	up to the judge in that process?	23	setbacks, it wouldn't be laws that we created, things that we did to keep things rural and
24	MR. STEIB: In my experience the judge will allow that.	24 25	protected here in our opinion and the way we wanted
25		1/3	

	136		138
1	our town to go, right? It would only have to be if	1	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Or you've made the
2	it violated a State law, something that wasn't	2	job economically infeasible and that would be that
3	acceptable environmentally, would be our only	3	point where the county could effectively stop it by
4	protection to curtail the size of this development;	4	making the infrastructure improvements unreasonable
5	is that correct.	5	for that developer to handle. It might not be
6	MR. STEIB: No, because there's also a	6	unreasonable for the ask but
7	county road, so this application has to go to the	7	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, and I know we
8	county. If the county says this road cannot handle	8	don't have a crystal ball, but let's imagine, just
9	this type of traffic, things need to be done. If	9	for a minute, things that may stop this thing or
10	the county says, wait a second, there's an	10	make it smaller in this location, right.
11	intersection here and an intersection there that is	11	So we've talked about the roads. Check
12	now effected, that has to be squared away. If you	12	the box. And we can understand, in the remotest
13	can't square that away from your development then	13	way, the cost that might be associated with that.
14	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: That hasn't	14	It's not terribly expensive to reconfigure the road
15	stopped it though, right? So the idea that the	15	and install a traffic light. It's not it
16	county makes the road wider, let's assume that is	16	wouldn't be burdensome for a development of this
17	the case because the county has the ability to do	17	size.
18	so. And like we talked about it could, ultimately,	18	If we encroach upon the wetlands and we
19	if you want to sell your property as they encroached	19	do some damage there, that probably would go in
20	on it, there could be eminent domain for the sake of	20	favor of making this development smaller.
21	satisfying COAH, which seems to be an underlying or	21	I think, like, really what I'm left
22	very important theme for the state.	22	with, what my crystal ball seems to say, is that the
23	So let's assume that that can happen.	23	only shot I have here is the DEP is the DEP or
24	And I'm even curious, is the State going to provide	24	the EPA, whatever governing body might control this
25	a traffic study expert? I mean we talked about	25	thing, that's really our only shot to keep our
	137		139
1	providing our own, and we suspect the developer	1	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of
1 2	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for	1 2	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact.
	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to		Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of
2 3 4	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out.	2	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a
2 3	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners	2 3	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but,
2 3 4 5 6	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at	2 3 4 5 6	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you
2 3 4 5 6 7	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller	2 3 4 5 6 7	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the infrastructure capacity for the roads so, therefore,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right? Matt, earlier I asked you just, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the infrastructure capacity for the roads so, therefore, they have to be improved, whatever that means,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right? Matt, earlier I asked you just, you know, for back-of-the envelope scenario, Hey, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the infrastructure capacity for the roads so, therefore, they have to be improved, whatever that means, widened or whatever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right? Matt, earlier I asked you just, you know, for back-of-the envelope scenario, Hey, what you do you think? Do you think this thing could fit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the infrastructure capacity for the roads so, therefore, they have to be improved, whatever that means, widened or whatever VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Or a traffic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right? Matt, earlier I asked you just, you know, for back-of-the envelope scenario, Hey, what you do you think? Do you think this thing could fit on here? You kind of said yes. Right? Like, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the infrastructure capacity for the roads so, therefore, they have to be improved, whatever that means, widened or whatever VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Or a traffic light needs to be put, whatever it might be, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right? Matt, earlier I asked you just, you know, for back-of-the envelope scenario, Hey, what you do you think? Do you think this thing could fit on here? You kind of said yes. Right? Like, I know you don't know facts, like I know you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the infrastructure capacity for the roads so, therefore, they have to be improved, whatever that means, widened or whatever VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Or a traffic light needs to be put, whatever it might be, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right? Matt, earlier I asked you just, you know, for back-of-the envelope scenario, Hey, what you do you think? Do you think this thing could fit on here? You kind of said yes. Right? Like, I know you don't know facts, like I know you don't have the wetlands delineated, I get all that. I get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the infrastructure capacity for the roads so, therefore, they have to be improved, whatever that means, widened or whatever VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Or a traffic light needs to be put, whatever it might be, right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right or property has to be taken for additional widening, the county	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right? Matt, earlier I asked you just, you know, for back-of-the envelope scenario, Hey, what you do you think? Do you think this thing could fit on here? You kind of said yes. Right? Like, I know you don't know facts, like I know you don't have the wetlands delineated, I get all that. I get all that. But back-of-the-envelope situation, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the infrastructure capacity for the roads so, therefore, they have to be improved, whatever that means, widened or whatever VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Or a traffic light needs to be put, whatever it might be, right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right or property has to be taken for additional widening, the county doesn't pay for that. The county says to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right? Matt, earlier I asked you just, you know, for back-of-the envelope scenario, Hey, what you do you think? Do you think this thing could fit on here? You kind of said yes. Right? Like, I know you don't know facts, like I know you don't have the wetlands delineated, I get all that. I get all that. But back-of-the-envelope situation, I don't think I got a winner there. Right? I kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the infrastructure capacity for the roads so, therefore, they have to be improved, whatever that means, widened or whatever VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Or a traffic light needs to be put, whatever it might be, right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right or property has to be taken for additional widening, the county doesn't pay for that. The county says to the applicant, okay, therefore you have to do X dollars	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right? Matt, earlier I asked you just, you know, for back-of-the envelope scenario, Hey, what you do you think? Do you think this thing could fit on here? You kind of said yes. Right? Like, I know you don't know facts, like I know you don't have the wetlands delineated, I get all that. I get all that. But back-of-the-envelope situation, I don't think I got a winner there. Right? I kind of trust Matt. We have worked with him for a long
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the infrastructure capacity for the roads so, therefore, they have to be improved, whatever that means, widened or whatever VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Or a traffic light needs to be put, whatever it might be, right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right or property has to be taken for additional widening, the county doesn't pay for that. The county says to the applicant, okay, therefore you have to do X dollars worth of improvements, and then the applicant may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right? Matt, earlier I asked you just, you know, for back-of-the envelope scenario, Hey, what you do you think? Do you think this thing could fit on here? You kind of said yes. Right? Like, I know you don't know facts, like I know you don't have the wetlands delineated, I get all that. I get all that. But back-of-the-envelope situation, I don't think I got a winner there. Right? I kind of trust Matt. We have worked with him for a long time. Probably don't think I have a winner there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	providing our own, and we suspect the developer will, and it's great that he or she will pay for that, but I'm not so sure the county is going to bother to come on out. The fact of the matter is those corners are extremely dangerous. And if the county cared at all, even the way it exists today with a smaller subset of people living here, they're still completely unacceptable. The amount of accidents, the way it's designed, it's crazy. Right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: But if the county said, for example, that this development exceeds the infrastructure capacity for the roads so, therefore, they have to be improved, whatever that means, widened or whatever VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Or a traffic light needs to be put, whatever it might be, right? CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Right or property has to be taken for additional widening, the county doesn't pay for that. The county says to the applicant, okay, therefore you have to do X dollars	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Master Plan sort of the way we drew it, with all of our names on it, you know, intact. So maybe I'm going to refer to all of our experience here, right, because we all have a little bit of experience. We're not experts but, Matt, I'm going to go back to you. What do you think about this property? I mean, do we feel like, you know, I have driven by their neighbor, the neighbor of the property, and I see that they have a mounted septic field in their backyard. Right? It looks like that's probably a result of the land being kind of wet. Right? Matt, earlier I asked you just, you know, for back-of-the envelope scenario, Hey, what you do you think? Do you think this thing could fit on here? You kind of said yes. Right? Like, I know you don't know facts, like I know you don't have the wetlands delineated, I get all that. I get all that. But back-of-the-envelope situation, I don't think I got a winner there. Right? I kind of trust Matt. We have worked with him for a long

	140		142
1	this approval, if we decided to go in this	1	And I suspect the developer in
2	direction, just based on the little bit of expertise	2	question, Mr. Baldachino or Mrs. Baldachino, the
3	we all have as regular people, chances are we're	3	Baldachino family, have some idea. I don't suspect
4	going to get this thing and we're going to own it.	4	they have just gone into this completely blind.
5	So if you choose to vote, and I'm not	5	Right? I suspect they have done a little bit of
6	trying to influence no, actually, I am trying to	6	homework. Maybe not had an official wetlands
7	influence everybody. Right? If we decide to go in	7	delineation study done, but suspect that they've
8	this direction, I want you to think that your vote	8	probably gone out and dug some pits.
9	is a vote for this project. I want you to think	9	MS. OXLEY: Mike, can I ask you a
10	it's not a vote for a smaller project. I don't want	10	question? We're really, really voting on the plan
11	you to think it's a vote for something that may or	11	not the outcome at this point. There's a plan in
12	may not happen. We should assume that we have	12	place. The outcome may be the same, but it may be
13	opened the door to make this happen. And, that	13	different based on, as we implement the plan, we may
14	being said, we have a very, very difficult decision.	14	have to zigzag, zigzag.
15	I also want to make clear to everybody	15	If by some chance while we're trying to
16	in the audience, I've said this many times, nobody	16	implement the plan, say another property comes along
17	on this board or on the Township Committee wants	17	that is a better fit, can we say to the court, look,
18	this. You have to understand people worked hard to	18	I know we have implemented this, we're implementing
19	try to do this the best they can and have made the	19	this plan and in good faith something else came
20	decisions that were best at the time. Nobody wants	20	along that is a better fit because A, B, C, D; do
21	to own this. Nobody.	21	you think that that would put us in jeopardy if you
22	Nevertheless, though, go back to the	22	go back to the court and say this is a better fit?
23	concept that I'm presenting here. And what I'm	23	And say that there's no property right
24	saying here is that I would like everybody, when	24	now. Let's say in about six months something comes
25	they think about voting for this, think about the	25	along that might be a little bit of a better fit.
	141		143
1	project that has been proposed, and think about it	1	143 MR. STEIB: It would put us in
1 2	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the	1 2	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy
	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells,		MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in
2	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature,	2	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy?
2 3	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the	2 3	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were
2 3 4	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to	2 3 4 5 6	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development.
2 3 4 5	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop	2 3 4 5	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says,
2 3 4 5 6	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is clearly the hardest thing I have ever had to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the white hat on, I think, take that, pursue that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is clearly the hardest thing I have ever had to address. But I can say for 15 years, or however	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the white hat on, I think, take that, pursue that action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is clearly the hardest thing I have ever had to address. But I can say for 15 years, or however long I've been doing this, I've never had the DEP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the white hat on, I think, take that, pursue that action. If, however, they have now filed an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is clearly the hardest thing I have ever had to address. But I can say for 15 years, or however long I've been doing this, I've never had the DEP come in here and say you guys, you're wrong.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the white hat on, I think, take that, pursue that action. If, however, they have now filed an application, spent \$100,000 in engineering fees and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is clearly the hardest thing I have ever had to address. But I can say for 15 years, or however long I've been doing this, I've never had the DEP come in here and say you guys, you're wrong. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the white hat on, I think, take that, pursue that action. If, however, they have now filed an application, spent \$100,000 in engineering fees and are in good faith pursuing it, then I think we would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is clearly the hardest thing I have ever had to address. But I can say for 15 years, or however long I've been doing this, I've never had the DEP come in here and say you guys, you're wrong. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, in my experience, they don't typically do that, but that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the white hat on, I think, take that, pursue that action. If, however, they have now filed an application, spent \$100,000 in engineering fees and are in good faith pursuing it, then I think we would have a problem with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is clearly the hardest thing I have ever had to address. But I can say for 15 years, or however long I've been doing this, I've never had the DEP come in here and say you guys, you're wrong. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, in my experience, they don't typically do that, but that doesn't mean we can't get a little bit move forceful	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the white hat on, I think, take that, pursue that action. If, however, they have now filed an application, spent \$100,000 in engineering fees and are in good faith pursuing it, then I think we would have a problem with that. MS. OXLEY: Okay. And meanwhile there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is clearly the hardest thing I have ever had to address. But I can say for 15 years, or however long I've been doing this, I've never had the DEP come in here and say you guys, you're wrong. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, in my experience, they don't typically do that, but that doesn't mean we can't get a little bit move forceful or creative in this scenario.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the white hat on, I think, take that, pursue that action. If, however, they have now filed an application, spent \$100,000 in engineering fees and are in good faith pursuing it, then I think we would have a problem with that. MS. OXLEY: Okay. And meanwhile there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is clearly the hardest thing I have ever had to address. But I can say for 15 years, or however long I've been doing this, I've never had the DEP come in here and say you guys, you're wrong. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, in my experience, they don't typically do that, but that doesn't mean we can't get a little bit move forceful or creative in this scenario. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: It sounds to me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the white hat on, I think, take that, pursue that action. If, however, they have now filed an application, spent \$100,000 in engineering fees and are in good faith pursuing it, then I think we would have a problem with that. MS. OXLEY: Okay. And meanwhile there could be possibilities that there could be something that basically this property becomes not what it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is clearly the hardest thing I have ever had to address. But I can say for 15 years, or however long I've been doing this, I've never had the DEP come in here and say you guys, you're wrong. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, in my experience, they don't typically do that, but that doesn't mean we can't get a little bit move forceful or creative in this scenario. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: It sounds to me like it's a law. Like it sounds to me like there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the white hat on, I think, take that, pursue that action. If, however, they have now filed an application, spent \$100,000 in engineering fees and are in good faith pursuing it, then I think we would have a problem with that. MS. OXLEY: Okay. And meanwhile there could be possibilities that there could be something that basically this property becomes not what it you know, you can't put so many units on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	project that has been proposed, and think about it think about it, in its entirety, with all the dark parts that go with it: Polluted wells, overtaxed septic systems, things of that nature, with no help from the DEP. Because the fact of the matter is this board has never seen the DEP come to this town and do anything to help us stop development, ever. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I don't know if we've been in this situation, so I'm not VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: We haven't. But even on small ones, I've never had the DEP I have been on this board for 15 years or so, and this is clearly the hardest thing I have ever had to address. But I can say for 15 years, or however long I've been doing this, I've never had the DEP come in here and say you guys, you're wrong. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, in my experience, they don't typically do that, but that doesn't mean we can't get a little bit move forceful or creative in this scenario. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: It sounds to me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STEIB: It would put us in jeopardy MS. OXLEY: It would still put us in jeopardy? MR. STEIB: if this property were pursuing development. If the court looks at it and says, okay, it's been rezoned, and now we're sitting here and it's June of 2021 and you're up for a review and nothing has happened with this property and we say, by the way, this other property has come up, we want it changed because the Baldachino tract is not is not coming about. Then, yes, we could, with the white hat on, I think, take that, pursue that action. If, however, they have now filed an application, spent \$100,000 in engineering fees and are in good faith pursuing it, then I think we would have a problem with that. MS. OXLEY: Okay. And meanwhile there could be possibilities that there could be something that basically this property becomes not what it

	144	1	146
1	does that work?	1	package treatment, like at some point there's a
2	MR. STEIB: We will be asked to look	2	fulcrum of these numbers that ultimately
3	for something else to fill the need.	3	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: The package
4	MS. OXLEY: Okay. And will they give	4	treatment plant is a lot of money.
5	us a certain time frame?	5	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Yeah.
6	MR. STEIB: Well, the court is going	6	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: It's not it's
7	to look at that on an annual basis.	7	not something that you want to be able to build
8	MS. OXLEY: Okay.	8	because it's probably a \$10 million cost.
9	MR. STEIB: And if we hit that annual	9	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: So, in theory,
10	review and it's not panning out, the court is going	10	maybe if it got smaller you wouldn't end up with
11	to say, what are you guys doing? I want a report	11	that. Maybe.
12	from you. What are you looking at? How are you	12	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: You wouldn't
13	going to fill this gap?	13	have to. But if you didn't have but the problem
14	MS. OXLEY: So if they see movement,	14	that we have in this area is that we have rental
15	whether we've identified say we're trying to	15	units so that we and which accounts for 96
16	identify something, we are actively looking and	16	credits. And the reason that we wound up with
17	talking?	17	rental units there was because of the fact that our
18	MR. STEIB: As long as we're actively	18	intervenor was complaining about the rental units
19	working in good faith.	19	being on our other municipally sponsored units.
20	MS. OXLEY: Okay.	20	They found some case that they were challenging us.
21	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I have a	21	I don't know if it was I don't remember if it was
22	question. Let's say, for example, as the intervenor	22	deeds or whatever the heck it was, they were
23	is gone and as the plan has been approved. And,	23	challenging it. So we had to change from rentals to
24	let's say, for example, with some of our municipally	24	for-sale units. And it was this constant going back
25	sponsored programs we find that there may be a way	25	and forth and shuffling that he wound up with the
	145		147
1	145 in which we would be able to increase the number of	1	147 rental units, which gave us the 96 units.
1 2		1 2	
	in which we would be able to increase the number of		rental units, which gave us the 96 units.
2	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be	2 3	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the
2	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it	2 3	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when
2 3 4	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were	2 3 4	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of
2 3 4 5	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be	2 3 4 5	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had.
2 3 4 5 6	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units	2 3 4 5 6	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being
2 3 4 5 6 7	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced	2 3 4 5 6 7	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to have those but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development. MR. STEIB: It's a possibility. I'm not a numbers cruncher. I don't know if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to have those but MR. ZINER: But that's a wonderful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development. MR. STEIB: It's a possibility. I'm not a numbers cruncher. I don't know if DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: But there could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to have those but MR. ZINER: But that's a wonderful hypothetical but we're not here with this today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development. MR. STEIB: It's a possibility. I'm not a numbers cruncher. I don't know if DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: But there could possibly be some shuffling?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to have those but MR. ZINER: But that's a wonderful hypothetical but we're not here with this today. Today we need to vote on this particular plan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development. MR. STEIB: It's a possibility. I'm not a numbers cruncher. I don't know if DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: But there could possibly be some shuffling? MR. STEIB: if the property owner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to have those but MR. ZINER: But that's a wonderful hypothetical but we're not here with this today. Today we need to vote on this particular plan. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development. MR. STEIB: It's a possibility. I'm not a numbers cruncher. I don't know if DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: But there could possibly be some shuffling? MR. STEIB: if the property owner says, no, I would need to have that number of market	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to have those but MR. ZINER: But that's a wonderful hypothetical but we're not here with this today. Today we need to vote on this particular plan. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I understand that, Jeff. But I'm just saying that this I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development. MR. STEIB: It's a possibility. I'm not a numbers cruncher. I don't know if DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: But there could possibly be some shuffling? MR. STEIB: if the property owner says, no, I would need to have that number of market units in order to support the affordable. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to have those but MR. ZINER: But that's a wonderful hypothetical but we're not here with this today. Today we need to vote on this particular plan. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I understand that, Jeff. But I'm just saying that this I'm just telling you how we got there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development. MR. STEIB: It's a possibility. I'm not a numbers cruncher. I don't know if DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: But there could possibly be some shuffling? MR. STEIB: if the property owner says, no, I would need to have that number of market units in order to support the affordable. I don't have a crystal ball on it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to have those but MR. ZINER: But that's a wonderful hypothetical but we're not here with this today. Today we need to vote on this particular plan. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I understand that, Jeff. But I'm just saying that this I'm just telling you how we got there. I'm, you know, I know look, this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development. MR. STEIB: It's a possibility. I'm not a numbers cruncher. I don't know if DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: But there could possibly be some shuffling? MR. STEIB: if the property owner says, no, I would need to have that number of market units in order to support the affordable. I don't have a crystal ball on it. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to have those but MR. ZINER: But that's a wonderful hypothetical but we're not here with this today. Today we need to vote on this particular plan. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I understand that, Jeff. But I'm just saying that this I'm just telling you how we got there. I'm, you know, I know look, this is what we have today and, you know, you can either
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development. MR. STEIB: It's a possibility. I'm not a numbers cruncher. I don't know if DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: But there could possibly be some shuffling? MR. STEIB: if the property owner says, no, I would need to have that number of market units in order to support the affordable. I don't have a crystal ball on it. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Okay. VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Nancy, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to have those but MR. ZINER: But that's a wonderful hypothetical but we're not here with this today. Today we need to vote on this particular plan. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I understand that, Jeff. But I'm just saying that this I'm just telling you how we got there. I'm, you know, I know look, this is what we have today and, you know, you can either vote for it or you could not vote for it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in which we would be able to increase the number of units that we may have, whether it happens to be because of funding that becomes available, or if it happens to be because of another area that we were looking at some rehabilitation, where we would be able to reduce the number because it's 96 units that we're talking about on that property where we would be able to reduce the number of units on that inclusionary development. And if we reduced the number on the inclusionary development, that would reduce the number of market-rate units. Would that be a possibility? So it wouldn't be as an intense development. MR. STEIB: It's a possibility. I'm not a numbers cruncher. I don't know if DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: But there could possibly be some shuffling? MR. STEIB: if the property owner says, no, I would need to have that number of market units in order to support the affordable. I don't have a crystal ball on it. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rental units, which gave us the 96 units. So that is why that wound up being the way it was. And it was really towards the end when we were trying to maximize maximize the number of units that we had. So again, with the project being approved and getting that group out, they're no longer interested in destroying our municipally sponsored units, there may be something that we would be able to look at. And we would have to continue to have conversations with our affordable housing partners as far as the structure and some housing and the development. And we continue to have those but MR. ZINER: But that's a wonderful hypothetical but we're not here with this today. Today we need to vote on this particular plan. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I understand that, Jeff. But I'm just saying that this I'm just telling you how we got there. I'm, you know, I know look, this is what we have today and, you know, you can either

	148		150
1	is needed just to administer this whole thing, not	1	that they're concerned about they're not
2	only locally but statewise and federally.	2	concerned about the environment. They're not
3	This is a major thing. And then there	3	concerned about the sensitivity in the environment.
4	is this business of shifting from this to this to	4	They don't care that we're a Planning Area 4b. They
5	this, and get approvals for that, or don't you get	5	don't care that we have five water sheds. They
6	approvals for that. It's an industry in and of	6	could care less.
7	itself.	7	The only thing that they're concerned
8	How strongly I always I always	8	about is the fact of what the income level of
9	have the question of, okay, you have all this, you	9	Millstone happens to be, period. That's it. And
10	decide to do this, who is going to enforce it? And	10	that's how the numbers were basically come upon.
11	is there any chance that there will be some	11	And that's what that's how it was determined
12	weakening in the fair housing law or something?	12	throughout the entire state.
13	I mean, it's a little does anybody	13	So if there's going to be anything that
14	have any ideas about that?	14	is going to change and that's going to be taken from
15	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: You have to	15	the courts, it's going to have to be done
16	call your legislators.	16	legislatively. And I don't see that happening now.
17	MR. STEIB: The legislature has done	17	And I don't see a push from the municipalities and I
18	zero in putting COAH back in business. So we're	18	don't see a push from the residents.
19	stuck with the courts.	19	So if the residents get together and
20	MS. OXLEY: Stuck with the courts?	20	the municipalities get together and they start to
21	MR. ZINER: Ain't happening.	21	really clamor and put pressure on people that are
22	MR. STEIB: For the foreseeable	22	elected to our Assembly and our Senate and our
23	future.	23	Governor, then maybe we'll see some changes. But I
24	MS. OXLEY: And you're saying that	24	don't see that happening, especially when we have a
25	and we understand that the courts are very	25	lot of people down there that are attached to the
	149		151
1	pro-builder and you know from based on some of	1	builders association.
2			
	the decisions they've made?	2	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Anything further?
3	the decisions they've made? MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of	2	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Anything further? So then I would suggest, nothing more than a
3 4	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of	2 3 4	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a
4	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are	3 4	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table.
4 5	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good	3 4 5	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the
4 5 6	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan.	3 4	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote
4 5 6 7	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put	3 4 5 6	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion,
4 5 6 7 8	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in?	3 4 5 6 7 8	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone
4 5 6 7	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on	3 4 5 6 7	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course of action or a course of thinking. But there's no
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then they're going to expect us to go back to the drawing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then they're going to expect us to go back to the drawing board and to come back with a better plan.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course of action or a course of thinking. But there's no obligation to do that. It's a motion and you're
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then they're going to expect us to go back to the drawing board and to come back with a better plan. MS. OXLEY: At least they're going to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course of action or a course of thinking. But there's no obligation to do that. It's a motion and you're just giving your vote on this process.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then they're going to expect us to go back to the drawing board and to come back with a better plan. MS. OXLEY: At least they're going to give us a chance to go back to the drawing board	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course of action or a course of thinking. But there's no obligation to do that. It's a motion and you're just giving your vote on this process. So, Mike, just to typically in these
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then they're going to expect us to go back to the drawing board and to come back with a better plan. MS. OXLEY: At least they're going to give us a chance to go back to the drawing board without us having to lose our	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course of action or a course of thinking. But there's no obligation to do that. It's a motion and you're just giving your vote on this process. So, Mike, just to typically in these matters I would call for a motion. And the motion
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then they're going to expect us to go back to the drawing board and to come back with a better plan. MS. OXLEY: At least they're going to give us a chance to go back to the drawing board without us having to lose our MR. STEIB: Immunity.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course of action or a course of thinking. But there's no obligation to do that. It's a motion and you're just giving your vote on this process. So, Mike, just to typically in these matters I would call for a motion. And the motion would be somewhat obvious because our motions
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then they're going to expect us to go back to the drawing board and to come back with a better plan. MS. OXLEY: At least they're going to give us a chance to go back to the drawing board without us having to lose our MR. STEIB: Immunity. MS. OXLEY: immunity. Okay. So at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course of action or a course of thinking. But there's no obligation to do that. It's a motion and you're just giving your vote on this process. So, Mike, just to typically in these matters I would call for a motion. And the motion would be somewhat obvious because our motions typically involve site plan applications and, that's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then they're going to expect us to go back to the drawing board and to come back with a better plan. MS. OXLEY: At least they're going to give us a chance to go back to the drawing board without us having to lose our MR. STEIB: Immunity. MS. OXLEY: immunity. Okay. So at least there's that's hope there. We're being	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course of action or a course of thinking. But there's no obligation to do that. It's a motion and you're just giving your vote on this process. So, Mike, just to typically in these matters I would call for a motion. And the motion would be somewhat obvious because our motions typically involve site plan applications and, that's our bread and butter, or an extension of an
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then they're going to expect us to go back to the drawing board and to come back with a better plan. MS. OXLEY: At least they're going to give us a chance to go back to the drawing board without us having to lose our MR. STEIB: Immunity. MS. OXLEY: immunity. Okay. So at least there's that's hope there. We're being forced.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course of action or a course of thinking. But there's no obligation to do that. It's a motion and you're just giving your vote on this process. So, Mike, just to typically in these matters I would call for a motion. And the motion would be somewhat obvious because our motions typically involve site plan applications and, that's our bread and butter, or an extension of an approval. But in this case, this is one that we
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then they're going to expect us to go back to the drawing board and to come back with a better plan. MS. OXLEY: At least they're going to give us a chance to go back to the drawing board without us having to lose our MR. STEIB: Immunity. MS. OXLEY: immunity. Okay. So at least there's that's hope there. We're being forced. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Well, the only	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course of action or a course of thinking. But there's no obligation to do that. It's a motion and you're just giving your vote on this process. So, Mike, just to typically in these matters I would call for a motion. And the motion would be somewhat obvious because our motions typically involve site plan applications and, that's our bread and butter, or an extension of an approval. But in this case, this is one that we don't typically see.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. STEIB: From what I have seen of the courts they are less pro-municipality if you are resisting and not cooperating and not acting in good faith to put in a plan. MS. OXLEY: Okay. So we need to put in a plan for them to say you're in? MR. STEIB: That's what we worked on to say that we're doing the best that we can to have a plan together. If the plan doesn't work properly then they're going to expect us to go back to the drawing board and to come back with a better plan. MS. OXLEY: At least they're going to give us a chance to go back to the drawing board without us having to lose our MR. STEIB: Immunity. MS. OXLEY: immunity. Okay. So at least there's that's hope there. We're being forced. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Well, the only thing you can do is beat up on your legislators and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So then I would suggest, nothing more than a suggestion, that a motion be placed on the table. And I can work with Mike to frame the motion, and then we would take a roll-call vote and obviously anyone can comment on the motion, but in the context of a roll-call vote, as anyone votes, they're also welcome to give the reasons for their vote if they would like for the purposes of just enunciating their thoughts or, as Chris previewed, perhaps to suggest others follow a course of action or a course of thinking. But there's no obligation to do that. It's a motion and you're just giving your vote on this process. So, Mike, just to typically in these matters I would call for a motion. And the motion would be somewhat obvious because our motions typically involve site plan applications and, that's our bread and butter, or an extension of an approval. But in this case, this is one that we don't typically see.

•			
	152		154
1	Plan. We have considered it tonight and we have	1	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Because and
2	considered the input of the public and our	2	it's consistent with what's in the Settlement
3	professionals. And the motion would be to determine	3	Agreement, but I think that what the court is
4	that the Housing Element And Fair Share Plan, as	4	looking for is the planning board to adopt to
5	presented, are consistent with the Settlement	5	adopt the township's Amended Housing Element And
6	Agreement?	6	Fair Share Plan.
7	Would that motion be satisfactory for	7	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay.
8	this process to continue?	8	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: And I think
9	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Wouldn't you have	9	that's what they're looking for.
10	to define as it is? It is or isn't? Like, in other	10	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So that would be the
11	words, that motion doesn't well	11	sentence of the motion. And then the board members
12	MR. STEIB: The motion, I mean you can	12	would be either voting "yes" in favor of that motion
13	talk about the semantics of the motion, but the	13	or
14	motion it is to adopt the proposed Housing Element	14	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Or "no".
15	And Fair Share Plan.	15	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: or "no". Okay.
16	How you characterize you got there as	16	Does that address the questions you had, Chris?
17	it being consistent with the Settlement Agreement or	17	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Yes.
18	the process, I mean you have a resolution which the	18	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: And I'll make
19	board has received from your planners that give the	19	the motion, if there's no objection.
20	background of the Mount Laurel, how we got here, you	20	MS. PINNEY: I'll second the motion.
21	know, basically through the court, through the	21	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay. So we have a
22	guidance of Mr. Bolan, through a Settlement	22	motion and we have a second.
23	Agreement. And the court has directed this board	23	Before we call for a roll-call vote is
24	to, in accordance with the settlement agreement,	24	there any other further discussion on that motion?
25	adopt a Housing Element And Fair Share Plan that is	25	Seeing none, Pam, would you please
	153		155 coll the roll2
1	consistent with it.	1	call the roll?
2	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So, just to get to	2	MRS. D'ANDREA: Yes. Deputy Mayor Grbelja?
3	Chris' subtle point, that motion sounds like it's in the affirmative so a "yes" vote to that motion would	3	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Yes.
5	be to confirm the affirmative statement that the	4	
		6	MRS. D'ANDREA: Ms. Pinney? I'm sorry, Ms. Pinney?
6 7	board is determining that this plan is consistent with the Settlement Agreement.	7	MS. PINNEY: Yes. I'm sorry.
8	To the contrary, a "no" vote would be	8	MRS. D'ANDREA: Thank you. Mr. Beck?
		9	MRS. D'ANDREA. Maint you. Mr. Beck? MR. BECK: Yes.
9 10	against that motion and against that concept. MR. STEIB: Correct.	10	MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Conoscenti?
11	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: I don't	10	MR. CONOSCENTI: Yes.
12	know I don't know if that's really what it's	12	MRS. D'ANDREA: Ms. Oxley?
13	saying. I think that we have to say that we're	13	MS. OXLEY: Understanding that this
		15	MS. OXEET. Onderstanding that this
		14	allows us flexibility in the implementation. I'm
14	adopting the township's Amended Housing Element And	14 15	allows us flexibility in the implementation, I'm
14 15	adopting the township's Amended Housing Element And Fair Share Plan.	15	going to say yes.
14 15 16	adopting the township's Amended Housing Element And Fair Share Plan. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay, just that's	15 16	going to say yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Pado?
14 15 16 17	adopting the township's Amended Housing Element And Fair Share Plan. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay, just that's the motion. That would be the motion?	15 16 17	going to say yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Pado? MR. PADO: Yes.
14 15 16 17 18	adopting the township's Amended Housing Element And Fair Share Plan. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay, just that's the motion. That would be the motion? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: That would be	15 16 17 18	going to say yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Pado? MR. PADO: Yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Ziner?
14 15 16 17 18 19	adopting the township's Amended Housing Element And Fair Share Plan. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay, just that's the motion. That would be the motion? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: That would be the motion.	15 16 17 18 19	going to say yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Pado? MR. PADO: Yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Ziner? MR. ZINER: No.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	adopting the township's Amended Housing Element And Fair Share Plan. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay, just that's the motion. That would be the motion? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: That would be the motion. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And you have been	15 16 17 18 19 20	going to say yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Pado? MR. PADO: Yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Ziner? MR. ZINER: No. MRS. D'ANDREA: Chairman Pepe?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	adopting the township's Amended Housing Element And Fair Share Plan. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay, just that's the motion. That would be the motion? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: That would be the motion. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And you have been and you and Mike have been on the front lines of	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going to say yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Pado? MR. PADO: Yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Ziner? MR. ZINER: No. MRS. D'ANDREA: Chairman Pepe? VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: No.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	adopting the township's Amended Housing Element And Fair Share Plan. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay, just that's the motion. That would be the motion? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: That would be the motion. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And you have been and you and Mike have been on the front lines of this.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to say yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Pado? MR. PADO: Yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Ziner? MR. ZINER: No. MRS. D'ANDREA: Chairman Pepe? VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: No. MRS. D'ANDREA: Excuse me,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	adopting the township's Amended Housing Element And Fair Share Plan. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay, just that's the motion. That would be the motion? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: That would be the motion. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And you have been and you and Mike have been on the front lines of this. DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Right.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going to say yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Pado? MR. PADO: Yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Ziner? MR. ZINER: No. MRS. D'ANDREA: Chairman Pepe? VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: No. MRS. D'ANDREA: Excuse me, "Vice-Chairman Pepe."
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	adopting the township's Amended Housing Element And Fair Share Plan. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Okay, just that's the motion. That would be the motion? DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: That would be the motion. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And you have been and you and Mike have been on the front lines of this.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to say yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Pado? MR. PADO: Yes. MRS. D'ANDREA: Mr. Ziner? MR. ZINER: No. MRS. D'ANDREA: Chairman Pepe? VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: No. MRS. D'ANDREA: Excuse me,

<u> </u>	156		158
1	MRS. D'ANDREA: And Chairman Newman?	1	MRS. D'ANDREA: I have everything
2	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Understanding that	2	going to the Township Committee for their meeting on
3	this is a court process and I feel the weight of the	3	the 5th of
4	court on our shoulders, and I'm very concerned about	4	MR. STEIB: We will talk. We will
5	the builder's remedy aspect to this and the loss of	5	talk tomorrow.
6	immunity, and further, understanding that, I	6	MRS. D'ANDREA: Okay.
7	believe, that we will have a significant and	7	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: What, does that
8	meaningful opportunity, with our professionals and	8	change what we voted on?
9	our neighbors and the public, to give this a severe	9	MRS. D'ANDREA: No.
10	if that were to be used evaluation in all of	10	MR. STEIB: No. It may change timing
11	the governmental agency review processes, including	11	of what happens after. And again, that has also to
12	when and if it actually comes to this planning	12	to do with communication I had with Mr. Bolan.
13	board, and also understanding that the costs	13	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: That's not
14	outlined in the plan that were submitted as a draft	14	consistent with what we passed at the township
15	by the builder are fairly tight, and I think that	15	meeting?
16	there will be plenty of opportunities where those	16	MR. STEIB: No, no, no. I think Pam is
17	costs will be exceeded and will give the developer	17	talking about something that is coming up.
18	pause as to whether this will proceed, so I think	18	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Oh, okay.
19	that there is plenty of opportunity for this to form	19	MRS. D'ANDREA: I'm talking about
20	and reform or not form at all. So with that said,	20	something else.
20	and as I said at the beginning, with the weight of	20	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: Okay.
22	the court on our shoulders I will also vote yes.	22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: So then that would
23	MRS. D'ANDREA: And that's our nine	23	be memorialized at our February 12th meeting.
24	and the motion carries.	24	MRS. D'ANDREA: 12th. And I'll send
25	DEPUTY MAYOR GRBELJA: What about	25	everybody information also that they have to review
	157		159
1	157 Stacie?	1	
1 2		1	159 the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one,
	Stacie?		the two meetings; right? So that we can we have
2	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate.	2	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one,
2 3	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine.	2 3	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances.
2 3 4	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis.	2 3 4	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would,
2 3 4 5	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay.	2 3 4 5	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean
2 3 4 5 6	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries.	2 3 4 5 6	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it
2 3 4 5 6 7	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it would need to be revised.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either in and I would be direct, either in opposition or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it would need to be revised. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I believe it needs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either in and I would be direct, either in opposition or to lend guidance or to lend support to future
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it would need to be revised. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I believe it needs to be revised to reflect the simplicity of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either in and I would be direct, either in opposition or to lend guidance or to lend support to future efforts.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it would need to be revised. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I believe it needs to be revised to reflect the simplicity of the motion, which was the planning board has determined	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either in and I would be direct, either in opposition or to lend guidance or to lend support to future efforts. I just want the resolution to be as</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it would need to be revised. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I believe it needs to be revised to reflect the simplicity of the motion, which was the planning board has determined that the attached plan has determined to adopt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either in and I would be direct, either in opposition or to lend guidance or to lend support to future efforts. I just want the resolution to be as informative as it can, not just to the court but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it would need to be revised. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I believe it needs to be revised to reflect the simplicity of the motion, which was the planning board has determined that the attached plan has determined to adopt the attached plan, period.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either in and I would be direct, either in opposition or to lend guidance or to lend support to future efforts. I just want the resolution to be as informative as it can, not just to the court but eventually to the applicant, kind of foreshadowing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it would need to be revised. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I believe it needs to be revised to reflect the simplicity of the motion, which was the planning board has determined that the attached plan has determined to adopt the attached plan, period. MR. STEIB: It will have to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either in and I would be direct, either in opposition or to lend guidance or to lend support to future efforts. I just want the resolution to be as informative as it can, not just to the court but eventually to the applicant, kind of foreshadowing that there's a road here that they're going to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it would need to be revised. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I believe it needs to be revised to reflect the simplicity of the motion, which was the planning board has determined that the attached plan has determined to adopt the attached plan, period. MR. STEIB: It will have to be redrafted. It would have to be adopted at the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either in and I would be direct, either in opposition or to lend guidance or to lend support to future efforts. I just want the resolution to be as informative as it can, not just to the court but eventually to the applicant, kind of foreshadowing that there's a road here that they're going to have to go down.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it would need to be revised. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I believe it needs to be revised to reflect the simplicity of the motion, which was the planning board has determined that the attached plan has determined to adopt the attached plan, period. MR. STEIB: It will have to be redrafted. It would have to be adopted at the next meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either in and I would be direct, either in opposition or to lend guidance or to lend support to future efforts. I just want the resolution to be as informative as it can, not just to the court but eventually to the applicant, kind of foreshadowing that there's a road here that they're going to have to go down. MR. STEIB: Got it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it would need to be revised. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I believe it needs to be revised to reflect the simplicity of the motion, which was the planning board has determined that the attached plan has determined to adopt <	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either in and I would be direct, either in opposition or to lend guidance or to lend support to future efforts. I just want the resolution to be as informative as it can, not just to the court but eventually to the applicant, kind of foreshadowing that there's a road here that they're going to have to go down. MR. STEIB: Got it. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: We don't usually</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Stacie? MS. CURTIS: No, I'm an alternate. MRS. D'ANDREA: No, we have our nine. Sorry, Ms. Curtis. MS. CURTIS: Oh, that's okay. MRS. D'ANDREA: The motion carries. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: Thank you. So, Mike, we have a resolution here that may not follow how the hearing went and how the motion was framed. So that may have to be revised? MR. STEIB: If those who voted in favor of the action taken want to revise it, it would need to be revised. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: I believe it needs to be revised to reflect the simplicity of the motion, which was the planning board has determined that the attached plan has determined to adopt the attached plan has determined to adopt the attached plan has determined to be redrafted. It would have to be adopted at the next meeting. MRS. D'ANDREA: Okay. And then our I have a question, if you don't mind.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>the two meetings; right? So that we can we have the Patel application coming, and then a new one, Green, which is a minor subdivision, no variances. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: And, Mike, I would, when you are drafting a resolution I don't mean to tell you how to do anything, I apologize if it sounds MR. STEIB: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: you love it when I tell you stuff to do would you make sure to identify that the public, as well as The Watershed group and the neighboring town, spoke up either in and I would be direct, either in opposition or to lend guidance or to lend support to future efforts. I just want the resolution to be as informative as it can, not just to the court but eventually to the applicant, kind of foreshadowing that there's a road here that they're going to have to go down. MR. STEIB: Got it. CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: We don't usually give Mike this kind of direction on the resolution,</pre>

	160		162
1	I'm just the bad guy?	1	CERTIFICATE
2	Thank you, Mike. Thanks for giving us		\bigcap
3	the time on that.		Cagela C. Suprantumo
4	And looking at our agenda, we don't		/ Junantano
5	have anything else under Old or New Business.		Jugua - pro
6	Is there anything else, Pam, that you	2	0
7	want to bring to our attention?	3	I, ANGELA C. BUONANTUONO, a Notary Public
8	MRS. D'ANDREA: No, just the	4	and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New
9	February 12th meeting I have two items on and	5	Jersey and Registered Professional Reporter, do
10	that's it, two items. I have two items.	6	hereby certify that prior to the commencement, the
11	VICE-CHAIRMAN PEPE: Thank you, Pam.	7 8	witnesses were duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
12	MRS. D'ANDREA: And I will be getting a	9	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing
13	hold of everyone and you are going to tell me if you	10	is a true and accurate transcript of the hearing as
14	can or you cannot attend.	11	taken stenographically by and before me at the time,
15	MR. ZINER: I can vouch yes already.	12	place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
16	MRS. D'ANDREA: There you go, do you	13 14	a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of
17	see, I have one person already. Okay.	15	any of the parties to this action, and that I am
18	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: With that said I	16	neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or
19	would make a motion to adjourn.	17	counsel, and that I am not financially interested in
20	Is there a second?	18 19	the action.
21	MR. ZINER: Second.	20	
22	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: All in favor say	21	
23	"aye".	22	
24	BOARD MEMBERS IN UNISON: Aye.	23	Angela C. Buonantuono, CCR, RPR, CLR
25	CHAIRMAN NEWMAN: We are adjourned.	24 25	License No. 30XI00233100 Dated: February 7, 2020
	161		
1	Thank you, everybody.		
	Thank you, everybody.		
2			
	Thank you, everybody. (Hearing adjourned at 10:38 p.m.)		
2 3			
2 3 4			
2 3 4 5			
2 3 4 5 6			
2 3 4 5 6 7			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23			

	450.04.400.0	0001	10 10 10 10	00
\$	158:24, 160:9	2021 [1] - 143:9	18:12, 18:19	89 [1] - 3:7
	130 [1] - 25:22	2025 [3] - 26:18, 48:8,	400 [2] - 85:16, 85:17	8:50 [1] - 69:2
\$10 [1] - 146:8	130-some [1] - 25:23	72:3	44 [2] - 64:20, 66:8	
\$100,000 [4] - 72:15,	13th [1] - 119:5	210 [3] - 3:4, 18:2,	45 [2] - 82:18, 86:3	9
72:24, 73:1, 143:17	15 [4] - 7:1, 80:25,	69:22	450 [1] - 98:4	
\$103,000 [1] - 46:7	141:13, 141:15	215 [1] - 2:8	46 [4] - 53:12, 53:14,	90 [1] - 21:16
\$200,000 [1] - 19:12	15th [1] - 14:22	22nd [1] - 26:24	58:4, 58:10	90-degree [1] - 116:13
				95 [1] - 33:23
\$30,000 [1] - 47:20	16 [1] - 54:6	231 [15] - 4:9, 19:20,	465,000 [1] - 74:3	
\$82,000 [1] - 46:11	161 [1] - 20:2	36:19, 41:24, 42:1,	48 [5] - 64:13, 64:21,	96 [6] - 64:24, 66:7,
	178 [2] - 36:23, 42:11	42:4, 42:6, 42:11,	64:22, 66:7, 66:10	66:10, 145:6,
•	18 [2] - 51:3, 51:10	48:10, 53:18, 65:3,	49 [1] - 44:12	146:15, 147:1
	184 [3] - 66:1, 70:3,	65:4, 66:7, 69:25,	4b [1] - 150:4	9:00 [1] - 69:3
'80s [2] - 51:25, 52:14	70:14	70:2		
'90s [1] - 52:14	19 [1] - 122:3	24 [2] - 58:5, 115:1	5	Α
		242 [5] - 23:6, 66:2,	5	X
0	1900 s [1] - 115:22	70:15, 84:15, 84:16	50 [10] - 22:9, 22:10,	AB [1] - 1:23
0	1936 [1] - 84:10			ability [2] - 12:15,
09525 rot 1.04 0.0	194 [1] - 64:13	25 [4] - 54:1, 58:4,	46:10, 46:13, 46:17,	136:17
08535 [2] - 1:24, 2:9	195 [2] - 85:1, 99:15	82:18, 118:24	47:12, 47:16, 103:22	
	1960 [1] - 49:7	250 [1] - 22:12	50-unit [1] - 22:13	able [17] - 18:5, 29:22,
1	1975 [5] - 4:9, 9:5,	26 [1] - 1:24	500,000 [1] - 74:4	29:24, 31:16, 36:21,
	10:7, 12:5, 17:20	26-year [1] - 54:15	51,000 [1] - 46:14	37:2, 37:3, 37:4,
1 [11] - 12:25, 22:15,	1983 [1] - 10:5	29 [2] - 1:8, 2:9	569 [1] - 23:5	54:2, 97:5, 129:2,
55:13, 55:17, 55:23,			569-unit [1] - 26:2	129:15, 145:1,
56:25, 57:4, 61:11,	1987 [3] - 12:24,	29th [1] - 4:3	571 [3] - 92:22, 98:1,	145:6, 145:8, 146:7,
75:4, 75:14, 97:2	48:13, 51:21	2nd [1] - 15:8		147:10
1,000 [5] - 42:2, 80:24,	1993 [2] - 12:25		99:12	above -entitled [1] -
112:4, 113:3, 113:7	1999 [5] - 12:25,	3	58 [2] - 66:1, 70:14	
	13:11, 48:7, 48:14,		5th [1] - 158:3	2:3
1,155 [2] - 36:11,	51:21	3 [8] - 13:11, 14:1,		absence [1] - 30:16
42:15		14:5, 38:7, 38:9,	6	absent [1] - 6:13
1,500 [5] - 34:3, 34:6,	2	38:11, 88:19, 123:5	•	absolutely [2] - 61:3,
34:18, 34:24, 35:8	2	30 [10] - 46:13, 46:17,	6 [2] - 71:18, 107:21	109:8
1-to-5 [2] - 56:17,	2 [10] - 12:25, 13:1,	47:13, 47:18, 47:19,	60 [4] - 21:16, 42:10,	absorb [1] - 113:8
64:15		57:22, 66:20, 74:15,	91:13	absorbs [1] - 113:22
1.1 [1] - 74:9	38:7, 42:11, 55:13,			abstract [4] - 45:22,
1.33 [1] - 54:11	55:21, 57:1, 57:5,	92:3, 114:13	600 [1] - 84:21	114:12, 115:8, 116:9
1.5 [1] - 71:15	61:17, 71:7	30,000 [1] - 47:20	600,000 [1] - 74:10	
	2,200 [2] - 34:23, 35:5	30-acre [1] - 31:15	666 [1] - 122:4	accept [2] - 10:21,
1.7 [5] - 63:19, 71:7,	2.3 [2] - 72:1, 74:1	300 [3] - 15:20, 15:21,	668 [1] - 122:25	19:1
73:2, 73:3, 74:9	2.5 [3] - 59:22, 67:3,	115:1	69 [1] - 3:4	acceptable [1] - 136:3
1.8 [3] - 59:24, 63:13,	71:14	30XI00233100 [1] -		accepted [3] - 10:8,
73:3	20 [6] - 44:12, 49:23,	162:24	7	18:25, 32:16
1.9 [1] - 59:10		31 [3] - 3:10, 51:13,	1	accepting [1] - 13:5
1/2 [2] - 71:7, 75:14	49:25, 51:9, 56:16,		7 [2] - 114:3, 162:25	access [1] - 23:18
10 [1] - 65:24	64:14	55:14	722 [1] - 101:8	accessibility [1] - 75:6
100 [15] - 22:2, 22:15,	20-some [1] - 92:3	320-something [1] -		• • • •
	20-unit [1] - 50:6	33:23	723 [4] - 3:5, 3:9,	Accessory [2] - 65:8,
22:17, 22:23, 43:1,	200 [3] - 22:11, 25:8,	33 [2] - 81:11, 114:25	80:16, 111:11	70:17
55:18, 56:1, 56:5,	108:20	35 [1] - 118:24	732)882-3590 [1] -	accessory [6] - 65:11,
56:7, 57:13, 57:21,	200,000 [1] - 74:3	350-foot [1] - 96:23	1:25	74:3, 122:12,
58:1, 58:9, 67:16	200 - foot [1] - 96:5	36 [1] - 66:21	75 [1] - 44:12	122:13, 122:20,
100,000 [3] - 72:2,		367 [1] - 19:21	7:30 [1] - 2:10	131:23
73:7, 73:20	2000 [2] - 72:23, 89:17			accidents [2] -
101 [1] - 3:8	2003 [2] - 13:13, 89:18	37 [1] - 47:13	0	116:13, 137:9
108 [1] - 47:14	2004 [2] - 51:5, 51:11	38 [1] - 51:9	8	
10:38 [1] - 161:3	2007 [1] - 72:19	39,000 [1] - 47:14	8 [1] - 55:14	accomplish [1] - 30:7
	2008 [4] - 51:5, 51:11,	3s [1] - 38:8		accordance [1] -
11 [3] - 66:3, 70:15,	120:19, 120:20		80 [4] - 3:5, 46:10,	152:24
114:3	2014 [1] - 14:8	4	47:16, 91:13	according [1] - 71:6
111 [1] - 3:9	2015 [5] - 14:23, 15:8,		800 [1] - 35:10	accordingly [1] - 4:24
117 [1] - 3:10		4 [7] - 9:20, 18:11,	81 [4] - 48:16, 48:19,	accounts [1] - 146:15
12 [1] - 55:16	15:23, 20:15, 20:24	46:4, 73:6, 73:22,	53:18, 54:6	accurate [2] - 46:24,
12th [6] - 6:18, 6:23,	2018 [1] - 18:9	88:19, 107:21	82 [1] - 89:10	162:10
14:22, 158:23,	2020 [5] - 1:8, 2:10,		83 [1] - 3:6	
17.22, 100.20,	20:24, 72:2, 162:25	40-day [3] - 18:9,		acknowledge [1] -

4:17 acquired [2] - 61:12, 70.5 acre [9] - 80:22, 81:5, 81:13, 82:13, 82:21, 82:22, 90:19, 95:2, 111:17 acreage [1] - 87:12 acres [3] - 80:25, 93:8, 98:25 Act [2] - 11:18, 12:4 acting [3] - 15:17, 33:5, 149:5 action [11] - 21:4, 30:14, 130:12, 130:17, 131:16, 132:12, 143:15, 151:13, 157:12, 162:15, 162:18 actions [2] - 15:22, 117:16 actively [2] - 144:16, 144:18 actor [1] - 81:9 actual [7] - 37:5, 40:6, 40:23, 70:1, 70:4, 97:15, 121:5 add [1] - 75:7 added [1] - 30:2 adding [3] - 56:11, 67:16,70:17 addition [7] - 16:6, 29:17, 48:11, 52:7, 65:7, 97:8, 99:23 additional [9] - 4:13, 31:11, 55:11, 56:9, 56:21, 63:23, 100:11, 100:19, 137:20 additionally [2] - 51:3, 57:11 address [7] - 8:8, 9:23, 11:4, 69:18, 134:6, 141:15, 154:16 addressed [1] - 76:16 Adequate [1] - 4:6 adequate [2] - 4:8, 80:2 adequately [1] - 79:22 adjoins [1] - 92:23 adjourn [1] - 160:19 adjourned [3] - 69:1, 160:25, 161:3 administer [1] - 148:1 adopt [12] - 12:4, 13:12, 13:13, 14:8, 48:7, 130:23, 131:16, 152:14, 152:25, 154:4,

154:5, 157:17 adopted [9] - 11:7, 12:15, 13:14, 13:22, 14:7, 30:12, 123:17, 134:16, 157:20 adopting [5] - 27:8, 131:21, 131:22, 132:2, 153:14 adoption [1] - 132:4 **ADOPTION** [1] - 1:5 adopts [1] - 108:7 advance [1] - 22:21 advantage [4] - 65:14, 65:23, 70:20, 70:25 Adventure [3] - 81:12, 85:1, 99:16 adversary [3] - 17:9, 20:6, 20:7 advice [2] - 16:11, 94:18 advise [1] - 16:10 advised [1] - 4:22 advising [1] - 18:17 advocate [1] - 14:11 Affairs [1] - 72:21 affect [2] - 103:10, 112:11 affected [1] - 94:14 affects [1] - 83:25 affirmatively [1] - 9:11 affluent [1] - 113:15 afford [1] - 104:4 affordability [3] -74:14, 74:16, 74:19 Affordable [11] - 9:24, 12:6, 50:23, 51:1, 51:13, 57:2, 57:5, 58:14, 58:22, 75:1, 81:7 affordable [57] -10:11, 14:12, 16:3, 16:9, 16:15, 22:2, 22:10, 22:15, 22:17, 22:18, 22:23, 22:24, 26:17, 27:14, 44:22, 45:21, 52:12, 55:18, 55:25, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:9, 56:15, 56:19, 56:22, 57:14, 57:21, 57:22, 58:2, 58:4, 58:10, 59:25, 64:13, 65:11, 65:13, 67:4, 67:14, 67:19, 67:21, 70:9, 74:23, 75:20, 75:21, 78:23, 79:3, 81:19, 87:25, 106:4, 122:10, 123:4, 129:20, 130:10, 145:20, 147:11

affordable -housing [1] - 67:21 affordables [3] -22:13, 78:24, 79:16 afraid [1] - 95:14 agencies [6] - 25:3, 37:14, 97:11, 98:9, 103:6, 134:24 agency [1] - 156:11 agenda [9] - 5:8, 6:25, 7:2, 7:13, 7:16, 119:20, 120:2, 120:16, 160:4 agendas [2] - 119:16, 119:23 aggravation [1] - 90:8 aggressive [1] - 13:21 ago [5] - 55:18, 87:9, 88:11, 114:4, 114:13 **agree** [2] - 4:17, 4:19 agreed [4] - 20:8, 20:12, 25:22, 33:22 Agreement [18] -25:19, 25:21, 27:22, 52:18, 67:2, 130:18, 131:2, 131:5, 131:12, 132:10, 132:13, 132:21, 152:6, 152:17, 152:23, 153:7, 154:3 agreement [13] -27:24, 28:15, 28:16, 30:15, 30:17, 33:23, 44:8, 53:11, 58:21, 59:6, 59:11, 135:15, 152:24 agrees [1] - 131:1 ahead [6] - 24:1, 64:5, 101:6, 128:7, 133:9, 159:8 AICP [1] - 1:18 ain't [1] - 148:21 alerted [1] - 119:14 Algonquin [1] - 1:24 all-or-nothing [1] -132:5 Allegiance [1] - 5:7 Allen [10] - 55:17, 55:21, 55:22, 56:25, 57:4, 57:5, 61:11, 61:16, 75:4 alleviate [1] - 123:14 Alliance [8] - 50:23, 51:1, 51:13, 57:3, 57:5, 58:14, 58:22, 75:1 allotted [1] - 85:16 **allow** [5] - 4:17, 27:10, 32:3, 104:17, 133:25 allowed [4] - 52:19,

52:21, 66:4, 106:7 allows [2] - 65:9, 155.14ally [1] - 119:9 almost [5] - 84:21, 87:3, 87:5, 124:17, 126:16 ALSO [1] - 1:16 alternate [2] - 37:21, 157.2alternative [2] - 32:17, 105:15 alternatives [4] - 31:3, 35:24, 36:7, 40:3 **AMENDED** [1] - 1:5 Amended [3] - 7:16, 153:14, 154:5 amending [2] - 122:8, 123:2 amendment [2] - 7:25, 130:1 amount [4] - 18:4, 125:2, 137:9, 147:25 analysis [2] - 7:24, 72:15 AND [1] - 1:5 angela [1] - 162:23 **ANGELA** [3] - 1:21, 2:4, 162:3 angelabuonocsr @ gmail .com [1] - 1:25 annual [5] - 63:17, 63:20, 68:2, 144:7, 144:9 annually [1] - 110:13 answer [6] - 29:8, 31:9, 41:5, 76:20, 77:5, 100:14 answered [1] - 128:10 answers [1] - 108:16 **ANTHONY** [1] - 1:12 anticipate [3] - 29:17, 99:22, 133:3 anticipating [1] -106:18 anyhow [2] - 99:7, 100:20 anyplace [1] - 28:13 anytime [1] - 67:8 anyway [1] - 116:24 Apartment [2] - 65:8, 70.18 apartment [6] - 65:11, 85:19, 88:9, 104:5, 131:23 Apartments [2] -57:12, 61:23 apartments [7] - 62:4, 74:4, 84:14, 84:19, 122:12, 122:13,

122:21 apologize [2] -108:16, 159:6 appear [1] - 133:17 appearing [1] - 97:8 appellate [1] - 13:24 appendix [1] - 122:7 applicant [7] - 100:1, 118:22, 120:17, 134:21, 137:22, 137:23, 159:18 applicant 's [1] -120:17 applicants [1] - 50:25 application [15] -37:12, 40:19, 61:25, 79:20, 96:3, 96:12, 102:1, 103:4, 103:7, 107:19, 108:6, 119:12, 136:7, 143:17, 159:2 applications [4] -24:18, 40:13, 119:10, 151:19 applied [3] - 12:17, 13:3, 20:15 apply [1] - 105:17 appointed [1] - 16:7 appraisal [2] - 102:21, 102:23 appreciate [12] -62:20, 97:21, 117:11, 117:12, 120:5, 120:6, 121:17, 123:25, 124:4, 124:18, 127:13, 153:24 approach [13] - 7:4, 69:14, 69:15, 83:13, 93:18, 95:13, 97:13, 101:5, 102:17, 111:9, 116:22, 128:5, 130:5 approaches [2] -93:19,99:5 approaching [1] -103:10 appropriate [3] - 4:20, 25:15, 124:7 approvability [1] -39:23 approval [7] - 25:17, 27:4, 87:16, 109:4, 118:20, 140:1, 151:21 approvals [7] - 25:5, 25:6, 25:12, 120:14, 121:15, 148:5, 148:6 approve [5] - 15:9, 15:11, 111:3, 133:11

approved [5] - 25:14, 26:10, 135:6, 144:23, 147:7 approving [1] - 125:9 aquifer [4] - 111:18, 112:1, 112:3, 113:4 area [12] - 35:22, 62:5, 70:17, 86:17, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 91:10, 91:12, 100:24, 145:4, 146:14 Area [1] - 150:4 areas [5] - 10:22, 82:17, 93:10, 99:19, 124:19 arguably [1] - 66:16 argue [2] - 43:22, 44:2 argument [1] - 29:15 Arpaia [1] - 6:12 arrive [1] - 12:8 Article [2] - 122:8, 123:3 **Asbury** [3] - 4:10, 53:11, 53:12 aside [4] - 56:16, 64:15, 80:5, 101:12 aspect [4] - 20:14, 44:21, 45:14, 156:5 Assembly [1] - 150:22 assessed [3] - 25:14, 59:22, 67:12 assigned [3] - 16:20, 21:14, 51:11 assigning [1] - 16:2 assist [2] - 96:10, 100:4 assistance [6] - 74:14, 74:16, 74:19, 95:24, 97:20, 124:8 assisting [1] - 97:8 associated [1] -138:13 Associates [1] - 45:7 Association [2] -17:16, 117:7 association [13] -76:24, 77:3, 77:8, 77:17, 78:1, 78:10, 78:12, 79:10, 79:14, 79:16, 79:23, 80:2, 151:1 **assume** [6] - 84:18, 133:10, 135:5, 136:16, 136:23, 140:12 assuming [3] - 73:4, 73:21, 96:1 Assunpink [1] - 99:18 attached [3] - 150:25, 157:17, 157:18

attack [2] - 17:24, 29:24 attacked [1] - 13:19 attacking [5] - 17:20, 22:4, 29:20, 30:17, 44:16 attend [2] - 133:10, 160:14 attended [1] - 103:15 attendees [2] - 4:16, 4:19 attending [1] - 4:16 attention [1] - 160:7 Attorney [1] - 1:17 attorney [4] - 7:20, 120:18, 162:14, 162.16 audience [2] - 64:8, 140:16 available [8] - 61:11, 63:2, 65:15, 65:20, 88:21, 90:12, 93:22, 145.3avenue [1] - 81:22 avoid [1] - 100:5 aware [2] - 22:7, 84:3 Aye [1] - 160:24 aye " [1] - 160:23 В back-of-the [1] -139:15 back -of -the envelope [1] -139:20 background [3] - 9:4, 27:11, 152:20 backs [1] - 110:2 backyard [1] - 139:11 **bad** [6] - 12:1, 16:13, 73:11, 113:11, 113:25, 160:1 Baird [1] - 114:21 balance [1] - 77:25 Baldachino [17] -22:25, 23:9, 24:19, 31:7, 37:11, 38:20, 39:24, 62:14, 64:8, 66:7, 75:15, 78:20, 96:16, 142:2, 142:3, 143:12 **ball** [4] - 15:16, 138:8, 138:22, 145:21 bank [2] - 61:20, 63:7 bankrupt [1] - 113:17 based [9] - 19:7, 19:8, 28:17, 72:14, 73:21, 97:15, 140:2, 142:13, 149:1

basin [2] - 125:25, 126:1 basis [3] - 20:16, 73:7, 144.7 bathrooms [3] - 75:5, 75:6, 75:8 beach [1] - 85:2 bear [1] - 4:24 **beat** [2] - 94:10, 149:23 beautiful [7] - 82:16, 86:24, 91:1, 91:2, 91:3, 100:24 beauty [1] - 129:11 **became** [4] - 16:25, 50:13, 61:10, 63:2 Beck [2] - 5:16, 155:8 **BECK** [3] - 1:11, 5:17, 155:9 become [2] - 78:5, 130:11 **becomes** [5] - 23:13, 81:6, 129:24, 143:22, 145:3 bedroom [3] - 84:14, 84:19,85:19 **beginning** [5] - 48:1, 49:13, 63:14, 123:18, 156:21 **behind** [3] - 43:4, 62:4, 111:19 beings [2] - 112:4, 113:12 **belly** [2] - 113:16, 113:21 belongs [1] - 111:24 **below** [1] - 111:18 beneath [1] - 16:4 **benefit** [1] - 45:5 benefits [1] - 123:22 **best** [5] - 83:8, 90:13, 140:19.140:20. 149:10 **better** [9] - 34:25, 35:3, 40:3, 98:19, 142:17, 142:20, 142:22, 142:25, 149:14 between [10] - 46:9, 46:13, 46:16, 47:12, 49:10, 51:5, 51:11, 55:16, 81:23, 107:21 beyond [3] - 9:16, 67:22,81:2 big [1] - 86:20 bigger [1] - 68:15 **bit** [12] - 19:24, 20:3, 61:1, 62:20, 70:13, 114:4, 123:24, 139:5, 140:2,

141:20, 142:5, 142:25 **bite** [1] - 135:3 black [2] - 28:5, 116:9 blank [1] - 122:3 blanket [1] - 87:16 **bless** [2] - 80:1, 134:10 **blessing** [1] - 134:12 blind [1] - 142:4 blindsided [1] - 95:7 **block** [2] - 114:2 **board** [65] - 4:11, 8:1, 25:4, 27:8, 27:17, 37:1, 37:3, 37:6, 40:7, 40:18, 41:10, 45:19, 47:8, 55:20, 61:25, 68:22, 69:9, 69:10, 69:14, 76:15, 79:20, 79:25, 81:4, 83:13, 96:4, 97:8, 97:12, 99:22, 99:25, 101:5, 103:3, 107:5, 107:11, 107:25, 111:9, 111:13, 111:20, 111:22, 116:22, 123:11, 128:5, 130:5, 130:6, 130:7, 130:22, 131:1, 131:16, 132:11, 133:5, 134:25, 135:4, 140:17, 141:6, 141:13, 149:14, 149:16, 151:24, 152:19, 152:23, 153:6, 154:4, 154:11, 156:13, 157:16, 159:25 **BOARD** [3] - 1:1, 1:10, 160:24 **Board** [6] - 1:17, 1:18, 1:18, 1:19, 4:4, 7:5 **boat** [1] - 90:16 **Bob** [2] - 80:16, 101:12 **BOB** [1] - 3:5 **body** [2] - 45:9, 138:24 Bolan [2] - 152:22, 158:12 bond [3] - 60:16, 80:7 **bonded** [1] - 60:8 **bonding** [1] - 80:4 **bonus** [14] - 36:22, 42:12, 53:17, 53:20, 54:6, 54:8, 54:9, 64:18, 64:20, 64:22, 69:25, 70:5, 70:14, 71:16 **bonuses** [2] - 58:3,

66.2 book [1] - 89:20 **books** [6] - 61:7, 67:6, 71:22, 92:24, 93:7, 98.18 border [1] - 112:13 **Borough** [2] - 89:10, 94:1 bother [1] - 137:4 **bought** [5] - 60:10, 60:14, 61:14, 61:19, 95:3 **bouncing** [1] - 49:15 boundaries [1] - 9:17 boundary [1] - 9:18 box [1] - 138:12 bracket [1] - 46:1 brackets [1] - 47:22 brain [1] - 80:19 brand [1] - 50:22 brand -new [1] - 50:22 bread [1] - 151:20 break [3] - 46:8, 46:12, 68:25 breakneck [1] -107:20 breaks [1] - 113:20 breath [1] - 20:23 briefs [1] - 43:25 bring [8] - 9:2, 23:7, 23:10, 32:3, 49:3, 54:20, 55:8, 160:7 **bringing** [2] - 17:19, 105:19 Brook [1] - 117:7 Brook -Millstone [1] -117:7 Brothers [12] - 21:23, 22:1, 22:3, 23:2, 23:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:1, 29:20, 32:7, 43:19, 43:25 brought [3] - 17:3, 25:17, 53:16 Brunswick [1] - 35:13 budget [1] - 80:3 buffer [6] - 70:17, 70:23, 71:1, 96:19, 96:21,96:23 **buffers** [3] - 40:23, 105:12, 107:9 build [13] - 22:23, 28:13, 52:25, 53:13, 60:22, 65:10, 65:18, 91:9, 91:11, 98:22, 105:3, 145:25, 146:7 buildable [1] - 93:3 builder [6] - 28:12, 35:19, 61:13, 98:22, 149:1, 156:15

builder 's [31] - 10:13,	BY [1] - 1:20	17:3, 17:9, 17:10,	109:5, 109:8,	123:20, 129:14,
10:16, 11:8, 11:13,	byways [1] - 112:13	20:5, 25:22	109:22, 109:24,	134:4, 146:23,
12:16, 12:20, 14:1,		center [1] - 85:5	110:4, 110:25,	150:14, 158:8,
16:13, 17:11, 17:17,	С	cents [1] - 103:7	111:8, 116:20,	158:10
17:19, 18:13, 19:3,		certain [3] - 18:4,	116:25, 118:7,	changed [6] - 33:7,
19:20, 19:25, 28:3,	cafeteria [1] - 128:24	102:22, 144:5	118:16, 119:11,	81:4, 86:13, 94:21,
28:12, 28:19, 28:24,	calculates [1] - 73:8	certainly [10] - 8:20,	120:3, 121:24,	95:7, 143:12
31:19, 32:2, 34:2,	calculation [1] -	24:13, 79:21, 80:7,	122:14, 122:16,	changes [2] - 23:11,
36:14, 44:4, 92:6,	102:23	97:11, 97:19, 124:2,	122:18, 124:10,	150:23
93:3, 93:13, 93:14,	calculations [1] -	124:15, 127:11	125:4, 125:6,	changing [5] - 124:25,
95:14, 98:21, 156:5	75:15		127:15, 127:19,	
		certification [5] - 48:3,		125:16, 125:23,
Builders [1] - 17:16	calculator [1] - 73:12	48:18, 51:22, 52:11,	127:22, 128:1,	126:5
builders [7] - 10:19,	camp [1] - 85:10	53:4	128:4, 130:3,	Channel [1] - 4:16
13:15, 13:24, 36:10,	campus [1] - 128:23	Certified [3] - 2:4, 2:5,	130:24, 131:6,	Chapter [2] - 4:9,
44:15, 94:5, 151:1	cannot [3] - 105:14,	162:4	131:9, 131:15,	122:8
builders ' [1] - 49:10	136:8, 160:14	certified [1] - 109:11	131:25, 132:7,	characteristics [2] -
BUILDING [1] - 2:8	Canwright [2] - 52:7,	certify [1] - 162:6	132:11, 132:18,	105:10, 105:11
building [7] - 13:8,	55:6	CERTIFY [2] - 162:9,	133:1, 133:8,	characterize [2] -
44:23, 58:12, 67:9,	capacity [1] - 137:14	162:13	133:19, 133:22,	132:14, 152:16
	• • • •		134:1, 134:9,	
67:10, 123:7, 123:13	capped [1] - 54:2	cetera [4] - 40:15,	134:15, 134:19,	charge [3] - 57:3,
buildings [2] - 82:3,	car [2] - 104:4, 114:5	123:9, 132:25	135:3, 135:20,	57:6, 130:25
82:4	card [1] - 119:24	CHAIRMAN [193] -		charged [1] - 131:3
builds [1] - 76:8	care [3] - 150:4, 150:5,	1:11, 1:14, 4:1, 5:4,	136:14, 137:12,	check [1] - 138:11
built [13] - 42:22,	150:6	5:13, 5:24, 6:5, 6:14,	137:17, 137:19,	children [2] - 85:7,
42:25, 43:2, 43:5,	cared [1] - 137:6	6:16, 6:19, 6:22,	137:25, 138:1,	85:8
43:6, 49:6, 63:7,	carried [3] - 5:10,	6:25, 20:22, 21:6,	138:7, 141:9,	choose [3] - 65:10,
70:22, 71:1, 88:20,	71:3, 134:6	21:9, 23:19, 23:25,	141:11, 141:18,	65:14, 140:5
93:4, 98:21, 115:22	carries [2] - 156:24,	24:20, 24:24, 31:4,	141:22, 145:23,	CHRIS [1] - 1:14
bullet [1] - 81:22	157:6	31:18, 31:23, 32:8,	146:5, 146:9, 151:2,	Chris [2] - 151:11,
bulletin [1] - 4:11	carry [3] - 55:1, 55:4,	32:13, 37:8, 39:9,	152:9, 153:2,	154:16
bullies [1] - 94:6	66:4	39:12, 39:20, 40:4,	153:16, 153:20,	Chris ' [1] - 153:3
bullshit [1] - 115:12		40:9, 40:14, 40:17,	153:24, 154:7,	Chris 's [1] - 39:21
bump [1] - 30:1	carry-over [1] - 55:4	40:22, 41:1, 41:9,	154:10, 154:15,	
-	carryover [1] - 5:11	41:19, 45:1, 46:22,	154:17, 154:21,	citizens [3] - 88:8,
bunch [2] - 117:16,	cars [9] - 84:20, 84:21,		155:21, 155:24,	88:9, 108:8
124:5	84:22,84:24,85:11,	47:5, 47:11, 53:7,	156:2, 157:7,	CKV [6] - 43:3, 43:20,
Buonantuono [2] -	85:13, 85:17, 85:18,	58:6, 58:9, 58:16,	157:14, 157:24,	57:25, 58:9, 60:5,
79:1, 162:23	85:20	60:4, 60:11, 60:15,	158:22, 159:4,	132:3
BUONANTUONO [3] -	case [38] - 8:9, 9:7,	60:18, 60:25, 61:4,	159:9, 159:22,	claim [2] - 55:9, 58:3
1:21, 2:4, 162:3	9:8, 9:18, 10:6, 10:7,	62:8, 62:15, 62:19,		clamor [1] - 150:21
burden [1] - 100:11	15:19, 16:13, 16:20,	62:23, 63:10, 64:4,	160:11, 160:18, 160:22, 160:25	clarification [2] -
burdensome [1] -	17:3, 17:6, 18:6,	64:17, 64:23, 65:1,	160:22, 160:25	73:20, 117:21
138:16	19:2, 19:5, 19:7,	65:4, 66:6, 66:11,	Chairman [5] - 5:23,	clarify [2] - 70:12,
Burger [2] - 43:4, 62:5	19:16, 19:19, 20:4,	66:13, 66:18, 68:5,	6:4, 155:20, 155:23,	118:11
buried [1] - 71:10	20:13, 21:14, 21:20,	68:14, 68:21, 69:4,	156:1	clarity [1] - 41:23
Burlington [1] - 9:7	26:17, 26:24, 26:25,	73:15, 74:18, 76:14,	challenged [4] -	class [1] - 47:23
Burnt [4] - 43:3,	27:25, 34:5, 68:7,	76:23, 77:4, 77:7,	13:15, 13:24, 14:1,	clean [1] - 81:17
55:14, 57:11, 61:23	70:23, 77:19, 80:5,	77:15, 77:16, 77:19,	44:21	
	96:22, 107:22,	77:22, 78:7, 78:11,	challenging [2] -	clear [9] - 45:23,
buses [1] - 85:8	135:21, 136:17,	78:13, 79:13, 80:6,	146:20, 146:23	56:13, 66:10, 69:24,
business [2] - 148:4,		80:14, 83:12, 89:6,	chance [6] - 20:23,	76:14, 76:19, 81:17,
148:18	146:20, 151:21	95:20, 96:8, 97:7,	38:17, 108:9,	140:15
Business [1] - 160:5	cases [10] - 11:8, 13:6,	97:19, 98:10, 98:23,	142:15, 148:11,	clearly [1] - 141:14
but [1] - 138:6	16:5, 16:23, 17:19,	99:9, 99:21, 100:8,	149:16	Clerk [1] - 4:12
butted [1] - 112:2	27:19, 43:24, 44:6,	100:13, 101:3,	chances [1] - 140:3	Cliffs [3] - 27:20,
butter [1] - 151:20	134:7		change [18] - 47:21,	33:17, 33:20
buy [8] - 60:13, 90:17,	Catch -22 [1] - 117:12	101:24, 102:14,	91:19, 91:21,	close [4] - 7:14, 85:7,
90:18, 90:21, 90:24,	category [1] - 48:23	103:1, 105:16,	104:24, 105:22,	92:3, 130:5
90:25, 91:6, 114:18	caused [1] - 12:4	106:2, 106:6, 106:9,		closed [2] - 30:24,
buying [3] - 92:12,	CCR [2] - 1:21, 162:23	106:14, 106:21,	105:24, 111:22,	31:1
93:6, 114:14	cell [1] - 5:3	107:1, 108:15,	112:20, 116:1,	CLR [2] - 1:21, 162:23
buys [1] - 81:1	Center [6] - 14:11,	108:23, 109:1,	116:4, 121:8,	club [1] - 92:16
	,			

COAH [30] - 11:18, 11:19, 12:5, 12:7, 12:15, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 13:3, 13:4, 13:11, 13:13, 14:4, 14:14, 14:24, 14:25, 15:6, 15:15, 15:16, 15:18, 17:4, 47:25, 48:7, 61:19, 63:3, 65:2, 89:19, 92:6, 136:21, 148:18 **COAH's** [3] - 12:19, 15:1, 117:13 **code** [3] - 25:4, 49:3, 123:2 collect [1] - 72:11 **collected** [2] - 67:24, 72:15 collecting [2] - 72:18, 74:9 **Colts** [3] - 24:17, 24:20, 24:25 combining [1] - 48:15 comfortable [3] - 8:7, 47:7, 135:8 coming [14] - 11:2, 19:22, 21:14, 21:15, 29:18, 63:4, 71:16, 84:25, 86:8, 129:6, 134:24, 143:13, 158:17, 159:2 commencement [1] -162:6 commencing [1] -2:10 comment [10] - 7:1, 7:7, 7:8, 7:10, 7:12, 7:15, 41:23, 62:24, 114:12, 151:7 **COMMENT** [1] - 3:2 commentary [1] - 5:1 comments [15] - 4:23, 7:5, 8:5, 8:9, 8:15, 8:24, 62:16, 68:23, 69:8, 69:11, 69:15, 69:20, 95:23, 130:7, 133:15 commercial [6] -67:10, 67:24, 71:9, 71:14, 73:23, 82:19 Committee [3] -124:3, 140:17, 158:2 communicating [1] -18.21 communication [1] -158:12 communications [1] -106.24communities [2] -15:21, 18:25

community [15] -9:15, 13:15, 14:2, 76:25, 77:20, 78:6, 78:8, 86:18, 91:1, 91:3, 91:4, 107:10, 114:22, 116:17 Community [1] -72:21 company [6] - 44:20, 76:12, 77:10, 81:21, 113:11, 113:16 comparison [1] - 86:7 compel [1] - 10:21 compensate [2] -101:18, 102:18 complaining [1] -146:18 complete [3] - 117:25, 118:1, 118:10 completed [7] - 41:25, 42:9, 42:10, 45:20, 51:12, 57:12, 86:9 completely [2] -137:9, 142:4 completeness [1] -109.2complex [2] - 76:9, 88:9 complexion [1] -23:11 compliance [13] -11:15, 26:23, 27:5, 27:6, 27:13, 104:19, 106:11, 106:19, 133:9, 133:11, 133:16, 133:17, 135:14 complicated [3] -45:13, 98:11, 98:12 **complied** [4] - 10:14, 13:7, 13:9, 134:13 comply [6] - 12:11, 13:6, 36:2, 131:4, 131:11, 135:12 **complying** [2] - 10:9, 20:17 **component** [1] - 64:10 comprise [1] - 47:21 comprised [1] - 57:20 computerized [1] - 2:2 conceived [2] - 82:25, 86:22 **concept** [10] - 10:25, 11:15, 11:23, 22:19, 39:14, 80:25, 81:1, 84:10, 140:23, 153:9 Concept [1] - 38:25 concepts [1] - 10:24 Conceptual [1] -124:16

conceptual [2] -124:19, 126:22 concern [3] - 24:5, 36:2, 84:2 concerned [13] -37:11, 94:16, 98:7, 98:9, 99:11, 100:16, 111:15, 117:9, 150:1.150:2.150:3. 150:7, 156:4 concerns [3] - 24:8, 121:9, 126:25 **concluded** [1] - 69:7 conclusion [1] - 29:5 concrete [1] - 104:14 condensed [1] - 82:11 **condition** [1] - 109:3 conditions [1] -112:23 conduct [1] - 4:20 **conducted** [2] - 18:10, 18:12 confirm [3] - 39:12, 80:7, 153:5 conforming [1] - 26:6 confused [1] - 42:1 **confusion** [1] - 68:8 **connection** [1] - 9:23 **Conoscenti** [2] - 5:21, 155:10 CONOSCENTI [3] -1:12, 5:22, 155:11 consequences [1] -25:16 Conservation [2] -37:13, 108:1 **consider** [3] - 86:12, 100:3, 130:13 consideration [2] -7:16, 24:13 considered [3] - 10:3, 152:1.152:2 considering [4] -10:19, 27:8, 104:1, 131:18 consist [1] - 58:4 consistent [8] -130:21, 131:2, 152:5, 152:17, 153:1, 153:6, 154:2, 158:14 consists [2] - 9:19, 10:24 **consortium** [2] - 18:4, 18:5 constant [1] - 146:24 **constantly** [1] - 67:23 constitutional [4] -9:10, 16:17, 26:21, 27:3

constraints [1] - 68:11 construct [5] - 65:12, 67:4, 68:4, 70:2, 70.6 constructed [12] -10:12, 51:24, 51:25, 52:13, 54:7, 55:7, 55:12, 55:16, 55:17, 57:4.70:4.76:4 construction [7] -22:19, 58:15, 58:17, 67:15, 72:22, 80:7, 101:16 **consultant** [2] - 16:9, 99:24 consultants [1] -26:14 **contact** [3] - 96:10, 119:21, 120:2 context [1] - 151:8 continue [13] - 15:5, 21:11, 35:14, 62:9, 67:7, 96:1, 96:2, 116:7, 116:8, 118:17, 147:11, 147:13, 152:8 continues [1] - 50:18 **continuing** [1] - 36:6 contract [1] - 75:2 contrary [1] - 153:8 contributing [3] -58:25, 67:3, 79:17 Contribution [1] -52:18 control [1] - 138:24 controls [1] - 37:6 conversation [1] -132:16 conversations [1] -147:11 **convincing** [2] - 33:4, 33:6 cooperating [2] -21:7, 149:5 cooperation [1] -21:11 copied [1] - 40:12 **copy** [2] - 109:13, 120:25 **Corner** [5] - 85:22, 85:25, 86:1, 86:4, 86.21 **corner** [2] - 89:11, 93.25 corners [1] - 137:5 **correct** [34] - 6:21, 21:5, 21:8, 32:1, 33:15, 33:25, 35:22, 37:23, 38:21, 40:8, 40:21, 41:8, 47:16,

60:17, 60:24, 64:25, 65:5, 66:17, 68:16, 71:11, 71:13, 74:6, 76:22, 77:15, 79:8, 94:8, 108:25, 119:15, 131:14, 131:20, 135:11, 135:17, 136:5, 153:10 **Correct** [4] - 38:2, 66:9, 119:15, 133:7 correctly [1] - 61:10 **cost** [14] - 61:18, 63:23, 64:1, 78:2, 78:5, 90:7, 93:17, 100:11, 100:19, 101:19, 101:21, 102:1, 138:13, 146:8 costs [3] - 59:13, 156:13, 156:17 council [5] - 100:14, 107:4, 107:6, 123:12, 127:25 **Council** [1] - 12:5 counsel [3] - 41:17, 162:14, 162:17 count [3] - 11:21, 52:3, 52:15 counted [1] - 49:24 counties [2] - 16:23, 50:7 **country** [3] - 84:7, 86:16,86:19 county [33] - 16:1, 25:2, 25:7, 25:8, 37:13, 50:12, 50:16, 85:4, 102:11, 102:13, 102:15, 102:16, 102:20, 103:5, 103:8, 103:10, 103:11, 103:13, 108:1, 115:2, 136:7, 136:8, 136:10, 136:16, 136:17, 137:3, 137:6, 137:12, 137:20, 137:21, 138:3 **County** [14] - 9:7, 9:19, 9:20, 16:22, 18:10, 19:4, 19:7, 19:16, 25:25, 46:6, 50:9, 50:20 COUNTY [1] - 1:2 couple [11] - 17:2, 19:14, 69:1, 69:23, 101:9, 107:16, 110:8, 114:4, 117:19, 120:10, 121:10

0.1 0.20	107.10
course [7] - 8:1, 8:20,	137:10
100:1, 102:4,	create [4] - 18:3,
103:12, 151:12,	32:17, 51:2, 63:23
151:13	created [4] - 12:5,
court [60] - 13:16,	48:12, 51:23, 135:23
16:8, 16:10, 16:11,	creating [3] - 65:20,
16:18, 16:19, 18:17,	75:10, 125:2
20:17, 20:18, 21:3,	creative [5] - 93:19,
26:10, 26:12, 28:2,	95:13, 99:4, 115:9,
31:24, 32:21, 32:23,	141:21
32:24, 37:19, 38:10,	credit [3] - 12:13,
39:17, 39:18, 39:19,	53:2, 53:14
41:2, 41:15, 43:24,	credits [25] - 53:6,
44:5, 51:5, 89:24,	53:17, 53:20, 53:23,
91:15, 92:14, 92:15,	
	54:3, 54:4, 54:6,
92:25, 94:4, 96:2,	54:19, 54:23, 55:16,
105:9, 105:17,	64:18, 64:21, 64:22,
106:24, 110:10,	64:24, 65:19, 65:24,
110:20, 111:2,	66:2, 66:10, 70:5,
126:22, 131:12,	70:7, 70:14, 71:3,
132:23, 133:2,	78:17, 118:13,
133:16, 133:17,	146:16
142:17, 142:22,	criteria [3] - 49:4,
143:7, 144:6,	125:10, 134:23
144:10, 149:25,	
152:21, 152:23,	croissant [2] - 89:12,
	89:14
154:3, 156:3, 156:4,	cruncher [1] - 145:15
156:22, 159:17	crystal [3] - 138:8,
Court [35] - 1:21, 2:4,	138:22, 145:21
9:6, 9:9, 9:22, 9:25,	
10:6, 10:7, 10:12,	cumbersome [1] -
	50:14
10:15, 10:21, 14:4,	curious [4] - 85:15,
14:12, 14:13, 14:22,	101:13, 121:19,
14:23, 15:5, 15:8,	
	136:24
15:9, 15:14, 17:4,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court 's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court 's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4
$\begin{array}{c} 15:9, 15:14, 17:4, \\ 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, \\ 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, \\ 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, \\ 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, \\ 162:4 \\ \hline \textbf{COURT} \ [2] - 1:23, 2:8 \\ \textbf{court's} \ [2] - 131:7, \\ 131:8 \\ \hline \textbf{courts} \ [27] - 11:6, \\ 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, \\ 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, \\ 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, \\ 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, \\ \end{array}$	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4
$\begin{array}{c} 15:9, 15:14, 17:4, \\ 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, \\ 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, \\ 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, \\ 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, \\ 162:4 \\ \hline \textbf{COURT} \ [2] - 1:23, 2:8 \\ \textbf{court's} \ [2] - 131:7, \\ 131:8 \\ \hline \textbf{courts} \ [27] - 11:6, \\ 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, \\ 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, \\ 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, \\ 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, \\ \end{array}$	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17, 99:17
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20, 65:21, 70:24, 89:22, 92:7, 93:16, 94:19,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court 's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20, 65:21, 70:24, 89:22,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17, 99:17 D
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20, 65:21, 70:24, 89:22, 92:7, 93:16, 94:19, 148:19, 148:20, 148:25, 149:4,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17, 99:17 D D'ANDREA [43] - 1:19,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20, 65:21, 70:24, 89:22, 92:7, 93:16, 94:19, 148:19, 148:20, 148:25, 149:4, 150:15	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17, 99:17 D D'ANDREA [43] - 1:19, 4:7, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20, 65:21, 70:24, 89:22, 92:7, 93:16, 94:19, 148:19, 148:20, 148:25, 149:4, 150:15 cover [3] - 78:3,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17, 99:17 D ANDREA [43] - 1:19, 4:7, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, 5:23, 5:25, 6:2, 6:4,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20, 65:21, 70:24, 89:22, 92:7, 93:16, 94:19, 148:19, 148:20, 148:25, 149:4, 150:15	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17, 99:17 D D'ANDREA [43] - 1:19, 4:7, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20, 65:21, 70:24, 89:22, 92:7, 93:16, 94:19, 148:19, 148:20, 148:25, 149:4, 150:15 cover [3] - 78:3,	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17, 99:17 D ANDREA [43] - 1:19, 4:7, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, 5:23, 5:25, 6:2, 6:4,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20, 65:21, 70:24, 89:22, 92:7, 93:16, 94:19, 148:19, 148:20, 148:25, 149:4, 150:15 cover [3] - 78:3, 101:19, 112:17	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17, 99:17 D'ANDREA [43] - 1:19, 4:7, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, 5:23, 5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 6:6, 6:8, 6:10, 6:12, 6:15, 6:17, 6:21,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20, 65:21, 70:24, 89:22, 92:7, 93:16, 94:19, 148:19, 148:20, 148:25, 149:4, 150:15 cover [3] - 78:3, 101:19, 112:17 coverage [3] - 100:7, 100:17, 125:3	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17, 99:17 D D'ANDREA [43] - 1:19, 4:7, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, 5:23, 5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 6:6, 6:8, 6:10, 6:12, 6:15, 6:17, 6:21, 6:24, 78:25, 96:6,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20, 65:21, 70:24, 89:22, 92:7, 93:16, 94:19, 148:19, 148:20, 148:25, 149:4, 150:15 cover [3] - 78:3, 101:19, 112:17 coverage [3] - 100:7, 100:17, 125:3 covered [1] - 100:9	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17, 99:17 D D'ANDREA [43] - 1:19, 4:7, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, 5:23, 5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 6:6, 6:8, 6:10, 6:12, 6:15, 6:17, 6:21, 6:24, 78:25, 96:6, 119:20, 120:1,
15:9, 15:14, 17:4, 17:5, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 20:25, 26:19, 26:22, 28:10, 41:4, 41:5, 55:14, 110:7, 162:4 COURT [2] - 1:23, 2:8 court's [2] - 131:7, 131:8 courts [27] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:17, 12:1, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:17, 15:3, 15:24, 16:1, 16:7, 17:20, 24:13, 25:20, 52:20, 65:21, 70:24, 89:22, 92:7, 93:16, 94:19, 148:19, 148:20, 148:25, 149:4, 150:15 cover [3] - 78:3, 101:19, 112:17 coverage [3] - 100:7, 100:17, 125:3	136:24 current [4] - 48:8, 70:1, 71:23, 110:7 curtail [1] - 136:4 CURTIS [23] - 1:12, 6:11, 32:1, 33:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 37:23, 38:4, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:3, 68:1, 72:4, 157:2, 157:5 Curtis [2] - 6:10, 157:4 cut [5] - 44:11, 81:17, 99:17 D D'ANDREA [43] - 1:19, 4:7, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, 5:23, 5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 6:6, 6:8, 6:10, 6:12, 6:15, 6:17, 6:21, 6:24, 78:25, 96:6,

10	
10	155:
e [4] - 18:3,	155:
7, 51:2, 63:23	155:
ed [4] - 12:5,	156:
2, 51:23, 135:23	157:
ng [3] - 65:20,	157:
0, 125:2	158:
ve [5] - 93:19,	158:
3, 99:4, 115:9,	160:
21	160:
[3] - 12:13,	damag
, 53:14	138:
	damn
s [25] - 53:6,	
7,53:20,53:23,	dange
, 54:4, 54:6,	86:1
9, 54:23, 55:16,	dark [
8,64:21,64:22,	data [
4,65:19,65:24,	date [4
, 66:10, 70:5,	162:
, 70:14, 71:3,	Dated
7, 118:13,	DAVIS
16	70:1
a [3] - 49:4,	71:2
10, 134:23	73:1
ant [2] - 89:12,	74:8
4	76:1
her [1] - 145:15	78:1
II [3] - 138:8,	79:9
22, 145:21	dAVIS
ersome [1] -	Davis
4	days
IS [4] - 85:15,	112:
13, 121:19,	DCA [
24	
27	
141 - 48.8	117.
nt [4] - 48:8,	117: doalin
, 71:23, 110:7	dealin
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4	dealin debun
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12,	dealin debun decad
, 71:23, 110:7 [1] - 136:4 S [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1,	dealin debun decad Decen
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14,	dealin debun decad Decen decide
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2,	dealin debun decad Decen
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140:
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2 decide
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2 decide
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2 decide 113:
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5	dealin debun decad Decen decide 27:2 decide 113: decidi
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5 ; [2] - 6:10, 157:4	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2 decide 113: decidi decisi
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5 (2] - 6:10, 157:4 - 44:11, 81:17,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2 decide 113: decidi decisi 18:2
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5 (2] - 6:10, 157:4 - 44:11, 81:17,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2 decide 113: decidi decisi 18:2 102:
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5 5 [2] - 6:10, 157:4 - 44:11, 81:17, 7	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2 decide 113: decidi decisi 18:2 102: decisi
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5 5 [2] - 6:10, 157:4 - 44:11, 81:17, 7	dealin debun decad Decen decide 27:2 decide 113: decide 113: decide 18:2 102: decisi 18:2 102:
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5 (2] - 6:10, 157:4 - 44:11, 81:17, 7 D	dealin debun decad Decen decide 27:2 decide 113: decidi decisi 18:2 102: decisi 140: declar declar
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5 ; [2] - 6:10, 157:4 - 44:11, 81:17, 7 DREA [43] - 1:19,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 27:2 decide 113: decidi decisi 18:2 102: decisi 140: decian 15:2
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5 6 [2] - 6:10, 157:4 - 44:11, 81:17, 7 DREA [43] - 1:19, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, , 5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 6:8, 6:10, 6:12,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2 decide 113: decidi decisi 18:2 102: decisi 140: decisi 140: decide 15:2 declar
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5 6 [2] - 6:10, 157:4 - 44:11, 81:17, 7 DREA [43] - 1:19, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, , 5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 6:8, 6:10, 6:12, , 6:17, 6:21,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2 decide 113: decidi decisi 18:2 102: decisi 140: decisi 140: deciad decisi 15:2 declar
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5 6 [2] - 6:10, 157:4 - 44:11, 81:17, 7 DREA [43] - 1:19, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, , 5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 6:8, 6:10, 6:12,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2 decide 113: decidi decisi 18:2 102: decisi 140: decian 140: decian decide 15:2 decian decian decide
, 71:23, 110:7 I [1] - 136:4 IS [23] - 1:12, , 32:1, 33:1, , 34:11, 34:14, 9, 34:22, 35:2, , 37:23, 38:4, , 63:11, 63:16, 0, 63:22, 64:3, , 72:4, 157:2, 5 6 [2] - 6:10, 157:4 - 44:11, 81:17, 7 DREA [43] - 1:19, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, , 5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 6:8, 6:10, 6:12, , 6:17, 6:21,	dealin debun decad Decen decide 140: decide 27:2 decide 113: decidi decisi 18:2 102: decisi 140: decisi 140: deciad decisi 15:2 declar

10, 155:12, 16, 155:18, 20, 155:22, 1, 156:23, 3, 157:6, 22, 158:1, 6, 158:9, 19, 158:24, 8, 160:12, 16 ge [2] - 89:5, 19 [1] - 94:9 erous [4] - 85:24, , 116:17, 137:6 1] - 141:3 1] - 68:12 4] - 4:12, 26:13, 12 [1] - 162:25 **S** [23] - 3:4, 70:6, 1, 71:4, 71:20, 5, 72:6, 73:4, 2,73:18,73:25, , 75:12, 75:22, , 77:1, 77:12, 5, 78:21, 79:6, , 80:4, 80:13 **5** [2] - 69:21, 79:3 [1] - 69:21 [4] - 21:16, 24, 114:4 1] - 80:1 4] - 95:7, 95:11, 17, 123:17 ng [1] - 119:7 nked [1] - 17:5 le [1] - 117:14 **nber** [1] - 123:18 **e** [3] - 79:7, 7, 148:10 ed [3] - 9:25, 3, 140:1 **es** [3] - 26:15, 6, 113:16 ing [1] - 20:14 ion [6] - 9:6, 2, 26:19, 28:10, 15, 140:14 ions [2] -20, 149:2 ration [1] - 9:9 ratory [3] - 15:7, 2, 21:3 ring [1] - 10:17 ated [1] - 67:19 [3] - 50:14, 7,65:13 ed [1] - 57:8 deeds [2] - 44:19,

146:22 deemed [1] - 52:20 deems [1] - 35:22 **deep** [3] - 71:10, 90:4, 115:23 **deeper** [1] - 90:4 deeply [1] - 87:15 deficient [3] - 49:1, 49:24, 51:18 define [2] - 47:6, 152:10 definitions [1] - 123:6 **defunct** [2] - 11:19, 14:15 degrees [1] - 118:25 deliberate [1] - 130:16 deliberating [1] -18:20 delineated [2] -139:19, 141:24 delineation [1] - 142:7 demonstrate [1] -79:22 density [9] - 10:22, 11:14, 24:7, 71:16, 80:22, 81:6, 86:23, 87:20, 111:25 deny [1] - 130:23 **DEP** [17] - 35:22, 37:5, 39:4, 40:11, 97:11, 97:13, 104:25, 112:21, 123:17, 125:7, 138:23, 141:5, 141:6, 141:12, 141:16 DEP's [1] - 121:4 Department [1] -72.21 department [1] - 25:5 depositions [1] -93:16 deposits [1] - 74:22 **Deputy** [2] - 5:18, 155:2 **DEPUTY** [60] - 1:13, 5:19, 35:13, 36:15, 36:18, 37:22, 38:2, 38:5, 39:16, 41:12, 42:3, 42:20, 42:24, 43:8, 43:15, 59:5, 59:9, 60:7, 60:14, 60:17, 61:9, 62:11, 62:25, 63:15, 63:18, 63:21, 64:1, 75:3, 87:24, 88:23, 89:1, 89:2, 99:1, 126:20, 127:1, 127:24, 128:3, 129:20, 144:21, 145:16, 145:22, 146:3,

146:6, 146:12, 147:18, 148:15, 149:22, 153:11, 153:18, 153:23, 154:1, 154:8, 154:14, 154:18, 155:4, 156:25, 158:7, 158:13, 158:18, 158:21 deputy [1] - 94:8 describe [1] - 27:10 design [3] - 24:15, 84:10, 125:10 designation [1] - 84:5 designed [4] - 104:7, 126:2, 127:7, 137:10 desire [1] - 10:20 desires [1] - 9:14 destroying [1] - 147:8 detailed [3] - 7:24, 49:12, 122:20 detention [1] - 125:25 determination [1] -130:23 determine [3] - 11:2, 11:19, 152:3 determined [6] - 20:7, 59:4, 118:8, 150:11, 157:16, 157:17 determining [3] -12:1, 14:18, 153:6 develop [4] - 12:7, 26:4, 45:9, 104:18 developable [5] -92:12, 92:21, 93:10, 93:21,96:24 developed [3] - 26:17, 100:22, 105:14 developer [14] - 22:8, 25:13, 41:14, 77:13, 77:17, 97:15, 102:2, 102:17, 107:18, 110:1, 137:1, 138:5, 142:1, 156:17 developer /landlord [1] - 77:20 developers [8] -89:18, 89:23, 90:3, 92:13, 92:24, 95:10, 128:21, 132:24 developing [3] -59:20, 110:16, 110:19 Development [1] -55:6 development [62] -10:22, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:12, 24:11, 26:2, 36:24, 37:15,

39:2, 52:10, 53:22,

55:19, 56:12, 58:21, 59:18, 64:7, 64:10, 67:6, 67:12, 71:5, 71:8, 72:12, 72:14, 72:18, 72:24, 73:7, 73:17, 73:22, 75:13, 85:16, 86:9, 86:23, 87:11, 89:14, 94:11, 98:1, 99:19, 100:7, 101:11, 103:18, 103:24, 108:13, 111:15, 119:10, 123:8, 123:15, 123:22, 129:18, 129:23, 136:4, 136:13, 137:13, 138:16, 138:20, 141:8, 143:6, 145:9, 145:10, 145:13, 147:13 developments [15] -24:5, 24:16, 41:24, 44:13, 54:18, 56:5, 56:6, 56:8, 65:6, 65:25, 67:16, 67:22, 68:17, 86:6, 118:25 dicey [1] - 145:24 difference [2] - 20:3, 20:10 different [15] - 13:18, 13:22, 19:21, 30:9, 31:8, 32:17, 61:10, 68:19, 86:6, 94:23, 98:9, 99:19, 118:12, 131:19, 142:13 difficult [1] - 140:14 dig [1] - 119:13 digging [1] - 112:3 diligent [2] - 42:16, 48.2 direct [1] - 159:13 directed [4] - 9:22, 12:7, 14:4, 152:23 directing [2] - 126:7, 126:9 direction [6] - 125:16, 130:18, 131:8, 140:2, 140:8, 159:23 directly [4] - 39:17, 39:19, 60:2, 83:25 director [1] - 117:5 disappointed [1] -83:17 disaster [1] - 80:21 **Disbrow** [2] - 3:4, 69.22 discharging [3] -113:7, 124:23, 124:24 discuss [2] - 83:22,

114:11 discussing [1] - 83:24 discussion [7] -54:17, 56:3, 58:24, 120:11, 120:16, 121:8, 154:24 displacement [1] -101:14 disrupted [1] - 102:22 District [3] - 37:13, 84:8, 108:2 district [1] - 122:11 **Dix** [3] - 81:18, 81:19, 81:21 **DO** [2] - 162:9, 162:13 **Doctrine** [2] - 9:3, 10:23 document [6] - 27:9, 71:7, 129:24, 131:10, 131:11, 131:19 documentation [2] -12:18, 13:4 dollars [3] - 93:17, 101:22, 137:22 domain [2] - 102:10, 136:20 donated [1] - 62:1 done [25] - 11:13, 14:15, 14:24, 15:16, 30:5, 30:6, 39:8, 77:18,86:11,96:20, 98:15, 98:24, 99:3, 106:15, 106:17, 107:24, 111:21, 123:20, 126:21, 136:9, 142:5, 142:7, 148:17, 150:15 **donut** [2] - 83:23, 89:11 **door** [2] - 55:23, 140.13doorsteps [1] - 124:22 dorms [1] - 128:24 DOT [1] - 25:10 down [25] - 9:6, 18:22, 26:22, 31:5, 34:24, 44:12, 46:8, 46:12, 49:18, 49:19, 49:21, 50:1, 51:12, 69:16, 81:22, 92:8, 97:24, 98:2, 113:4, 115:24, 116:11, 127:3, 150:25, 159:20 Downtown [1] -103:14 downward [1] - 20:3 draft [3] - 72:7, 151:25, 156:14 drafted [1] - 107:3

drafting [2] - 118:5, 159:5 drag [1] - 93:15 drains [1] - 114:7 dramatically [2] -87:8,87:18 drastically [1] - 91:21 drawing [4] - 29:5, 113:4, 149:13, 149:16 drawings [1] - 40:1 draws [1] - 115:24 drew [1] - 139:1 drinking [1] - 113:23 Drive [1] - 89:10 drive [2] - 115:16, 116:10 driven [1] - 139:9 dropped [1] - 15:16 drought [3] - 112:25, 113:3, 115:20 drove [1] - 114:2 dry [1] - 115:18 due [1] - 50:12 dug [2] - 44:10, 142:8 duly [1] - 162:7 dump [1] - 83:1 dunk [1] - 41:6 during [8] - 18:19, 38:8, 48:12, 51:6, 52:19, 53:5, 53:10, 98:3 E early [1] - 52:14 earning [2] - 46:9, 46:13 ease [1] - 48:14 easier [1] - 23:16 easily [1] - 83:5 East [3] - 84:24, 114:22, 116:15 easy [3] - 81:10, 94:25, 103:13 economically [2] -29:23, 138:2 Econsult [1] - 20:1 education [2] - 63:24, 64.2 effect [4] - 11:24, 103:8, 125:12, 126.13 effected [1] - 136:12 effectively [5] - 15:1, 20:24, 94:22, 130:11, 138:3 efficient [1] - 49:4 effluent [2] - 113:8, 113:21

effort [3] - 20:20, 37:19,48:2 efforts [1] - 159:15 eight [1] - 82:4 Either [1] - 77:8 either [10] - 79:10, 87:20, 120:17, 120:18, 139:24, 147:22, 154:12, 155:24, 159:12, 159:13 elected [3] - 83:9, 119:4, 150:22 element [2] - 41:6, 117:15 **Element** [12] - 7:17, 26:9, 27:9, 45:4, 130:20, 131:10, 151:25, 152:4, 152:14, 152:25, 153:14, 154:5 **ELEMENT** [1] - 1:5 elements [1] - 97:10 elsewhere [1] - 67:5 **Elys** [4] - 85:22, 86:1, 86:4, 86:21 email [1] - 119:22 eminent [2] - 102:10, 136:20 emotional [1] - 47:1 **employee** [2] - 162:14, 162:16 encourage [1] -100:24 encroach [1] - 138:18 encroached [1] -136:19 encroaches [1] -135:8 encroaching [1] -135.18 end [11] - 56:24, 89:18, 95:21, 104:20, 106:11, 106:20, 121:22, 122:2, 132:16, 146:10, 147:3 endangered [1] -40:24 ended [3] - 35:12, 90:2, 92:11 enforce [2] - 30:15, 148:10 enforce .. [1] - 126:14 enforcing [1] - 30:16 Engineer [1] - 1:18 engineer [5] - 29:14, 30:3, 31:11, 38:18, 119:8 engineering [8] - 24:8,

24:14, 30:4, 30:6, 39:10, 107:19, 126:21, 143:17 engineers [1] - 41:15 englewood [1] - 33:20 Englewood [2] -27:20, 33:17 enhance [1] - 123:9 entered [2] - 25:18, 53.11 entire [8] - 12:9, 24:4, 36:5, 78:8, 84:8, 86:12, 128:23, 150:12 entirety [2] - 84:6, 141:2 entitled [3] - 2:3, 15:18, 28:15 entity [1] - 77:9 enunciating [1] -151:11 envelope [2] - 139:15, 139:20 environment [4] -119:6, 123:9, 150:2, 150:3 environmental [5] -25:11, 37:16, 68:11, 105:10, 135:22 environmentally [3] -81:15, 86:25, 136:3 **EPA** [3] - 115:14, 126:12, 138:24 equity [2] - 91:17, 93:2 Eric [1] - 69:21 ERIC [1] - 3:4 escrow [1] - 100:1 especially [1] - 150:24 ESQ [1] - 3:10 ESQUIRE [1] - 1:17 essentially [11] - 10:4, 23:11, 26:1, 48:7, 49:3, 52:23, 55:22, 65:9, 91:11, 94:25, 98:20 establish [1] - 50:22 established [1] - 50:2 estimate [1] - 72:14 estimated [1] - 63:19 estimating [1] - 59:23 et [4] - 40:15, 123:9, 132:25 evaluate [2] - 100:5, 130:10 evaluated [1] - 103:3 evaluating [3] - 96:11, 96:12, 101:25 evaluation [6] - 39:23, 97:9, 98:14, 134:25, 156:10

evening [5] - 2:10, 4:1, 4:16, 7:19, 27:7 event [5] - 10:1, 20:4, 24:3, 35:21, 36:8 eventual [1] - 107:11 eventually [1] - 159:18 everywhere [2] - 16:7, 17:8 evolved [1] - 10:24 exact [4] - 19:3, 19:6, 55:22,84:13 exactly [3] - 15:19, 46:24,88:18 exaggerating [2] -43:13, 43:16 exaggeration [1] -126:16 examined [1] - 72:21 examining [1] - 81:25 **example** [10] - 42:4, 42:8, 43:11, 54:23, 74:21, 75:9, 125:13, 137:13, 144:22, 144:24 exceeded [1] - 156:17 exceeds [1] - 137:13 except [1] - 72:25 **exception** [1] - 48:4 exceptional [2] -96:21, 100:23 excess [2] - 70:7, 74:17 excluded [1] - 114:14 exclusionary [1] -10:3 excuse [2] - 62:8, 155:22 exempt [1] - 75:17 exercise [1] - 20:11 **Exhibit** [2] - 122:6, 122:22 exhibit [1] - 71:25 exist [2] - 15:2, 112:19 existing [3] - 51:16, 51:19, 75:11 exists [1] - 137:7 expect [4] - 27:21, 28:1, 91:18, 149:13 expectation [6] -86:15, 90:22, 90:23, 91:4, 91:5, 95:2 expected [1] - 59:2 expend [1] - 19:12 expending [1] - 73:19 expense [1] - 134:22 expensive [1] - 138:14 experience [12] - 92:5, 94:19, 102:17, 107:20, 126:15, 128:15, 129:9,

133:24, 134:2, 139:4, 139:5, 141:19 expert [4] - 11:7, 99:24, 100:3, 136:25 expertise [1] - 140:2 experts [8] - 17:19, 17:22, 18:6, 18:12, 18:15, 19:6, 20:1, 139:5 expired [1] - 120:21 expiring [1] - 92:20 explain [2] - 59:15, 105:9 explaining [1] -107:15 expressed [1] - 65:6 extended [1] - 120:23 extends [3] - 9:16, 9:17, 102:3 extension [1] - 151:20 extensions [1] - 35:16 extent [2] - 26:10, 59.4 extra [2] - 54:3, 54:23 extremely [3] - 12:2, 111:15, 137:6 F face [1] - 21:22 facets [1] - 72:8 facilities [4] - 25:9, 25:10, 49:6, 76:12 facility [6] - 75:23, 78:3, 79:18, 80:8, 129:3, 129:7 facing [1] - 90:5 fact [6] - 92:19, 135:17, 137:5, 141:5, 146:17, 150:8 facts [1] - 139:18 factually [1] - 34:13 fail [1] - 113:6 failed [3] - 13:12, 14:10, 48:7 fails [1] - 37:14 failure [1] - 117:13 fair [6] - 26:20, 47:10, 47:15, 61:5, 148:12, 159.24FAIR [1] - 1:5 **Fair** [29] - 7:17, 11:18, 12:4, 14:10, 14:23, 17:2, 17:9, 17:10, 17:15, 20:5, 20:19, 25:21, 26:9, 26:12, 27:4, 27:9, 28:14, 30:13, 56:10, 110:15, 130:21, 131:10, 132:22,

151:25, 152:4, 152:15, 152:25, 153:15, 154:6 fairly [5] - 27:19, 56:13, 88:4, 99:20, 156:15 faith [7] - 15:17, 33:6, 41:13, 142:19, 143:18, 144:19, 149.6fall [1] - 61:1 falls [2] - 10:1, 125:24 familiar [1] - 88:7 families [2] - 13:9, 47:23 family [6] - 52:9, 57:13, 57:22, 64:13, 84:19, 142:3 Fantastic [1] - 127:16 far [6] - 25:16, 93:21, 94:15, 96:20, 98:9, 147:12 Farm [1] - 20:20 farming [1] - 91:3 farmland [1] - 98:17 Farms [9] - 20:6, 21:23, 26:5, 59:17, 60:3, 63:12, 67:1, 71:6, 105:20 fast [2] - 108:7, 108:16 father [1] - 115:11 fault [1] - 41:10 favor [5] - 47:13, 138:20, 154:12, 157:12, 160:22 feasible [1] - 29:23 features [1] - 102:22 **February** [7] - 6:23, 104:21, 106:12, 106:20, 158:23, 160:9, 162:25 federally [1] - 148:2 fee [7] - 67:6, 67:12, 67:23, 68:1, 68:2, 68:3, 72:12 feeding [1] - 126:7 feelings [1] - 111:13 fees [11] - 67:17, 71:5, 71:8, 72:11, 72:14, 72:18, 72:24, 73:5, 75:13, 113:18, 143:17 feet [2] - 25:8, 87:17 few [9] - 54:3, 55:18, 57:17, 62:16, 87:17, 89:23, 103:15, 129:4, 129:13 field [2] - 124:24, 139:11 fifth [3] - 77:24, 77:25,

78:2 fight [5] - 27:18, 43:19, 43:24, 89:18, 129:2 fighting [4] - 17:18, 90:3, 92:25, 128:16 figure [3] - 90:12, 93:11, 119:13 file [1] - 15:7 filed [6] - 4:12, 15:22, 32:23, 32:24, 89:25, 143:16 filing [1] - 30:14 fill [4] - 90:10, 92:18, 144:3, 144:13 final [8] - 21:17, 21:18, 47:18,64:6,65:7, 83:7, 104:19, 107:6 finally [3] - 28:6, 52:9, 86:25 financially [1] - 162:17 fine [4] - 9:1, 32:10, 108:12, 116:24 fine-tuned [1] - 108:12 finish [2] - 29:6, 32:9 finished [2] - 29:2, 32:12 fire [1] - 25:4 firemen [1] - 114:17 first [19] - 10:17, 10:25, 12:24, 14:17, 15:22, 17:2, 24:6, 27:7, 49:17, 57:17, 84:12, 86:2, 104:23, 107:2, 108:18, 109:7, 110:8, 117:1, 128:9 fit [7] - 81:17, 82:3, 139:16, 142:17, 142:20, 142:22, 142:25 fits [1] - 37:7 five [21] - 8:16, 20:24, 21:1, 35:19, 36:19, 36:20, 41:24, 45:10, 53:23, 56:18, 56:23, 66:19, 66:20, 68:25, 80:19, 82:21, 83:3, 83:18, 90:19, 150:5 five-acre [2] - 82:21, 90:19 five-minute [2] - 8:16, 68:25 flag [2] - 5:5, 108:20 flexibility [2] - 8:19, 155:14 flooding [2] - 98:2, 123:14 flow [2] - 125:16, 126:19

focus [2] - 54:16, 108:12 focused [1] - 67:21 folks [5] - 31:20, 113:19, 116:22, 117:1, 128:5 follow [7] - 32:14, 32:16, 41:21, 106:10.131:18. 151:12, 157:9 follow -up [2] - 32:14, 32:16 followed [1] - 34:5 follows [1] - 132:9 foot [1] - 108:20 footprint [2] - 82:3, 82:5 FOR [1] - 1:3 for-sale [2] - 79:14, 146:24 forbid [1] - 82:20 forced [1] - 149:21 forceful [1] - 141:20 foreclosing [1] - 118:7 foregoing [1] - 162:9 foresee [1] - 124:1 foreseeable [1] -148:22 foreshadowing [1] -159:18 form [3] - 16:24, 156:19, 156:20 formal [3] - 40:10, 81:13,96:5 formally [1] - 62:16 formerly [1] - 81:13 forming [1] - 77:25 formula [2] - 61:8, 141:24 **Fort** [3] - 81:18, 81:19, 81:20 forth [12] - 13:25, 18:6, 18:13, 18:16, 18:23, 27:13, 42:12, 48:2, 70:19, 124:2, 146:25, 162:12 forum [1] - 4:24 forward [12] - 8:6. 53:8, 54:20, 55:1, 55:9, 60:21, 69:20, 71:3, 99:7, 103:4, 107:11, 127:19 forward .. [1] - 127:18 fought [4] - 29:20, 90:6, 128:21, 128:22 foundations [1] -112:18 four [12] - 13:12, 25:20, 28:6, 30:23, 36:17, 45:9, 46:7,

46:11, 46:15, 54:17, 57:14,61:22 four -and -a-half [2] -28:6, 30:23 four -and -a-half -year [1] - 25:20 four-person [3] - 46:7, 46:11, 46:15 Fourth [2] - 36:5, 36:7 frame [2] - 144:5, 151:5 framed [1] - 157:10 frankly [1] - 49:14 free [2] - 60:12, 69:10 Freehold [1] - 103:14 friend [2] - 119:8, 119:18 front [5] - 37:12, 41:3, 96:3, 123:21, 153:21 fulcrum [1] - 146:2 fulfill [2] - 51:23, 56:9 fulfilled [3] - 48:19, 54:22.55:1 fulfilling [1] - 54:12 full [2] - 54:6, 118:14 fully [1] - 29:17 fund [8] - 67:13, 67:17, 67:18, 67:20, 72:17,74:15 funded [4] - 17:17, 18:1, 43:7, 99:25 funding [6] - 43:8, 57:6, 57:7, 57:10, 58:15, 145:3 funds [3] - 18:5, 50:16, 50:17 funneled [2] - 49:21, 50.1FURTHER [2] - 162:9, 162:13 future [7] - 70:22, 74:11, 88:7, 123:22, 128:12, 148:23, 159:14 G gap [1] - 144:13 Gary [1] - 61:24 gated [1] - 86:18 gathering [1] - 4:21 general [6] - 7:7, 42:13, 42:14, 72:23, 72:24, 123:2 generally [1] - 49:16 generate [3] - 63:13, 63:17, 73:17 generating [1] - 73:16 genius [1] - 80:21 gist [1] - 122:16

given [2] - 16:24, 24:12 glad [5] - 92:8, 93:24, 94:16, 94:17, 117:20 glorious [1] - 81:5 **God** [2] - 82:20, 116:3 governing [2] - 45:9, 138:24 governmental [4] -80:10, 103:6, 134:24, 156:11 Governor [1] - 150:23 grading [1] - 126:5 grand [1] - 66:2 grant [1] - 27:4 granted [2] - 20:16, 116:15 granting [1] - 11:13 Grasso [2] - 26:25, 130:19 grave [1] - 84:2 gravitate [1] - 114:24 gray [2] - 113:7, 113:21 Grbelja [2] - 5:18, 155:3 **GRBELJA** [60] - 1:13, 5:19, 35:13, 36:15, 36:18, 37:22, 38:2, 38:5, 39:16, 41:12, 42:3, 42:20, 42:24, 43:8, 43:15, 59:5, 59:9, 60:7, 60:14, 60:17, 61:9, 62:11, 62:25, 63:15, 63:18, 63:21, 64:1, 75:3, 87:24, 88:23, 89:1, 89:2, 99:1, 126:20, 127:1, 127:24, 128:3, 129:20, 144:21, 145:16, 145:22, 146:3, 146:6, 146:12, 147:18, 148:15, 149:22, 153:11, 153:18, 153:23, 154:1, 154:8, 154:14, 154:18, 155:4, 156:25, 158:7, 158:13, 158:18, 158:21 Great [5] - 81:11, 85:1, 99:16, 109:21, 127:15 great [9] - 45:12, 91:2, 98:16, 99:9, 110:5, 119:25, 125:19, 127:13, 137:2 green [5] - 123:6, 123:12, 123:13,

123:19 Green [1] - 159:3 ground [3] - 43:9, 97:16, 115:10 grounds [1] - 79:17 group [16] - 8:12, 8:13, 9:21, 17:3, 52:3, 52:7, 55:11, 55:15, 55:17, 60:22, 61:5, 61:16, 147:7, 159:12 **Group** [8] - 52:5, 52:6, 54:24, 55:4, 55:5, 55:7, 55:13 Gruel [1] - 45:7 guess [4] - 19:2, 76:2, 89:12, 133:10 guidance [4] - 97:20, 152:22, 153:25, 159:14 guide [1] - 124:8 gun [1] - 21:13 guy [2] - 16:12, 160:1 guys [16] - 16:14, 89:16, 89:20, 90:5, 91:24, 92:4, 92:8, 93:23, 97:4, 97:6, 98:15, 100:19, 100:25, 121:3, 141:17, 144:11 н half [12] - 23:2, 25:20, 28:6, 30:23, 53:25, 66:15, 72:3, 73:5, 73:8, 73:21, 75:18, 90.7Hamilton [3] - 88:10, 89:9, 128:15 HAMILTON [13] - 3:7, 88:13, 88:18, 89:9, 96:18, 97:18, 97:24, 98:15, 99:3, 99:10, 100:6, 100:10, 100:15 hammer [1] - 92:17 hand [2] - 7:9, 17:25 handful [1] - 51:25 handicap [2] - 75:5, 75:8 handle [3] - 37:15, 136:8, 138:5 handled [4] - 60:6, 79:22, 93:5, 120:6 hands [1] - 15:1 hang [1] - 62:19 hard [8] - 33:4, 33:6, 56:21, 95:5, 96:15, 108:16, 117:17,

140:18 hard -and -fast [1] -108.16harder [1] - 128:2 hardest [1] - 141:14 HARMS [1] - 24:24 hat [6] - 28:5, 33:8, 38:1, 38:3, 143:14 hate [1] - 100:21 head [2] - 21:13, 99:16 heading [2] - 97:25, 98:1 health [4] - 25:4, 121:12, 123:9, 126:8 hear [4] - 45:21, 112:7, 119:18, 134:8 heard [4] - 27:1, 55:21,80:18,92:4 Hearing [1] - 161:3 **HEARING** [1] - 1:8 hearing [17] - 7:22, 26:12, 26:22, 27:5, 27:6, 104:20, 106:11, 106:19, 107:7, 120:7, 130:6, 133:9, 133:11, 133:16, 133:17, 157:9, 162:10 heart [1] - 91:17 heck [2] - 81:12, 146:22 held [2] - 2:7, 44:19 hell [1] - 114:8 hello [1] - 113:6 help [11] - 59:11, 74:21, 93:24, 94:17, 100:20, 108:12, 119:1, 123:24, 129:12, 141:5, 141:7 helped [1] - 19:17 helpful [1] - 96:14 helping [5] - 45:8, 58:25, 74:20, 74:22, 100:4 helps [1] - 54:12 hereby [1] - 162:6 hereinbefore [1] -162:12 heretofore [1] -111:25 hesitate [1] - 94:6 Heyer [1] - 45:7 HGA -5 [1] - 72:6 HGA -7 [1] - 74:1 Hi [1] - 80:16 hi [1] - 83:14 high [8] - 10:22, 11:14, 12:2, 17:12, 81:6, 86:23, 87:5 high -density [4] -

10:22, 11:14, 81:6, 86:23 higher [3] - 24:6, 31:16, 46:17 highest [1] - 80:24 Hightstown [1] -97:25 highway [1] - 25:9 Hill [2] - 3:4, 69:22 hire [6] - 18:5, 77:9, 77:13, 99:23, 99:24, 100:2 hiring [1] - 76:11 historic [2] - 84:5, 129:10 Historic [1] - 84:8 history [2] - 17:22, 45:13 hit [3] - 56:21, 87:10, 144:9 **HOA** [1] - 113:18 **hold** [5] - 37:4, 39:2, 46:16, 81:11, 160:13 hole [2] - 83:23, 89:11 Home [10] - 52:6, 54:24, 55:4, 55:5, 55:7, 55:13, 90:5, 90.6 home [2] - 61:5, 90:23 homeowner [4] - 77:8, 78:10, 78:12, 79:14 homeowners [5] -76:24, 77:3, 77:17, 79:10,80:1 homes [17] - 31:11, 47:1, 49:6, 51:6, 51:16, 52:3, 52:7, 55:11, 55:15, 55:17, 58:10, 60:23, 61:22, 61:23, 112:11, 112:12 homework [1] - 142:6 hook [1] - 51:7 hope [7] - 87:19, 89:2, 96:9, 112:22, 116:3, 123:10, 149:20 hopefully [2] - 60:20, 89:3 horrible [1] - 93:14 hours [2] - 89:23, 115.1House [12] - 52:7, 52:8, 55:17, 55:21, 55:22, 56:25, 57:4, 57:5, 61:11, 61:17, 75:4 house [3] - 61:16, 90:17, 98:22 household [11] - 46:3, 46:5, 46:7, 46:9,

46:11, 46:12, 46:13, 46:15, 47:19, 65:14 households [5] -45:20, 45:25, 47:21, 50:19,74:24 houses [1] - 90:21 housing [33] - 10:10, 10:11, 13:8, 14:12, 16:3. 16:10. 16:16. 22:10, 22:11, 26:2, 26:17, 27:15, 44:22, 45:22, 49:2, 49:4, 51:18, 56:21, 59:25, 67:4, 67:14, 67:19, 67:21, 81:19, 88:1, 103:18, 106:4, 122:10, 129:21, 130:10, 147:12, 147:13, 148:12 Housing [38] - 7:17, 9:24, 11:18, 12:4, 12:6, 14:11, 14:24, 17:2, 17:9, 17:10, 17:15, 20:5, 20:19, 25:22, 26:9, 27:8, 28:14, 30:13, 45:3, 50:23, 51:1, 51:13, 57:3, 57:5, 58:14, 58:22, 75:1, 81:8, 110:15, 130:20, 131:10, 132:22, 151:25, 152:4, 152:14, 152:25, 153:14, 154:5 HOUSING [1] - 1:5 housing " [1] - 123:4 huge [1] - 94:11 hum [1] - 42:23 human [3] - 112:4, 112:18, 113:12 hundred [2] - 31:14, 56.1 hundreds [1] - 93:17 hydrology [2] -121:12, 124:25 hypothetical [1] -147:16 L idea [10] - 27:20, 31:19, 46:23, 47:11, 53:17, 97:23, 103:3, 117:24, 136:15, 142:3 ideas [1] - 148:14 identified [1] - 144:15 identifies [1] - 7:1 identify [4] - 97:13,

131:1, 144:16,

159:11 **II** [5] - 10:6, 11:7, 11:25, 13:22, 14:17 ill [2] - 82:25, 86:22 ill-conceived [2] -82:25,86:22 image [1] - 55:24 images [1] - 4:18 imagine [2] - 28:24, 138:8 immediately [1] -20:15 immense [1] - 125:2 immune [1] - 12:20 immunity [31] - 11:24, 12:14, 12:16, 14:20, 15:6, 15:18, 20:14, 20:16, 21:2, 21:11, 28:3, 28:11, 30:15, 31:6, 31:14, 31:20, 32:22, 32:25, 33:15, 33:21, 34:1, 34:9, 35:21, 35:23, 38:4, 43:18,95:18, 132:23, 149:19, 156:6 Immunity [1] - 149:18 impact [10] - 64:2, 87:18,99:18, 101:11, 103:16, 105:11, 115:6, 123:8, 125:17, 126:10 impacted [1] - 84:11 impacts [5] - 100:4, 117:9, 121:11, 121:12, 125:1 impermeable [2] -98:4, 98:6 impervious [2] -125:3, 135:9 implement [3] -131:21, 142:13, 142:16 implementation [2] -24:15, 155:14 implemented [1] -142:18 implementing [1] -142:18 implications [1] -118:12 important [3] - 45:15, 46:24, 136:22 importantly [1] - 103:5 impose [3] - 10:15, 11:9, 17:12 imposed [1] - 13:4 imposing [1] - 10:17 improper [1] - 14:3

improved [1] - 137:15 improvements [3] -60:1, 137:23, 138:4 **IN** [1] - 160:24 inadequate [1] - 105:1 inappropriate [1] -135:19 inch [2] - 124:18, 124:20 include [2] - 68:9, 123:12 included [6] - 52:12, 68:8, 83:19, 105:25, 128:11, 129:17 includes [1] - 10:17 including [5] - 45:19, 50:9, 94:3, 134:24, 156:11 inclusion [1] - 94:15 inclusionary [14] -22:6, 22:8, 24:5, 36:24, 42:15, 44:13, 52:10, 56:4, 56:12, 58:11, 59:18, 64:9, 145:9, 145:10 Inclusionary [1] - 55:6 income [29] - 9:13, 13:9, 36:13, 45:25, 46:1, 46:3, 46:5, 46:8, 46:10, 46:12, 46:14, 47:19, 47:20, 47:22, 50:19, 52:4, 58:5, 78:6, 103:18, 103:20, 103:22, 103:23, 104:3, 113:12, 150:8 inconceivable [1] -80:24 inconvenience [1] -101:15 incorporate [1] -127:5 increase [5] - 23:14, 123:15, 125:20, 132:23, 145:1 increased [1] - 112:18 increases [1] - 23:14 increasing [1] -125:22 incredible [1] - 98:24 independent [2] -16:11, 100:2 independently [1] -18.17 Indian [2] - 52:9, 55:5 individual [2] - 4:21, 16:5 individuals [1] - 44:21 industry [1] - 148:6 infeasible [1] - 138:2

influence [3] - 97:3, 140:6, 140:7 influx [1] - 85:11 informally [1] - 97:6 information [2] - 19:8, 158:25 informative [1] -159:17 informed [1] - 83:19 infrastructure [13] -23:7, 23:13, 23:15, 59:1, 59:12, 60:20, 105:19, 123:13, 123:19, 137:14, 138:4, 145:24, 147:25 input [1] - 152:2 install [1] - 138:15 instance [1] - 131:21 instead [1] - 54:10 **Institute** [2] - 117:5, 118:18 instituted [1] - 111:20 insulting [1] - 114:16 intact [1] - 139:2 intense [2] - 82:11, 145:13 intensify [1] - 28:23 interested [4] - 8:20, 17:6, 147:8, 162:17 interesting [2] - 45:14, 78:1 interrupt [3] - 20:23, 104:22, 118:17 interrupted [1] - 120:4 intersection [7] -85:23, 85:25, 86:8, 86:12,86:21,136:11 intersections [1] -86:1 interveners [2] -21:22, 41:18 intervenor [2] -144:22, 146:18 intricacies [1] - 107:8 introduced [2] -107:4, 121:23 introduction [1] - 7:21 introductory [1] - 8:24 invalid [2] - 10:18, 13:17 inventory [1] - 93:12 **inviting** [1] - 119:4 involve [1] - 151:19 involved [4] - 17:23, 47:25, 48:1, 102:15 **issue** [2] - 82:10, 135:22 **issues** [9] - 16:10,

84:1, 84:11, 87:14,

96:18, 100:18, 119:5, 120:8, 124:1 item [2] - 4:13, 7:2 items [4] - 7:12, 160:9, 160:10 itself [1] - 148:7

J

Jacobson [2] - 18:11, 18.18 jammed [1] - 81:23 January [2] - 2:9, 4:3 **JANUARY** [1] - 1:8 **Jeff** [2] - 32:14, 147:19 **JEFFREY** [1] - 1:15 jeopardy [3] - 142:21, 143:2, 143:4 **JERSEY** [1] - 1:2 Jersey [11] - 1:24, 2:7, 2:9, 15:21, 17:7, 17:16, 24:4, 48:24, 72:20, 128:9, 162:5 **job** [11] - 13:13, 14:14, 14:15, 14:24, 45:12, 89:17, 98:16, 98:25, 99:4, 117:14, 138:2 join [1] - 5:5 joined [1] - 129:5 **Jones** [2] - 26:25, 130:19 Judge [5] - 18:11, 18:18, 26:25, 35:16, 130:19 judge [16] - 16:2, 21:14, 26:13, 27:1, 33:4, 33:7, 33:21, 35:4, 110:20, 133:11, 133:23, 133:24, 134:3, 134:7, 134:10 judges [2] - 16:4, 18:21 judgment [3] - 15:7, 15:22, 21:3 July [1] - 26:18 jump [2] - 45:17, 48:5 jumping [1] - 31:21 jumps [1] - 71:18 June [5] - 15:8, 15:23, 85:9, 110:8, 143:9 jurisdiction [2] -121:15, 125:9

Κ

keep [5] - 8:15, 73:16, 95:18, 135:24, 138:25 key [1] - 96:11

kick [1] - 29:11 kids [1] - 91:13 kind [21] - 9:2, 11:17, 11:20, 17:25, 24:11, 78:1, 82:12, 82:23, 83:23, 89:12, 91:14, 94:20, 104:1, 109:25, 114:11, 127:8, 139:12, 139:17, 139:21, 159:18, 159:23 kinds [4] - 12:12, 97:4, 100:18, 108:2 King [2] - 43:4, 62:5 kitchen [1] - 49:5 **knock** [2] - 25:22, 29:24 know .. [1] - 38:24 knowing [1] - 63:3 knowledge [2] - 97:16 knowledgeable [2] -16:9, 83:20 known [1] - 48:25 knows [1] - 31:10 **KYLE** [1] - 3:9 Kyle [2] - 101:12, 111:10 L lack [2] - 49:5 lacking [1] - 30:1 lake [2] - 87:3, 87:5 land [30] - 37:2, 39:1, 44:1, 60:11, 60:13, 60:19, 81:17, 87:2, 88:20, 90:11, 90:20, 92:12, 92:22, 93:2, 93:3, 93:7, 93:9, 93:12, 93:21, 96:24, 98:20, 100:21, 100:25, 105:1, 112:8, 112:20, 112:22, 113:8, 124:18, 139:12 Land [3] - 9:12, 37:17, 122:8 landlord [3] - 77:7, 79:10, 79:15 landlord -owned [1] -79:15 lands [1] - 115:17 landscaping [1] -101:20 language [1] - 122:21 large [5] - 8:11, 8:13, 14:2, 18:24, 128:21 larger [2] - 8:13, 29:15 last [10] - 5:10, 30:23, 45:9, 54:17, 57:17,

69:18,75:12, 106:25, 116:16, 119:3 late [2] - 52:14, 89:23 Laurel [11] - 9:3, 9:8, 9:23, 10:6, 10:23, 11:4, 11:6, 11:25, 13:22, 14:17, 152:20 law [7] - 68:10, 90:23, 90:24, 135:15, 136:2, 141:23, 148:12 laws [2] - 37:18, 135:23 lawsuit [3] - 28:19, 28:24,89:25 lawsuits [2] - 10:19, 92:6 lay [1] - 48:20 layers [3] - 80:9, 80:11, 103:6 layout [1] - 98:5 leads [1] - 7:22 leaks [1] - 113:20 learned [1] - 105:14 learning [1] - 17:23 least [8] - 36:1, 43:1, 57:9, 71:6, 127:4, 128:21, 149:15, 149:20 leave [2] - 44:25, 119:22 Lee [4] - 57:18, 62:3, 131:25, 132:3 left [1] - 138:21 leg [1] - 123:24 legal [3] - 41:14, 41:17, 106:5 legislatively [1] -150:16 legislators [2] -148:16, 149:23 legislature [2] - 12:4, 148.17 legitimate [3] - 24:7, 24:14, 36:2 lend [3] - 103:7, 159:14 lengthier -than -15minute [1] - 7:10 less [7] - 19:25, 20:3, 23:2, 24:9, 66:21, 149:4, 150:6 letter [2] - 119:4, 121:18 level [8] - 15:25, 25:3, 25:6, 25:7, 49:17, 103:22, 103:23, 150:8 levels [4] - 25:12,

49:18, 87:18, 118:14 License [1] - 162:24 Licensed [1] - 1:21 liens [1] - 50:14 lieu [1] - 59:21 life [4] - 90:22, 91:16, 95:3, 112:18 lifestyle [1] - 90:25 light [2] - 137:18, 138:15 lights [1] - 101:20 lightweight [1] - 21:24 likely [2] - 19:14, 73:5 limited [2] - 39:25, 63:25 line [1] - 97:14 lines [5] - 37:5, 41:3, 68:14, 68:19, 153:21 lining [1] - 114:18 list [2] - 120:2, 124:12 listed [4] - 42:25, 48:9, 66:1, 72:13 listen [1] - 46:24 listens [1] - 26:13 literally [3] - 121:11, 124:17, 124:22 litigation [1] - 17:25 live [10] - 9:15, 24:24, 69:21, 80:16, 86:4, 86:17, 86:19, 88:9, 90:16, 113:13 lived [2] - 51:24, 81:20 LiveNote [1] - 2:5 living [4] - 45:20, 86:15, 101:10, 137:8 LLC [1] - 1:23 lobbied [1] - 129:7 lobby [8] - 16:13, 17:11, 17:17, 18:13, 19:3, 19:20, 19:25, 49:10 local [2] - 97:9, 97:16 locally [1] - 148:2 located [1] - 55:13 location [3] - 28:18, 32:5, 138:10 logged [1] - 69:19 logs [2] - 121:9, 121:18 **LOI** [5] - 68:10, 96:20, 109:2, 120:11, 121:5 LOIs [2] - 68:19, 120:18 look [29] - 35:24, 36:6, 37:3, 38:17, 44:5, 69:20, 90:11, 93:9, 93:10, 93:20, 95:13, 96:15,98:17, 108:10, 108:21, 109:12, 109:20,

111:2, 125:13, 126:11, 127:18, 127:19, 135:7, 142:17, 143:25, 144:2, 144:7, 147:10, 147:21 Look [1] - 92:9 looked [7] - 72:16, 72:18, 72:20, 110:22, 122:24, 124:16 looking [24] - 26:4, 34:3, 36:5, 46:23, 60:9, 73:6, 73:18, 82:1, 99:7, 110:18, 112:8, 112:25, 122:20, 127:4, 130:25, 132:21, 132:22, 139:25, 144:12, 144:16, 145:5, 154:4, 154:9, 160:4 **looks** [4] - 93:9, 112:22, 139:11, 143:7 lose [8] - 31:5, 31:20, 33:1, 33:2, 33:3, 34:1, 34:8, 149:17 loses [1] - 28:11 loss [1] - 156:5 **lost** [6] - 31:14, 33:15, 87:8, 87:9, 87:10, 92:19 love [2] - 91:18, 159:9 **low** [18] - 9:13, 13:8, 36:13, 46:1, 46:12, 47:19, 50:19, 52:4, 58:5, 78:6, 103:18, 103:20, 103:23, 103:24, 104:3, 113:12 low-income [8] - 46:1, 46:12, 47:19, 52:4, 58:5, 78:6, 113:12 lower [3] - 35:3, 36:22, 54:10 lowest [1] - 80:22 Μ macadam [1] - 112:18 mail [1] - 109:11 main [1] - 85:23 maintain [2] - 50:14, 78:6 maintaining [2] -75:11, 76:4

maintenance [4] -

80.8

77:14, 78:3, 79:12,

Major [1] - 5:18 major [1] - 148:3 MALKIN [10] - 3:6, 83:14, 84:16, 88:2, 88:15, 88:24, 116:23, 128:8, 129:22, 130:2 Malkin [3] - 83:14, 93:25.128:8 mall [3] - 82:2, 82:7, 82:9 manage [3] - 16:5, 51:14,77:10 managed [2] - 15:24, 57:2 management [7] -15:25,77:10, 113:11, 113:16, 125:14, 125:15, 126:18 managing [1] - 77:5 mandate [1] - 110:7 Mangino [1] - 61:24 manner [1] - 4:20 map [2] - 93:10, 109:20 maps [2] - 68:8, 68:11 March [3] - 14:22, 119:5 market [11] - 22:11, 56:11, 56:14, 56:18, 57:23, 58:11, 64:13, 75:17, 79:15, 145:11, 145:19 market -rate [9] -56:11, 56:14, 56:18, 57:23, 58:11, 64:13, 75:17, 79:15, 145:11 marketplace [1] -78:16 MARY [1] - 1:15 Masci [1] - 5:20 master [6] - 16:8. 16:20, 18:17, 20:18, 39:18, 106:24 MASTER [1] - 1:4 Master [12] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:8, 7:17, 45:3, 82:15, 82:17, 129:17, 129:19, 129:25, 130:12, 139:1 material [2] - 27:12, 120:12 math [5] - 46:21, 66:3, 66:23, 73:10, 73:11 Matt [9] - 38:16, 40:10, 68:17, 75:25, 99:23, 125:7, 139:6, 139:14, 139:22

MATT [1] - 1:18 matter [5] - 2:3, 130:8, 130:13, 137:5, 141:6 matters [2] - 134:5, 151:17 maximize [2] - 147:4 **Mayor** [5] - 5:20, 83:15, 93:25, 128:7, 155:2 **mayor** [2] - 88:10, 94.8 MAYOR [64] - 1:13, 5:19, 35:13, 36:15, 36:18, 37:22, 38:2, 38:5, 39:16, 41:12, 42:3, 42:20, 42:24, 43:8, 43:15, 59:5, 59:9, 60:7, 60:14, 60:17, 61:9, 62:11, 62:25, 63:15, 63:18, 63:21, 64:1, 75:3, 83:14, 87:24, 88:23, 89:1, 89:2, 99:1, 126:20, 127:1, 127:24, 128:3, 128:8, 129:20, 129:22, 130:2, 144:21, 145:16, 145:22, 146:3, 146:6, 146:12, 147:18, 148:15, 149:22, 153:11, 153:18, 153:23, 154:1, 154:8, 154:14, 154:18, 155:4, 156:25, 158:7, 158:13, 158:18, 158:21 **McKinley** [10] - 1:18, 27:10, 32:11, 36:23, 39:21, 45:2, 45:6, 61:6, 107:14, 114:12 McKinley 's [1] - 99:23 mean [15] - 46:19, 93:15, 94:5, 104:12, 124:16, 125:12, 125:13, 129:22, 136:25, 139:8, 141:20, 148:13, 152:12, 152:18, 159:5 meaningful [1] - 156:8 means [6] - 22:8, 45:23, 46:2, 67:8, 123:7, 137:15 meant [1] - 66:11 meantime [1] - 112:6 meanwhile [1] -143:20 measures [1] - 67:14

mechanism [3] -52:17, 52:19, 53:5 mechanisms [1] -66:25 median [5] - 46:3, 46:5, 46:10, 46:14, 47:19 meet [15] - 9:13, 10:10, 11:11, 11:12, 12:2, 12:11, 15:10, 16:17, 17:13, 26:20, 27:14, 50:6, 66:22, 88:16, 88:17 **MEETING** [1] - 1:3 meeting [17] - 4:2, 4:3, 4:8, 4:15, 6:17, 6:20, 10:1, 20:18, 69:5, 89:24, 89:25, 116:16, 157:21, 158:2, 158:15, 158:23, 160:9 meetings [6] - 8:14, 103:14, 128:12, 128:13, 129:6, 159:1 meets [3] - 85:23, 88:5, 134:23 melting [1] - 112:24 member [2] - 69:13, 79:16 **MEMBERS** [2] - 1:10, 160:24 members [4] - 5:9, 69:10, 133:20, 154:11 memorialize [1] -89:21 memorialized [1] -158:23 mention [2] - 90:15, 112:5 mentioned [4] - 51:21, 54:19, 59:17, 71:5 Mercer [8] - 9:20, 18:10, 19:4, 19:7, 19:16, 19:24, 25:24, 46.6 **MERTZ** [65] - 1:18, 39:25, 40:8, 45:5, 46:20, 47:4, 47:10, 47:15, 51:17, 53:9, 58:8, 58:13, 58:19, 59:8, 59:14, 60:24, 61:3, 64:6, 64:19, 64:25, 65:3, 65:5, 66:9, 66:17, 66:23, 68:3, 68:6, 68:16, 70:3, 70:8, 70:12, 71:10, 71:21, 72:5, 72:7, 73:10, 73:13, 73:24, 74:6, 74:12,

74:20, 75:9, 75:19, 75:25, 76:6, 78:19, 78:22, 78:24, 79:2, 84:15, 103:21, 104:16, 105:25, 106:4, 106:8, 106:13, 106:16, 109:19, 118:1, 118:4, 118:9, 122:5, 122:9, 122:23, 123:25 Mertz [1] - 45:6 met [2] - 11:12, 17:21 methodologies [3] -11:7, 12:8, 13:19 methodology [12] -11:2, 11:9, 12:10, 13:17, 13:22, 18:7, 18:19, 18:23, 18:24, 19:2, 25:25, 49:8 methods [2] - 12:10, 15:11 Michael [1] - 94:22 michael [1] - 28:17 **MICHAEL** [2] - 1:17, 3:8 microphone [2] - 8:6, 69:16 mid-2018 [1] - 21:13 middle [1] - 83:23 might [21] - 5:8, 8:12, 8:22, 31:13, 37:9, 37:22, 68:14, 74:23, 90:5, 93:20, 95:25, 96:4, 97:5, 103:9, 128:1, 137:18, 138:5, 138:13, 138:24, 142:25 Mike [34] - 8:23, 20:22, 23:19, 32:8, 32:10, 41:1, 41:20, 44:9, 46:4, 48:9, 49:9, 71:12, 77:9, 88:10, 88:25, 89:9, 95:20, 101:7, 104:19, 107:14, 110:4, 117:4, 118:16, 128:15, 129:11, 130:9, 142:9, 151:5, 151:16, 153:21, 157:8, 159:4, 159:23, 160:2 **MIKE** [2] - 3:7, 3:10 mike [3] - 5:8, 33:9, 45:12 Mike's [1] - 67:17 mile [1] - 116:12 miles [2] - 114:3 Mill [1] - 3:10 million [11] - 59:10,

59:24, 63:13, 63:19, 71:7, 72:1, 73:6, 73:22, 74:1, 74:9, 146:8 Millstone [59] - 1:24, 2:8, 4:3, 4:14, 4:15, 9:18, 13:2, 13:7, 15:20, 16:22, 20:5, 22:14, 22:16, 22:20, 23:8, 23:12, 24:22, 24:23, 25:18, 26:7, 36:12, 47:24, 49:22, 52:5, 52:6, 53:11, 53:13, 54:5, 55:4, 55:6, 57:19, 58:1, 58:16, 67:10, 69:22, 72:22, 80:20, 80:22, 81:6, 81:10, 81:14, 83:24, 85:24, 86:5, 86:15, 87:21, 90:16, 90:25, 92:23, 100:9, 100:12, 116:15, 117:7, 123:2, 129:5, 129:6, 150:9 **MILLSTONE** [3] - 1:1, 1:5. 2:7 **Millstone 's** [4] - 19:19, 20:4, 45:18, 81:19 mind [4] - 33:7, 62:10, 134:4, 157:23 mine [1] - 67:17 minimize [1] - 123:8 minimum [2] - 66:22, 84:20 minor [1] - 159:3 minute [5] - 8:16, 38:6, 58:7, 68:25, 138:9 minutes [6] - 4:7, 6:16, 6:17, 7:1, 62:17,69:1 mirror [1] - 55:24 misrepresented [1] -47:9 missing [1] - 39:4 **misspoke** [1] - 73:2 **MITCHELL** [2] - 1:11, 5.4mitigate [1] - 100:5 moderate [8] - 9:13, 13:8, 45:25, 46:8, 50:19, 58:5, 103:20, 103:22 modification [1] -111:3 moment [2] - 69:11, 117:23 money [18] - 21:21, 59:10, 59:11, 59:24, 60:1, 60:8, 65:12,

67:19, 74:2, 74:17, 75:10, 90:3, 90:7, 92:18, 93:6, 98:19, 134:22, 146:4 monitors [1] - 76:12 **MONMOUTH** [1] - 1:2 Monmouth [5] - 9:19, 16:22, 46:5, 50:9, 50:20 Monroe [1] - 33:17 monstrosity [1] -112:9 **month** [2] - 5:10, 108:6 months [5] - 18:20, 81:21, 107:16, 107:21, 142:24 moribund [2] - 14:25, 17:4 morning [1] - 89:24 **most** [9] - 16:1, 19:14, 41:24, 52:1, 52:2, 84:22, 85:6, 86:14, 101:12 mother [1] - 114:13 motion [34] - 14:10, 117:16, 130:15, 151:4, 151:6, 151:7, 151:14, 151:17, 151:23, 151:24, 152:3, 152:7, 152:11, 152:12, 152:13, 152:14, 153:3, 153:4, 153:9, 153:17, 153:19, 154:11, 154:12, 154:19, 154:20, 154:22, 154:24, 156:24, 157:6, 157:10, 157:16, 160:19 motions [1] - 151:18 Mount [11] - 9:3, 9:8, 9:23, 10:6, 10:23, 11:4, 11:6, 11:25, 13:21, 14:16, 152:20 mounted [1] - 139:10 mouse [1] - 128:19 move [7] - 7:15, 57:9, 86:14, 86:16, 108:4, 108:6, 141:20 moved [1] - 86:2 movement [1] -144.14moves [2] - 41:21, 133:9 moving [3] - 42:7, 60:21,84:20 **MR** [240] - 5:11, 5:17, 5:22, 6:3, 6:9, 9:1,

21.5 21.0 21.12	101.01 100.1	27.02 20.4 20.05	10.16 10.01 15.5	noodo (7) 0:14 40:2
21:5, 21:8, 21:12, 23:24, 24:2, 24:22,	121:21, 122:1, 122:7, 122:11,	37:23, 38:4, 39:25, 40:8, 45:5, 46:20,	12:16, 12:21, 15:5, 15:6, 16:12, 16:14,	needs [7] - 9:14, 49:2,
25:1, 28:17, 29:1,	122:17, 122:11, 122:19,	47:4, 47:10, 47:15,	19:6, 19:12, 49:2,	50:17, 101:13,
29:4, 29:8, 30:8,	122:13, 122:19, 122:24, 124:4,	51:17, 53:9, 58:8,	52:22, 52:24, 53:1,	101:15, 137:18, 157:14
30:11, 30:19, 30:22,	124:15, 125:5,	58:19, 59:8, 59:14,	57:7, 149:4	negative [1] - 110:2
30:24, 31:1, 31:17,	125:8, 125:11,	60:24, 61:3, 63:8,	municipality 's [5] -	-
31:22, 31:25, 32:5,	125:20, 125:22,	63:11, 63:16, 63:20,		negotiate [4] - 34:23,
32:12, 32:15, 32:19,	125:25, 126:4,	63:22, 64:6, 64:19,	16:20, 18:15, 49:11,	102:19, 102:24,
33:3, 33:12, 33:16,	126:12, 126:15,	64:25, 65:3, 65:5,	53:19, 56:6	113:25
33:20, 34:4, 34:12,	126:12, 127:13,	66:9, 66:17, 66:23,	municipally [9] - 22:2,	negotiated [1] - 28:5
34:17, 34:20, 34:25,	, ,	68:1, 68:3, 68:6,	29:21, 29:25, 35:14,	negotiating [1] - 58:23
35:6, 36:13, 36:17,	127:17, 127:21, 129:24, 130:1,	68:16, 70:3, 70:8,	44:17, 44:23,	negotiation [3] -
37:25, 38:16, 38:19,	130:17, 131:4,	70:12, 71:10, 71:21,	144:24, 146:19, 147:8	16:25, 17:1, 20:19
38:21, 38:22, 38:23,	131:7, 131:14,	72:4, 72:5, 72:7,		negotiations [2] -
38:25, 39:1, 39:3,	131:20, 132:2,	73:10, 73:13, 73:24,	must [1] - 11:4	49:10, 57:17
39:6, 39:7, 39:11,	132:5, 132:8,	74:6, 74:12, 74:20,	muster [3] - 27:3,	neighbor [2] - 139:9
39:14, 40:12, 40:16,	132:15, 132:20,	75:9, 75:19, 75:25,	79:24, 98:8	neighbor 's [1] -
40:21, 40:25, 41:8,	133:7, 133:14,	76:6, 78:19, 78:22,	mute [1] - 5:3	115:22
40.21, 40.23, 41.8, 41:22, 42:18, 42:23,	133:21, 133:24,	79:2, 84:15, 88:2,	myriad [1] - 101:21	neighboring [3] -
41.22, 42.18, 42.23, 43:6, 43:12, 46:16,	134:5, 134:12,	88:15, 88:24,	N 1	97:12, 103:9, 159:12
51:15, 69:21, 70:6,	134:17, 135:2,	103:21, 104:16,	N	neighbors [3] - 97:12,
70:9, 70:11, 71:4,	135:16, 136:6,	105:25, 106:4,	N.A.A.C.P [1] - 9:7	108:9, 156:9
70:9, 70:11, 71:4, 71:20, 71:25, 72:6,	143:1, 143:5, 144:2,	106:8, 106:13,		never [6] - 14:7, 83:19,
73:4, 73:12, 73:18,	144:6, 144:9,	106:16, 109:19,	nail [1] - 43:20 name [12] - 8:8, 44:19,	112:1, 141:6,
73:25, 74:8, 75:12,	144:18, 145:14,	118:1, 118:4, 118:9,		141:12, 141:16
75:22, 76:1, 76:7,	145:18, 147:15,	122:5, 122:9,	45:6, 48:15, 69:17, 69:18, 80:16, 89:7,	nevertheless [1] -
76:10, 76:22, 77:1,	148:17, 148:21,	122:23, 123:25,	101:7, 111:10,	140:22
77:12, 78:15, 78:21,	148:22, 149:3,	126:3, 142:9, 143:3,	117:4, 117:6	New [12] - 1:24, 2:6,
78:23, 79:3, 79:5,	149:9, 149:18,	143:20, 144:4,	names [1] - 139:2	2:9, 15:21, 17:6,
79:6, 79:8, 79:9,	152:12, 153:10,	144:8, 144:14,	NANCY [1] - 1:13	17:16, 24:4, 48:24,
80:4, 80:13, 80:15,	155:9, 155:11,	144:20, 147:24,	Nancy [9] - 37:8, 41:1,	72:20, 128:9, 160:5,
88:13, 88:18, 89:9,	155:17, 155:19,	148:20, 148:24,		162:4
96:18, 97:18, 97:24,	157:11, 157:19,	149:7, 149:15,	41:23, 53:16, 60:4, 61:4, 98:24, 111:1,	new [18] - 12:7, 13:25,
98:15, 99:3, 99:10,	158:4, 158:10,	149:19, 154:20,	145:23	14:5, 48:8, 50:4,
100:6, 100:10,	158:16, 159:8,	155:7, 155:13,	narrow [1] - 85:4	50:5, 50:22, 51:15,
100:15, 101:7,	159:21, 160:15,	157:2, 157:5		51:19, 64:9, 72:21,
102:12, 102:13,	160:21	multifamily [5] - 67:9,	narrowed [2] - 49:17, 49:19	73:16, 75:10,
102:25, 103:17,	MRS [43] - 4:7, 5:16,	67:25, 71:9, 75:14,	national [1] - 84:4	116:22, 117:1,
103:25, 104:7,	5:18, 5:20, 5:23,	75:16	nature [1] - 141:4	123:18, 159:2
104:9, 104:10,	5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 6:6,	multiple [1] - 25:12	near [4] - 25:9, 88:6,	NEW [1] - 1:2
104:12, 104:22,	6:8, 6:10, 6:12, 6:15,	multiply [1] - 36:19	99:13, 126:23	Newman [3] - 5:23,
105:3, 105:5, 105:8,	6:17, 6:21, 6:24,	municipal [9] - 9:17,	99:13, 126:23 necessarily [3] -	25:17, 156:1
106:17, 106:23,	78:25, 96:6, 111:10,	11:1, 25:2, 25:3,	34:18, 119:12,	NEWMAN [150] - 1:11,
108:14, 108:18,	119:20, 120:1,	25:4, 25:6, 56:1,	34:18, 119:12, 126:11	4:1, 5:13, 5:24, 6:14,
108:25, 109:3,	155:2, 155:5, 155:8,	74:5, 119:8	necessary [1] - 117:2	6:16, 6:19, 6:22,
109:6, 109:10,	155:10, 155:12,	MUNICIPAL [1] - 2:7		6:25, 20:22, 21:6, 21:0, 22:10, 22:25
109:14, 109:16,	155:16, 155:18,	municipal 's [1] - 18:6	Neck [3] - 24:17, 24:21 24:25	21:9, 23:19, 23:25,
109:18, 109:21,	155:20, 155:22,	municipal -	24:21, 24:25	24:20, 31:4, 31:18,
109:23, 109:25,	156:1, 156:23,	sponsored [1] - 74:5	need [30] - 8:24,	31:23, 32:8, 32:13,
110:6, 111:2, 111:4,	157:3, 157:6,	municipalities [15] -	10:10, 11:20, 29:12, 48:10, 48:12, 48:23	37:8, 39:9, 39:12,
111:6, 111:7, 117:3,	157:22, 158:1,	10:8, 10:9, 11:25,	48:10, 48:12, 48:23, 48:25, 49:19, 49:20,	39:20, 40:4, 40:9, 40:14, 40:17, 40:22
118:3, 118:6,	158:6, 158:9,	13:20, 17:12, 17:24,	48:25, 49:19, 49:20, 49:23, 49:25, 50:3,	40:14, 40:17, 40:22,
118:23, 119:15,	158:19, 158:24,	18:3, 54:12, 56:20,	49.23, 49.25, 50.3, 50:5, 50:6, 54:24,	41:1, 41:9, 41:19, 45:1, 53:7, 58:6
119:25, 120:5,	160:8, 160:12,	117:13, 119:1,	65:2, 65:3, 73:16,	45:1, 53:7, 58:6, 58:9, 58:16, 60:4
120:13, 120:15,	160:16	119:7, 150:17,	73:19, 87:15,	58:9, 58:16, 60:4, 60:11, 60:15, 60:18
120:19, 120:20,	MS [110] - 6:1, 6:11,	150:20	115:12, 135:11,	60:11, 60:15, 60:18, 60:25, 61:4, 62:8,
120:21, 120:22,	32:1, 33:1, 33:9,	municipality [25] -	136:9, 144:3,	62:15, 62:19, 62:23,
120:24, 120:25,	33:14, 33:18, 33:24,	9:10, 10:1, 10:14,	145:19, 147:17,	63:10, 64:4, 64:17,
121:2, 121:4, 121:6,	34:6, 34:8, 34:10,	10:16, 10:21, 11:3,	149:7, 157:13	66:6, 66:11, 68:5,
121:7, 121:14,	34:11, 34:14, 34:19,	11:10, 12:9, 12:11,	needed [1] - 148:1	68:14, 68:21, 69:4,
121:17, 121:20,	34:22, 35:2, 35:7,	. ,		00.17, 00.21, 00.4,

73:15, 77:7, 77:15,	none [5] - 7:14, 43:4,	nutrients [1] - 124:24	19:15, 19:18, 22:18,	65:20, 65:23, 70:25,
			22:24, 27:19, 32:15,	105:23, 107:8,
77:19, 78:7, 78:13,	65:16, 130:5, 154:25	0		
79:13, 80:6, 80:14,	nonprofit [1] - 118:23	0	36:17, 36:19, 36:24,	127:18, 133:6,
83:12, 89:6, 95:20,	normally [1] - 76:10	eeth w E:10	37:14, 39:14, 41:22,	133:15, 156:8,
96:8, 97:7, 97:19,	nose [1] - 23:23	oath [1] - 5:12	46:12, 47:3, 48:15,	156:19
98:10, 98:23, 99:9,	not [1] - 141:10	objection [1] - 154:19	52:21, 53:22, 54:10,	oppose [1] - 82:24
99:21, 100:8,	Notary [2] - 2:6, 162:3	objective [1] - 24:9	54:11, 56:19, 57:18,	opposed [1] - 23:1
100:13, 101:3,	note [2] - 66:25, 124:1	obligation [27] - 9:11,	57:25, 58:3, 58:13,	opposite [2] - 84:24,
101:24, 102:14,	notes [1] - 2:2	9:16, 10:2, 10:15,	65:7, 65:17, 65:18,	111:23
103:1, 106:2, 106:9,	nothing [7] - 21:1,	11:1, 11:5, 11:10,	66:14, 66:19, 66:25,	opposition [1] -
106:14, 106:21,	104:7, 120:14,	14:18, 14:19, 16:17,	68:1, 68:3, 68:7,	159:13
107:1, 108:15,	132:5, 143:10,	17:21, 27:15, 52:4,	70:19, 70:24, 71:14,	option [1] - 93:11
108:23, 109:1,	151:3, 162:8	53:19, 53:24, 54:1,	72:8, 75:12, 75:18,	options [4] - 92:12,
109:5, 109:8,		54:2, 56:10, 70:1,	75:22, 76:16, 77:24,	92:19, 93:2, 93:7
109:22, 109:24,	Notice [1] - 4:6	88:14, 88:17, 89:19,	77:25, 78:2, 78:13,	order [8] - 4:2, 18:5,
110:4, 110:25,	notice [9] - 4:8, 96:5,	90:10, 118:21,	78:16, 79:1, 80:11,	•••
111:8, 116:20,	96:9, 108:18,	130:9, 151:14	84:14, 84:18, 85:20,	22:12, 51:5, 69:5,
116:25, 118:7,	108:21, 109:10,	obligations [2] - 12:2,	87:21, 90:14, 93:5,	131:8, 134:6, 145:20
118:16, 119:11,	109:11, 109:19,	-	97:21, 90:14, 93:5, 97:4, 103:5, 107:13,	ordered [1] - 134:14
	128:12	63:4		ordinance [25] - 67:6,
120:3, 121:24,	Notterman [1] - 92:23	obvious [1] - 151:18	109:1, 112:10,	72:12, 107:3, 107:9,
122:18, 124:10,	Novad [2] - 52:8,	obviously [6] - 39:21,	112:11, 112:12,	107:14, 108:8,
127:15, 127:19,	55:14	80:11, 117:8, 121:9,	112:25, 114:3,	108:10, 108:11,
128:1, 128:4, 130:3,	November [1] - 55:21	124:11, 151:7	114:14, 116:14,	117:22, 121:22,
130:24, 131:6,	nuclear [1] - 129:3	occasion [1] - 35:17	116:15, 117:15,	121:25, 122:8,
131:9, 131:15,	number [61] - 11:1,	occasions [1] - 35:17	117:20, 119:7,	122:10, 123:1,
131:25, 132:7,	11:9, 11:16, 11:21,	occupied [3] - 50:18,	121:9, 122:25,	123:5, 123:23,
132:11, 132:18,	12:9, 12:11, 12:12,	52:15, 55:8	125:4, 129:4,	131:18, 131:22,
133:1, 133:8,	12:13, 13:4, 13:5,	occupying [1] - 92:14	151:21, 159:2,	131:23, 132:4,
133:19, 133:22,		occur [5] - 27:21,	160:17	133:4, 133:6,
134:9, 134:15,	15:10, 15:12, 18:7,	36:8, 101:14,	one-and-a-half [1] -	134:16, 134:18
134:19, 137:12,	18:14, 19:15, 19:18,	101:15, 106:19	75:18	Ordinance [1] - 122:3
137:19, 138:1,	19:19, 19:20, 19:21,		one-and-a-third [1] -	
141:9, 141:18,	19:23, 20:11, 23:14,	Ocean [2] - 9:19, 46:6	54:11	ordinances [3] -
151:2, 153:2,	25:23, 25:24, 28:16,	October [1] - 26:24	one-fifth [3] - 77:24,	10:18, 119:2, 131:20
153:16, 153:20,	29:12, 29:15, 30:1,	OF [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:5,	77:25, 78:2	organization [1] -
153:24, 154:7,	30:2, 30:5, 30:17,	1:7, 2:7		118:24
154:10, 154:15,	31:8, 31:16, 33:22,	offer [1] - 95:24	one-page [1] - 39:14	original [4] - 34:20,
154:21, 156:2,	34:21, 35:9, 36:20,	offering [1] - 94:17	one-time [2] - 68:1,	35:9, 75:4, 84:10
	42:6, 46:14, 46:18,	Office [1] - 81:8	68:3	originally [2] - 34:22,
157:7, 157:14,	46:19, 48:19, 50:1,	office [3] - 45:8, 51:2,	ones [8] - 57:3, 75:11,	59:19
157:24, 158:22,	50:5, 54:13, 56:22,	92:2	75:17, 79:3, 79:4,	otherwise [3] - 7:12,
159:4, 159:9,	71:18, 73:17, 84:1,	official [2] - 25:4,	90:5, 96:13, 141:12	79:17, 96:10
159:22, 160:18,	85:13, 87:25,	142:6	ongoing [2] - 77:14,	outcome [2] - 142:11,
160:22, 160:25	110:23, 145:1,	officially [1] - 128:11	79:11	142:12
next [16] - 6:20, 23:15,	145:6, 145:8,	officials [3] - 83:9,	onus [1] - 110:19	outcry [1] - 12:3
23:24, 24:2, 31:6,	145:10, 145:11,	94:1, 119:4	open [9] - 7:11, 8:3,	outline [1] - 132:10
40:18, 55:23, 57:25,	145:19, 147:4	offset [1] - 59:12	62:10, 69:12, 81:8,	outlined [2] - 94:22,
66:5, 89:7, 89:24,	numbers [24] - 8:13,		98:16, 98:20,	156:14
110:9, 131:16,	17:12, 17:13, 18:16,	often [2] - 67:10, 98:2	105:21, 105:23	
134:20, 157:20		old [1] - 120:18	opened [2] - 44:4,	outreach [1] - 94:15
night [1] - 41:21	19:15, 20:9, 20:10, 21:20, 20:18, 40:2	Old [1] - 160:5	140:13	outs [1] - 94:3
nine [2] - 156:23,	21:20, 30:18, 40:2,	older [1] - 117:6	opens [1] - 31:19	outset [2] - 18:3,
157:3	43:17, 44:1, 47:17,	on-site [1] - 23:9	•	132:17
nJ [1] - 1:21	49:9, 49:15, 49:16,	on-the-ground [1] -	operates [1] - 76:12	outside [1] - 102:5
NJDEP [1] - 25:12	64:12, 72:5, 72:13,	97:16	opinion [2] - 38:23,	overall [1] - 49:20
no " [2] - 154:14,	73:25, 132:24,	once [14] - 11:16,	135:25	overcrowding [1] -
••	145:15, 146:2,	14:5, 23:10, 26:11,	opinions [1] - 19:8	49:5
154:15	150:10	31:20, 33:1, 33:3,	opportunities [1] -	overlay [3] - 117:25,
nobody [8] - 31:10,	numerous [1] - 39:4	50:16, 76:3, 82:12,	156:16	118:8, 118:9
47:7, 49:24, 116:4,	nurse [1] - 114:12	86:8, 87:9, 117:17	opportunity [18] - 7:7,	overlays [1] - 118:11
116:5, 140:16,	nurses [1] - 47:22	One [2] - 78:25	8:2, 8:4, 8:19, 9:12,	overload [1] - 115:2
140:20, 140:21	nutrient [1] - 121:13		26:16, 30:20, 30:22,	
		one [79] - 11:19,		overnight [2] - 83:5,

83:6	PAMELA [1] - 1:19	people [35] - 16:16,	perhaps [5] - 24:9,	127:13, 127:17,
oversee [2] - 16:3,	panning [1] - 144:10	19:4, 24:10, 24:11,	95:25, 97:14, 134:6,	127:21
76:13	paper [2] - 28:8, 82:1	29:18, 45:21, 46:25,	151:12	Pisauro [1] - 117:4
oversight [1] - 80:10	paper [2] = 20.0, 02.1 park [1] - 85:18	78:4, 82:16, 86:3,	period [7] - 7:10,	pits [1] - 142:8
overstate [1] - 108:5	Park [3] - 4:10, 53:12	86:4, 86:5, 86:7,	13:12, 25:20, 51:11,	place [8] - 9:14, 36:3,
overstate [1] - 100.3		86:14, 86:16, 90:15,	51:22, 150:9, 157:18	61:1, 72:22, 91:18,
	parking [1] - 98:4	91:9, 91:15, 91:20,	periods [1] - 12:24	98:22, 142:12,
overuse [1] - 28:22 overview [1] - 32:9	part [20] - 14:2, 16:1,	94:1, 95:1, 95:6,	permanent [1] - 112:5	162:12
	17:10, 18:10, 18:24,	104:3, 113:3, 113:7,	permit [2] - 105:2,	placed [2] - 50:17,
own [7] - 4:25, 50:8,	21:9, 32:6, 40:20,	113:15, 113:17,	120:13	151:4
68:12, 100:2, 137:1,	60:12, 70:16, 75:1,	114:24, 128:16,		places [4] - 76:13,
140:4, 140:21	78:9, 88:25, 107:14,	133:16, 137:8,	permits [1] - 39:5	•
owned [1] - 79:15	123:13, 126:9,	140:3, 140:18,	permitted [2] - 26:6,	105:22, 105:24, 114:18
owner [4] - 31:5,	129:24, 130:11,	150:21, 150:25	71:18	
65:10, 96:16, 145:18	131:12	people 's [1] - 46:25	permitting [1] - 68:18	PLAN [2] - 1:4, 1:5
ownership [1] - 77:25	participants [2] - 4:19,	PEPE [57] - 1:14, 6:5,	perplexed [1] - 83:17	plan [85] - 9:11, 15:9,
owns [1] - 87:22	4:24	28:17, 29:4, 30:24,	Perrineville [7] - 3:5,	16:24, 21:15, 21:17,
Oxley [2] - 5:25,	participating [1] -		3:8, 3:9, 80:17,	21:18, 22:17, 24:17,
155:12	21:2	34:12, 34:17, 34:20,	81:24, 101:8, 111:11	26:15, 26:20, 27:2,
oXLEY [3] - 33:18,	participation [1] -	34:25, 35:6, 36:13, 36:17, 38:16, 38:21	person [6] - 16:4,	28:20, 30:10, 32:6,
34:6, 34:10	133:5	36:17, 38:16, 38:21, 38:23, 30:1, 30:6	46:7, 46:11, 46:15,	32:16, 32:17, 35:16,
OXLEY [19] - 1:13,	particular [7] - 24:18,	38:23, 39:1, 39:6, 46:16, 46:22, 47:5,	115:5, 160:17	36:3, 36:4, 36:5,
6:1, 33:9, 33:14,	35:25, 38:13, 43:17,		person 's [1] - 4:23	36:9, 37:7, 37:21,
33:24, 34:16, 142:9,	117:10, 129:23,	47:11, 64:23, 65:1,	persons [2] - 9:13,	39:15, 41:7, 45:9,
143:3, 143:20,	147:17	65:4, 66:13, 66:18,	9:21	45:10, 45:16, 45:18,
144:4, 144:8,	particularly [3] -	74:18, 76:14, 76:23,	perspective [1] -	48:20, 55:12, 64:11,
144:14, 144:20,	24:16, 27:11, 96:13	77:4, 77:16, 77:22,	39:22	68:9, 69:24, 70:13,
148:20, 148:24,	particulars [1] - 102:6	78:11, 105:16,	pertain [1] - 7:6	72:8, 72:10, 72:11,
149:7, 149:15,	parties [4] - 16:11,	106:6, 122:14,	pertinent [1] - 104:15	72:13, 81:7, 82:24,
149:19, 155:13	27:25, 28:1, 162:15	122:16, 125:4,	petition [1] - 28:2	97:3, 104:10, 105:9,
	partners [1] - 147:12	125:6, 127:22,	phones [1] - 5:3	106:1, 107:11,
_		134 1 135 3		
Р	parts [2] - 108:11,	134:1, 135:3,	pick [3] - 50:21, 78:2,	107:19, 108:22,
	parts [2] - 108:11, 141:3	135:20, 136:14,	pick [3] - 50:21, 78:2, 100:11	108:24, 109:12,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] -	-	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25,		108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4	141:3	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11,	100:11	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] -	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4,	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive [1] - 82:1	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive [1] - 82:1 percent [41] - 22:2,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13,	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive [1] - 82:1 percent [41] - 22:2, 22:15, 22:17, 22:23,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive [1] - 82:1 percent [41] - 22:2, 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16,	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive [1] - 82:1 percent [41] - 22:2, 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13,	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive [1] - 82:1 percent [41] - 22:2, 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe $[3] - 6:4$, 155:20, 155:23 per $[4] - 54:11$, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive $[1] - 82:1$ percent $[41] - 22:2$, 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe $[3] - 6:4$, 155:20, 155:23 per $[4] - 54:11$, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive $[1] - 82:1$ percent $[41] - 22:2$, 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5, 74:1	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18 payment [1] - 59:20	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe $[3] - 6:4, 155:20,$ 155:23 per $[4] - 54:11, 72:2,$ 73:7, 73:20 perceive $[1] - 82:1$ perceive $[1] - 82:1$ percent $[41] - 22:2,$ 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:16, 57:13, 57:21, 58:1,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5, 120:15, 120:20,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14, 157:17, 157:18 Plan [29] - 7:3, 7:6,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5, 74:1 Page [2] - 122:4,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18 payment [1] - 59:20 PDF [1] - 122:18	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive [1] - 82:1 percent [41] - 22:2, 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:16,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5, 120:15, 120:20, 120:22, 120:25,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14, 157:17, 157:18 Plan [29] - 7:3, 7:6,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5, 74:1 Page [2] - 122:4, 123:5	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18 payment [1] - 59:20 PDF [1] - 122:18 peak [1] - 126:19	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe $[3] - 6:4, 155:20,$ 155:23 per $[4] - 54:11, 72:2,$ 73:7, 73:20 perceive $[1] - 82:1$ perceive $[1] - 82:1$ percent $[41] - 22:2,$ 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:16, 57:13, 57:21, 58:1, 58:9, 59:22, 64:14, 67:3, 67:16, 71:7,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5, 120:15, 120:20, 120:22, 120:25, 121:4, 121:7,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14, 157:17, 157:18 Plan [29] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:8, 7:17, 7:18, 9:24,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5, 74:1 Page [2] - 122:4, 123:5 pages [1] - 122:15	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18 payment [1] - 59:20 PDF [1] - 122:18 peak [1] - 126:19 pedestrian [2] - 99:12,	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe $[3] - 6:4, 155:20,$ 155:23 per $[4] - 54:11, 72:2,$ 73:7, 73:20 perceive $[1] - 82:1$ perceive $[1] - 82:1$ percent $[41] - 22:2,$ 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:16, 57:13, 57:21, 58:1, 58:9, 59:22, 64:14,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5, 120:15, 120:20, 120:22, 120:25, 121:4, 121:7, 121:17, 121:21,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14, 157:17, 157:18 Plan [29] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:8, 7:17, 7:18, 9:24, 26:9, 27:9, 30:9, 30:21, 38:25, 40:6, 45:3, 82:15, 82:17,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5, 74:1 Page [2] - 122:4, 123:5 pages [1] - 122:15 paid [2] - 53:13, 99:25	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18 payment [1] - 59:20 PDF [1] - 122:18 peak [1] - 126:19 pedestrian [2] - 99:12, 104:1	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe $[3] - 6:4, 155:20,$ 155:23 per $[4] - 54:11, 72:2,$ 73:7, 73:20 perceive $[1] - 82:1$ perceive $[1] - 82:1$ percent $[41] - 22:2,$ 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:16, 57:13, 57:21, 58:1, 58:9, 59:22, 64:14, 67:3, 67:16, 71:7,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5, 120:15, 120:20, 120:22, 120:25, 121:4, 121:7, 121:17, 121:21, 122:1, 122:7,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14, 157:17, 157:18 Plan [29] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:8, 7:17, 7:18, 9:24, 26:9, 27:9, 30:9, 30:21, 38:25, 40:6,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5, 74:1 Page [2] - 122:4, 123:5 pages [1] - 122:15 paid [2] - 53:13, 99:25 pain [2] - 119:9, 124:9	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18 payment [1] - 59:20 PDF [1] - 122:18 pedestrian [2] - 99:12, 104:1 PEG [1] - 4:16	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe $_{[3]}$ - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per $_{[4]}$ - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive $_{[1]}$ - 82:1 percent $_{[41]}$ - 22:2, 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:16, 57:13, 57:21, 58:1, 58:9, 59:22, 64:14, 67:3, 67:16, 71:7, 71:14, 71:15, 71:19,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5, 120:15, 120:20, 120:22, 120:25, 121:4, 121:7, 121:17, 121:21, 122:1, 122:7, 122:11, 122:15,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14, 157:17, 157:18 Plan [29] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:8, 7:17, 7:18, 9:24, 26:9, 27:9, 30:9, 30:21, 38:25, 40:6, 45:3, 82:15, 82:17, 124:16, 129:17, 129:19, 129:25,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5, 74:1 Page [2] - 122:4, 123:5 pages [1] - 122:15 paid [2] - 53:13, 99:25 pain [2] - 119:9, 124:9 pale [1] - 86:7	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18 payment [1] - 59:20 PDF [1] - 122:18 peak [1] - 126:19 pedestrian [2] - 99:12, 104:1 PEG [1] - 4:16 Peggy [2] - 83:14,	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe $[3] - 6:4, 155:20,$ 155:23 per $[4] - 54:11, 72:2,$ 73:7, 73:20 perceive $[1] - 82:1$ perceive $[1] - 82:1$ percent $[41] - 22:2,$ 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:16, 57:13, 57:21, 58:1, 58:9, 59:22, 64:14, 67:3, 67:16, 71:7, 71:14, 71:15, 71:19, 72:3, 73:5, 73:9,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5, 120:15, 120:20, 120:22, 120:25, 121:4, 121:7, 121:17, 121:21, 122:1, 122:7, 122:11, 122:15, 122:19, 122:24,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14, 157:17, 157:18 Plan [29] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:8, 7:17, 7:18, 9:24, 26:9, 27:9, 30:9, 30:21, 38:25, 40:6, 45:3, 82:15, 82:17, 124:16, 129:17,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5, 74:1 Page [2] - 122:4, 123:5 pages [1] - 122:15 paid [2] - 53:13, 99:25 pain [2] - 119:9, 124:9 pale [1] - 86:7 Pam [7] - 4:5, 5:14,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18 payment [1] - 59:20 PDF [1] - 122:18 peak [1] - 126:19 pedestrian [2] - 99:12, 104:1 PEG [1] - 4:16 Peggy [2] - 83:14, 128:8	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe $[3] - 6:4, 155:20,$ 155:23 per $[4] - 54:11, 72:2,$ 73:7, 73:20 perceive $[1] - 82:1$ percent $[41] - 22:2,$ 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:16, 57:13, 57:21, 58:1, 58:9, 59:22, 64:14, 67:3, 67:16, 71:7, 71:14, 71:15, 71:19, 72:3, 73:5, 73:9, 73:22, 74:15, 75:14,	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5, 120:15, 120:20, 120:22, 120:25, 121:4, 121:7, 121:17, 121:21, 122:11, 122:7, 122:11, 122:7, 122:19, 122:24, 124:4, 124:15,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14, 157:17, 157:18 Plan [29] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:8, 7:17, 7:18, 9:24, 26:9, 27:9, 30:9, 30:21, 38:25, 40:6, 45:3, 82:15, 82:17, 124:16, 129:17, 129:19, 129:25,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5, 74:1 Page [2] - 122:4, 123:5 pages [1] - 122:15 paid [2] - 53:13, 99:25 pain [2] - 119:9, 124:9 pale [1] - 86:7 Pam [7] - 4:5, 5:14, 96:5, 154:25,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18 payment [1] - 59:20 PDF [1] - 122:18 pedestrian [2] - 99:12, 104:1 PEG [1] - 4:16 Peggy [2] - 83:14, 128:8 PEGGY [1] - 3:6	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive [1] - 82:1 percent [41] - 22:2, 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:16, 57:13, 57:21, 58:1, 58:9, 59:22, 64:14, 67:3, 67:16, 71:7, 71:14, 71:15, 71:19, 72:3, 73:5, 73:9, 73:22, 74:15, 75:14, 75:18, 103:22	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5, 120:15, 120:20, 120:22, 120:25, 121:4, 121:7, 122:11, 122:15, 122:11, 122:15, 122:19, 122:24, 124:4, 124:15, 125:5, 125:11,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14, 157:17, 157:18 Plan [29] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:8, 7:17, 7:18, 9:24, 26:9, 27:9, 30:9, 30:21, 38:25, 40:6, 45:3, 82:15, 82:17, 124:16, 129:17, 129:19, 129:25, 130:12, 130:21,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5, 74:1 Page [2] - 122:4, 123:5 pages [1] - 122:15 paid [2] - 53:13, 99:25 pain [2] - 119:9, 124:9 pale [1] - 86:7 Pam [7] - 4:5, 5:14, 96:5, 154:25, 158:16, 160:6,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18 payment [1] - 59:20 PDF [1] - 122:18 peak [1] - 126:19 pedestrian [2] - 99:12, 104:1 PEG [1] - 4:16 Peggy [2] - 83:14, 128:8 PEGGY [1] - 3:6 pencil [1] - 83:4	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive [1] - 82:1 perceive [1] - 82:1 percent [41] - 22:2, 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:16, 57:13, 57:21, 58:1, 58:9, 59:22, 64:14, 67:3, 67:16, 71:7, 71:14, 71:15, 71:19, 72:3, 73:5, 73:9, 73:22, 74:15, 75:14, 75:18, 103:22 percentage [1] - 67:11	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5, 120:15, 120:20, 120:22, 120:25, 121:4, 121:7, 122:11, 122:7, 122:11, 122:7, 122:19, 122:24, 124:4, 124:15, 125:5, 125:11, 125:22, 126:4,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14, 157:17, 157:18 Plan [29] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:8, 7:17, 7:18, 9:24, 26:9, 27:9, 30:9, 30:21, 38:25, 40:6, 45:3, 82:15, 82:17, 124:16, 129:17, 129:19, 129:25, 130:12, 130:21, 131:10, 139:1,
P-I-S-A-U-R-O [1] - 117:4 P.E [1] - 1:18 P.L [1] - 4:9 p.m [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 161:3 P.P [1] - 1:18 package [6] - 27:14, 124:23, 146:1, 146:3 packet [3] - 120:15, 121:22, 122:2 PADO [3] - 1:14, 6:3, 155:17 Pado [2] - 6:2, 155:16 page [6] - 23:24, 24:2, 39:14, 72:4, 72:5, 74:1 Page [2] - 122:4, 123:5 pages [1] - 122:15 paid [2] - 53:13, 99:25 pain [2] - 119:9, 124:9 pale [1] - 86:7 Pam [7] - 4:5, 5:14, 96:5, 154:25,	141:3 party [2] - 17:6, 60:22 pass [3] - 27:2, 81:4, 98:8 passed [1] - 158:14 passes [1] - 79:24 past [2] - 37:10, 102:3 Patel [1] - 159:2 Path [2] - 52:10, 55:5 path [1] - 31:5 pause [1] - 156:18 pave [1] - 87:1 paved [2] - 87:13, 102:4 pay [8] - 52:24, 60:16, 60:19, 67:11, 77:13, 137:2, 137:21 paying [1] - 113:18 payment [1] - 59:20 PDF [1] - 122:18 pedestrian [2] - 99:12, 104:1 PEG [1] - 4:16 Peggy [2] - 83:14, 128:8 PEGGY [1] - 3:6	135:20, 136:14, 137:17, 137:25, 138:7, 141:11, 141:22, 145:23, 146:5, 146:9, 152:9, 154:17, 155:21, 155:24, 160:11 Pepe [3] - 6:4, 155:20, 155:23 per [4] - 54:11, 72:2, 73:7, 73:20 perceive [1] - 82:1 perceive [1] - 82:1 percent [41] - 22:2, 22:15, 22:17, 22:23, 46:10, 46:13, 46:17, 47:12, 47:13, 47:16, 47:18, 47:19, 54:1, 55:18, 56:1, 56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:16, 57:13, 57:21, 58:1, 58:9, 59:22, 64:14, 67:3, 67:16, 71:7, 71:14, 71:15, 71:19, 72:3, 73:5, 73:9, 73:22, 74:15, 75:14, 75:18, 103:22 percentage [1] - 67:11 perfect [1] - 128:2	100:11 piece [5] - 61:12, 61:23, 62:1, 82:1, 112:8 pier [1] - 101:20 piggyback [1] - 128:14 pill [1] - 95:5 Pine [1] - 89:10 Pinney [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 155:5, 155:6 PINNEY [5] - 1:15, 6:7, 147:24, 154:20, 155:7 PISAURO [33] - 3:10, 117:3, 118:3, 118:6, 118:23, 119:15, 119:25, 120:5, 120:15, 120:20, 120:22, 120:25, 121:4, 121:7, 122:11, 122:15, 122:11, 122:15, 122:19, 122:24, 124:4, 124:15, 125:5, 125:11,	108:24, 109:12, 110:10, 110:16, 111:3, 118:19, 121:2, 124:7, 124:19, 126:18, 127:3, 130:10, 131:21, 131:22, 131:24, 132:7, 134:10, 134:13, 135:6, 142:10, 142:11, 142:13, 142:16, 142:19, 144:23, 147:17, 149:6, 149:8, 149:11, 149:12, 149:14, 151:19, 153:6, 156:14, 157:17, 157:18 Plan [29] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:8, 7:17, 7:18, 9:24, 26:9, 27:9, 30:9, 30:21, 38:25, 40:6, 45:3, 82:15, 82:17, 124:16, 129:17, 129:19, 129:25, 130:12, 130:21, 131:10, 139:1, 152:1, 152:4,

153:15, 154:6 planned [2] - 38:7, 43.7 Planner [1] - 1:18 planner [1] - 7:24 planners [3] - 27:12, 45:8, 152:19 planning [26] - 16:8, 22:21, 24:7, 24:14, 25:4, 26:14, 27:8, 37:1, 37:3, 39:22, 40:18, 45:3, 61:25, 79:20, 80:19, 89:13, 107:5, 107:11, 115:5, 115:11, 123:11, 133:5, 151:24, 154:4, 156:12, 157:16 **Planning** [3] - 4:4, 7:5, 150:4 **PLANNING** [1] - 1:1 plans [6] - 13:8, 38:9, 38:11, 39:10, 40:5, 107:24 plant [7] - 82:8, 112:2, 121:10, 124:21, 124:23, 146:4 **play** [2] - 41:25, 53:3 played [1] - 12:19 Pledge [1] - 5:7 plenty [2] - 156:16, 156:19 plot [1] - 112:19 plowing [2] - 114:5, 114:6 plumbing [1] - 49:5 **plus** [4] - 66:7, 73:2, 98:5, 115:2 pockets [3] - 90:4, 92:18 point [28] - 7:12, 11:19, 14:10, 15:4, 21:22, 22:22, 35:25, 37:10, 38:13, 41:18, 53:16, 59:15, 60:16, 68:7, 71:15, 76:16, 80:10, 96:1, 96:3, 99:22, 106:19, 126:4, 134:20, 138:3, 142:11, 143:24, 146:1, 153:3 points [1] - 69:25 polar [1] - 111:23 police [1] - 100:7 Police [2] - 100:9, 100:10 policemen [1] -114:16 policy [1] - 117:5 polluted [1] - 141:3

pollution [2] - 112:16, 121:13 popular [1] - 19:10 populous [1] - 114:19 portion [4] - 7:1, 7:15, 9:2, 130:6 position [1] - 18:14 possibilities [1] -143.21possibility [5] - 32:7, 36:25, 132:22, 145:12, 145:14 **possible** [8] - 31:12, 32:19, 79:13, 84:9, 90:13.95:15.124:9. 143:25 **possibly** [4] - 28:18, 81:14, 100:23, 145:17 posted [1] - 4:11 potential [3] - 30:9, 31:3, 132:24 potentially [2] - 36:12, 102:16 pours [1] - 113:21 pre [1] - 133:16 pre-compliance [1] -133:16 precious [1] - 81:24 prepare [2] - 107:18, 130:20 preparing [3] -111:22, 112:1, 131:17 **PRESENT** [2] - 1:10, 1:16 present [9] - 8:4, 37:21, 45:11, 48:25, 49:23, 50:3, 50:5, 69:10, 110:10 present -need [1] -50:5 presentation [1] -69.7 presented [5] - 18:13, 27:1, 30:10, 39:3, 152:5 presenting [1] -140:23 presently [3] - 85:14, 118:5, 132:6 preservation [4] -80:23, 81:5, 88:22, 93.6 Preservation [1] -82:13 preserve [3] - 84:9, 99:2.100:25 preserved [4] - 82:13, 90:20, 93:4, 112:2

preserving [4] - 98:16, 98:19, 98:25, 129:10 Press [1] - 4:10 pressure [2] - 27:23, 150:21 presumably [1] - 16:9 pretty [4] - 31:1, 88:20, 89:19, 103:13 prevent [1] - 44:22 previewed [1] - 151:12 previous [2] - 52:17, 72:14 price [1] - 53:22 primaried [1] - 83:10 primary [1] - 7:2 principal [3] - 10:24, 10:25, 16:2 pristine [2] - 81:6, 86:24 privacy [1] - 4:22 private [2] - 65:9, 77:8 **pro** [2] - 149:1, 149:4 pro-builder [1] - 149:1 pro-municipality [1] -149.4problem [5] - 32:20, 137:24, 143:19, 146:13, 157:25 procedure [1] - 7:21 proceed [3] - 133:2, 133:3, 156:18 proceeding [1] - 15:7 PROCEEDINGS [1] -1:7proceedings [1] - 2:2 process [45] - 7:21, 16:3, 16:19, 17:23, 21:3, 21:7, 21:11, 23:22, 25:19, 26:11, 36:6, 37:10, 38:7, 40:20, 41:4, 47:25, 48:3, 49:12, 58:19, 58:20, 60:13, 61:21, 62:9, 68:18, 80:12, 94:2, 94:23, 98:14, 100:1, 101:25, 102:9, 102:10, 102:21, 106:10, 110:6, 117:12, 131:13, 133:4, 133:23, 134:22, 151:15, 152:8, 152:18, 156:3 processes [3] - 19:18, 41:2, 156:11 processing [1] - 42:17 producing [2] - 22:15, 23.4Professional [2] - 2:5, 162:5

professional [3] -16:8, 77:10, 97:9 professionals [8] -8:2, 49:11, 68:23, 69:8, 130:8, 130:19, 152:3, 156:8 program [14] - 50:7, 50:21, 50:22, 50:24, 51:2. 53:3. 54:8. 65:7, 65:19, 65:22, 67:20, 70:20, 85:10 **Program** [2] - 65:8, 70:18 programs [3] - 50:8, 50:10, 144:25 prohibitive [1] - 78:5 project [16] - 23:2, 56:2, 57:22, 57:24, 58:21, 72:2, 75:16, 77:18, 105:20, 110:3, 118:19, 135:12, 140:9, 140:10, 141:1, 147:6 projected [1] - 74:7 projecting [2] - 73:1, 73:3 projects [10] - 22:3, 22:17, 22:18, 22:24, 29:21, 35:15, 53:21, 57:1, 74:5, 110:11 promise [2] - 8:17, 24.1 promoting [1] - 17:11 properly [2] - 69:19, 149:12 properties [11] -43:23, 57:16, 61:10, 63:1, 63:2, 63:3, 63:7, 67:24, 87:21, 113:1, 131:19 property [53] - 10:20, 20:6, 23:1, 26:4, 26:5, 28:22, 31:4, 31:12, 38:17, 38:19, 38:20, 43:20, 55:23, 57:8, 60:6, 60:8, 61:12,61:24,62:1, 62:3, 62:4, 64:9, 65:10, 66:21, 66:22, 67:25, 83:25, 87:4, 96:4, 96:16, 96:20, 102:9, 104:17, 111:16, 117:10, 121:25, 124:20, 132:1, 132:3, 136:19, 137:19, 139:7, 139:10, 142:16, 142:23, 143:5, 143:10, 143:11, 143:22,

145:7, 145:18 proposal [1] - 55:21 propose [2] - 30:9, 30:11 proposed [14] - 27:22, 28:18, 30:5, 45:21, 57:15, 59:19, 64:6, 64:10, 66:24, 100:7, 111:15.122:2. 141:1, 152:14 proposing [5] - 16:21, 23:3, 39:2, 40:2, 70:3 protect [2] - 90:24, 111:18 protected [4] - 35:23, 35:25, 91:7, 135:25 protection [1] - 136:4 protects [2] - 4:23, 35:20 provide [10] - 8:9, 9:11, 22:9, 22:10, 74:14, 97:20, 100:6, 110:15, 123:22, 136.24 provided [1] - 4:9 provides [1] - 26:16 providing [5] - 10:9, 74:21, 75:20, 75:21, 137:1 proving [1] - 134:22 public [34] - 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 7:1, 7:7, 7:12, 7:15, 8:3, 12:3, 14:11, 23:7, 23:10, 23:17, 26:3, 27:23, 32:3, 45:6, 62:10, 69:12, 69:13, 85:6, 92:2, 99:13, 104:2, 107:7, 116:21, 130:6, 133:4, 133:20, 134:11, 152:2, 156:9, 159:11 **PUBLIC** [2] - 1:8, 3:2 Public [2] - 2:6, 162:3 publication [1] - 4:10 pull [1] - 113:23 pulled [1] - 91:23 pulling [2] - 21:16, 94:25 purchase [3] - 60:5, 60:9.102:9 purchased [2] - 63:1, 63:3 purpose [1] - 124:12 purposes [3] - 59:25, 101:16, 151:10 pursuant [1] - 130:17 pursue [1] - 143:14 pursuing [3] - 57:6,

143:6, 143:18 push [4] - 30:17, 128:2, 150:17, 150:18 pushed [1] - 21:25 pushing [1] - 127:25 put [40] - 16:24, 18:6, 21:13, 23:1, 27:12, 28:8.45:10.47:8. 50:13, 50:15, 60:15, 61:20, 68:10, 72:9, 73:12, 86:22, 88:21, 89:21, 90:22, 91:16, 93:6, 95:3, 110:14, 114:8, 114:25, 119:21, 120:1, 124:2, 127:10, 128:22, 129:3, 137:18, 142:21, 143:1, 143:3, 143:23, 149:6, 149:7, 150:21 puts [1] - 37:12 putting [9] - 13:7, 18:4, 48:2, 87:20, 89:13, 121:10, 123:23, 124:21, 148.18 pyridine [1] - 123:20 Q quadruple [1] - 85:13 qualify [1] - 12:13

quality [1] - 117:11 quantity [1] - 11:4 quarter [1] - 116:12 questions [22] - 8:4, 8:10, 8:15, 8:21, 32:10, 41:20, 68:23, 69:8, 69:11, 69:15, 69:23, 76:17, 101:9, 104:15, 117:20, 120:10, 124:5, 124:11, 124:13, 126:25, 130:7, 154:16 quick [3] - 63:8, 108:3, 128:14 quickly [1] - 107:16 quiet [1] - 82:12 quite [3] - 49:14, 54:3, 103:16 quota [2] - 88:5, 94:11

R

radar [1] - 127:12 radius [1] - 108:20 rails [1] - 75:8

rain [1] - 115:15 rained [1] - 114:4 rains [3] - 98:3, 112.23 raise [1] - 24:5 raised [1] - 27:16 ran [4] - 89:22, 89:24, 91:8, 96:18 **range** [4] - 8:16, 46:18, 46:19, 47:12 ranging [1] - 99:20 rate [11] - 56:11, 56:14, 56:18, 57:23, 58:11, 64:13, 75:17, 79:15, 125:23, 126:19, 145:11 rather [3] - 8:11, 107:15, 124:10 ratio [4] - 54:10, 56:17, 64:16, 103:19 RCA [2] - 52:18, 54:7 reach [2] - 20:20, 26:11 reached [2] - 25:21, 26:8 read [2] - 4:5, 4:13 reading [4] - 24:1, 58:18, 107:6, 120:12 ready [3] - 17:23, 31:16, 38:12 real [2] - 92:1, 122:4 realistic [1] - 26:16 realize [1] - 124:6 really [31] - 16:16, 32:18, 36:19, 43:21, 48:14, 56:21, 57:1, 63:23, 76:1, 87:15, 89:16, 91:20, 93:15, 94:13, 94:14, 97:3, 100:24, 107:12, 108:16, 120:6, 124:6, 128:25, 129:12.129:16. 138:21, 138:25, 142:10, 147:3, 150:21, 153:12 reason [10] - 23:22, 29:9, 37:14, 70:16, 81:3, 110:21, 110:22, 111:22, 146:16 **reasonable** [2] - 9:12, 29.22 reasons [7] - 24:10, 24:14, 37:16, 96:12, 100:22, 151:9 receive [8] - 53:1, 53:6, 53:14, 53:19, 53:21, 53:23, 54:3, 54:9

received [5] - 48:17, 51:22, 53:4, 151:25, 152.19receives [1] - 54:6 receiving [2] - 48:2, 52:24 recently [2] - 27:19, 55:20 recess [1] - 69:2 recommend [2] -123:10, 123:11 reconfigure [1] -138:14 reconsider [2] - 87:20, 89.4 **record** [5] - 69:3, 69:6, 69:19, 89:8, 111:14 recorded [1] - 4:18 recording [2] - 4:15, 4:17 **Red** [3] - 52:6, 54:24, 55:5 redevelopment [1] -75.13 redo [1] - 127:8 redrafted [1] - 157:20 reduce [9] - 44:6, 66:13, 66:15, 66:18, 66:20, 145:6, 145:8, 145:11 reduced [2] - 43:25, 145:9 reduces [1] - 126:18 reducing [1] - 66:14 reevaluation [1] -110:9 **refer** [2] - 57:18, 139:3 referring [1] - 49:1 refers [1] - 55:12 reflect [1] - 157:15 reform [1] - 156:20 **regarding** [3] - 92:5, 94:19, 119:6 regardless [1] -134:10 **region** [4] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:11, 18:11 **Region** [3] - 9:20, 18:11, 46:4 region 's [1] - 46:2 regional [2] - 9:17, 49:18 **Regional** [1] - 52:18 Registered [2] - 2:5, 162:5 regular [1] - 140:3 Regulations [1] - 9:12 regulations [15] -10:2, 10:10, 12:8, 12:15, 13:10, 13:12,

13:14, 13:25, 14:6, 14:7, 25:15, 38:9, 98:11, 122:12 rehab [1] - 74:3 rehabilitated [7] -49:3, 50:1, 50:16, 50:25, 51:4, 51:6, 51:10 rehabilitating [1] -51:16 rehabilitation [7] -48:25, 49:23, 50:7, 50:8, 67:20, 131:22, 145.5reinstitute [1] - 127:25 reiterate [1] - 111:12 relate [4] - 89:16, 90:9, 91:20, 94:24 related [1] - 7:8 relation [5] - 29:6, 46:2, 49:6, 105:19, 141:24 relationship [1] -118:18 **relative** [2] - 162:14, 162.16 relentless [1] - 44:9 rely [1] - 90:17 **remain** [2] - 71:2, 111:14 remaining [1] - 51:7 remains [2] - 71:12, 71:14 remedies [1] - 11:13 **remedy** [24] - 10:13, 10:16, 11:8, 12:16, 12:20, 17:19, 28:3, 28:12, 28:19, 28:24, 31:19, 32:2, 34:2, 35:19, 36:14, 42:1, 44:4, 92:6, 93:3, 93:13, 93:14, 95:14, 98:21, 156:5 **remember** [5] - 60:9, 61:10, 61:15, 88:4, 146.21 remembering [1] -71:13 remind [1] - 128:25 remotest [1] - 138:12 remove [1] - 93:12 removing [1] - 33:21 rental [27] - 52:9, 53:20, 53:21, 53:22, 53:23, 54:8, 54:9, 55:19, 55:25, 57:13, 57:14, 57:22, 58:2, 58:3, 61:22, 64:14, 64:20, 66:1, 77:2, 77:5, 77:20, 77:24,

146:14, 146:17, 146:18, 147:1 rentals [6] - 44:18, 78:18, 78:19, 79:4, 79:7.146:23 repealing [1] - 123:3 replace [1] - 101:22 replacing [1] - 123:3 replay [1] - 4:15 report [2] - 110:14, 144.11 **REPORTED** [1] - 1:20 **Reporter** [6] - 1:21, 2:4, 2:5, 2:6, 162:4, 162:5 **REPORTING** [1] - 1:23 reports [2] - 40:5, 107:24 representative [2] -20:20, 41:14 representatives [2] -41:18, 50:12 represented [2] -41:16, 41:17 request [2] - 10:20, 15.14requesting [1] - 15:8 require [5] - 17:13, 24:18, 25:2, 25:10, 25.11required [10] - 4:8, 12:18, 25:6, 25:7, 27:12, 51:4, 68:10, 72:9, 83:22, 126:13 requirement [5] -48:16, 70:15, 74:13, 101:25, 125:14 requirements [3] -26:21, 101:16, 109:2 requiring [2] - 23:6, 123:19 research [2] - 35:10, 68:13 reservation [1] - 80:23 reserved [1] - 88:21 residential [9] - 71:15, 81:13, 82:4, 82:21, 91:10, 91:12, 112:11, 112:12, 128.23 residents [5] - 24:6, 112:5, 129:5, 150:18, 150:19 resisting [1] - 149:5 **resolution** [6] - 20:21, 152:18, 157:8, 159:5, 159:16, 159:23 resort [1] - 14:17 resources [1] - 9:14

respond [1] - 95:23 responding [1] -126:25 **response** [1] - 17:14 responsibility [1] -4:25 responsible [4] -58:17, 76:4, 76:18, 79:11 rest [4] - 20:13, 55:9, 70:4.98:20 restrict [1] - 65:13 **restriction** [1] - 50:17 restrictions [1] - 50:15 result [6] - 17:1, 27:22, 28:19, 106:23, 139:12 results [1] - 12:1 resumes [1] - 69:3 retention [1] - 126:1 rethink [1] - 105:8 revenue [1] - 72:2 review [8] - 25:3, 40:18, 107:5, 133:6, 143:9.144:10. 156:11, 158:25 reviewed [1] - 25:14 reviewing [2] -118:25, 125:9 revise [1] - 157:12 revised [3] - 157:10, 157:13, 157:15 revoke [1] - 28:2 **rezone** [2] - 104:17, 104:25 rezoned [1] - 143:8 **rezoning** [6] - 117:22, 118:2, 118:10, 118:14, 121:24, 129:18 rid [1] - 83:1 right -of-way [3] -102:3, 102:7, 102:8 rightfully [1] - 91:15 ring [1] - 125:7 riparian [1] - 105:13 risen [1] - 87:7 risky [2] - 95:11, 95:12 **Road** [18] - 2:8, 3:4, 3:5, 3:8, 3:9, 3:10, 52:5, 52:6, 54:24, 55:4, 55:5, 55:14, 69:22, 80:17, 81:24, 101:8, 111:11, 114:21 road [18] - 25:8, 85:5, 85:7, 86:13, 86:19, 97:25, 98:2, 102:4, 102:6, 102:13, 103:2, 116:11,

136:7, 136:8, 136:16, 138:14, 159:19 roads [8] - 101:18, 103:11, 112:13, 115:2, 115:3, 116:17, 137:14, 138:11 roared [1] - 128:19 **ROBERT** [1] - 1:11 roll [5] - 5:15, 151:6, 151:8, 154:23, 155:1 roll-call [3] - 151:6, 151:8, 154:23 room [1] - 98:21 Roosevelt [33] - 3:6, 3:7, 83:15, 83:18, 84:2, 84:3, 84:25, 85:3, 85:14, 85:23, 86:2, 86:5, 86:14, 87:7, 87:18, 89:5, 89:10, 90:17, 90:19, 91:8, 92:2, 92:12, 92:22, 99:12, 99:13, 99:17, 103:9, 114:21, 128:9, 128:16, 129:4, 129:8, 129:14 Roosevelt 's [1] -87.25 **ROSE** [1] - 1:13 rose [2] - 87:11, 113:7 roughly [3] - 73:6, 74:4, 74:8 round [21] - 12:24, 23:15, 48:8, 48:18, 50:4, 51:10, 51:20, 52:11, 52:16, 52:19, 53:10, 53:15, 54:5, 54:16, 54:19, 54:23, 54:25, 55:8, 65:2, 66:5 Round [20] - 12:25, 13:1, 13:11, 13:25, 14:5, 22:15, 36:5, 36:7, 38:7, 38:8, 38:9, 38:11, 48:6, 48:10, 54:14, 54:21, 55:2, 55:3 rounds [6] - 12:23, 13:2, 48:13, 48:14, 53:5 roundtable [1] - 119:5 **Route** [2] - 81:11, 114:25 **RPR** [2] - 1:21, 162:23 rug [2] - 91:23, 94:25 **RUIZ** [14] - 3:8, 101:7, 102:12, 102:25, 103:17, 103:25,

104:9, 104:12, 104:22, 105:5, 108:14, 109:14, 109:18, 109:21 Ruiz [1] - 101:8 ruler [1] - 83:4 rules [10] - 12:19, 13:10, 48:8, 123:16, 123:18.125:15. 125:17, 125:19, 126:11 run [10] - 50:7, 51:2, 67:7, 67:19, 74:25, 76:8, 77:17, 89:7, 115:1, 115:24 running [1] - 50:23 **runoff** [2] - 112:15, 123:15 runs [2] - 126:6, 126:19 rural [7] - 80:22, 81:5, 86:17,86:24, 111:17, 111:18, 135:24 Rural [1] - 82:13 S S-A-N-I-C-K-I [2] -80:17, 111:11 safety [2] - 25:5, 100:18 sake [1] - 136:20 salable [1] - 79:4 sale [4] - 58:2, 61:17, 79:14, 146:24 sales [1] - 53:20 salute [1] - 5:6 Sandy [1] - 87:10 Sanicki [2] - 80:16, 111:10 **SANICKI** [5] - 3:5, 3:9, 80:15, 111:10, 126:3 sat [1] - 76:17 satisfactory [3] -13:20, 151:24, 152:7 satisfied [1] - 134:8 satisfy [4] - 11:20, 14:19, 15:11, 65:2 satisfying [1] - 136:21 savings [2] - 90:22, 95:4 saw [1] - 85:15 scenario [5] - 105:17, 105:18, 105:21, 139:15, 141:21 scenarios [1] - 61:6 scheduled [2] - 7:13, 104:20 **school** [6] - 85:6,

85:7, 85:9, 91:11, 99:13, 128:23 schools [1] - 64:2 Scooter [1] - 85:25 scrape [1] - 49:13 seat [1] - 76:17 second [9] - 11:15, 15:23, 23:17, 125:4, 136:10, 154:20, 154:22, 160:20, 160:21 secondly [1] - 28:14 Secretary [1] - 1:19 section [2] - 7:7, 123:6 securing [2] - 57:6, 58:14 security [1] - 74:21 **see** [29] - 8:14, 37:2, 37:7, 40:6, 40:22, 56:22, 65:21, 66:3, 81:11, 81:16, 95:24, 100:21, 102:4, 108:5, 108:11, 112:8, 115:15, 139:10, 144:14, 147:24, 150:16, 150:17, 150:18, 150:23, 150:24, 151:22, 160:17 seeing [3] - 7:14, 130:5, 154:25 seem [1] - 8:12 **sell** [3] - 78:16, 81:1, 136:19 semantics [2] - 43:22, 152:13 semitrucks [1] - 115:1 Senate [1] - 150:22 send [3] - 52:23, 127:11, 158:24 sending [3] - 21:19, 52:22, 53:1 **senior** [3] - 54:9, 88:8, 88:9 seniors [3] - 55:19, 55:25 sensationalize [1] -47:2 sense [1] - 49:15 sensitive [1] - 81:15 sensitivity [1] - 150:3 sent [2] - 53:12, 119:3 sentence [1] - 154:11 separate [2] - 7:9, 78:11 **separately** [1] - 41:16 September [1] - 85:9 septic [5] - 112:2, 113:5, 124:24,

139:10, 141:4 serious [1] - 120:7 services [1] - 99:24 sessions [1] - 20:19 set [10] - 13:25, 14:5, 18:16, 39:10, 56:16, 64:15, 78:14, 80:5, 162:12 set-aside [2] - 56:16, 64:15 setbacks [2] - 107:9, 135:23 sets [3] - 26:12, 26:22, 27:13 setting [1] - 18:22 settled [2] - 35:6, 35:8 Settlement [17] -25:18, 25:21, 27:22, 67:2, 130:18, 131:2, 131:5, 131:12, 132:9, 132:10, 132:13, 132:21, 152:5, 152:17, 152:22, 153:7, 154:2 settlement [11] -19:17, 26:8, 26:11, 27:18, 28:1, 28:2, 28:7, 28:8, 28:16, 130:22, 152:24 seven [2] - 51:5, 51:12 several [5] - 50:8, 52:2, 61:5, 61:6, 122:15 severe [1] - 156:9 severely [2] - 84:11, 89:5 sewage [1] - 82:8 sewer [7] - 23:8, 23:10, 23:17, 26:3, 32:4, 40:14, 105:19 **SHAFAI** [34] - 1:18, 38:19, 38:22, 38:25, 39:3, 39:7, 39:11, 39:14, 40:12, 40:16, 40:21, 40:25, 76:7, 102:13, 104:7, 104:10, 105:3, 108:18, 108:25, 109:3, 109:6, 109:10, 109:16, 120:13, 120:19, 120:21, 120:24, 121:2, 121:6, 121:14, 121:20, 125:8, 125:20, 125:25 Shafai [1] - 38:16 shame [1] - 116:6 shape [1] - 107:10 shaped [1] - 89:12

	aingle family w	105:7	147:9	04-00 05-4 00-4
SHARE [1] - 1:5	single -family [1] -			24:22, 25:1, 29:1,
Share [27] - 7:17,	52:9	sometimes [3] - 8:14,	spot [4] - 46:21,	29:8, 30:11, 30:22,
14:10, 14:23, 17:2,	sit [7] - 8:7, 69:16,	93:11, 119:8	106:5, 110:24,	31:1, 31:17, 31:22,
17:9, 17:10, 17:15,	92:8, 114:11,	somewhat [2] -	111:24	31:25, 32:5, 32:12,
20:5, 20:19, 25:21,	114:15, 115:7, 127:3	126:16, 151:18	Spot [1] - 106:6	32:19, 33:3, 33:12,
26:9, 26:12, 27:4,	Site [1] - 40:6	somewhere [2] -	spots [1] - 98:5	33:16, 33:20, 34:4,
27:9, 28:14, 30:13,	site [28] - 23:9, 24:19,	31:15, 107:21	spread [1] - 82:18	37:25, 41:8, 51:15,
56:10, 110:15,	29:14, 29:16, 30:3,	soon [1] - 143:25	square [3] - 124:17,	76:10, 76:22, 78:23,
130:21, 131:10,	31:7, 31:11, 31:15,	sorry [20] - 20:22,	124:20, 136:13	79:5, 79:8, 105:8,
132:22, 151:25,	37:11, 39:24, 56:11,	23:25, 24:1, 24:22,	squared [2] - 133:12,	106:17, 106:23,
152:4, 152:15,				110:6, 111:2, 111:6,
	57:12, 64:21, 65:11,	52:14, 54:1, 68:24,	136:12	
152:25, 153:15,	66:7, 78:20, 106:3,	73:10, 78:24, 79:2,	Stacie [1] - 157:1	129:24, 130:17,
154:6	108:20, 108:23,	82:7, 104:22,	STACIE [1] - 1:12	131:4, 131:7,
sheds [1] - 150:5	110:20, 118:10,	113:24, 118:11,	stage [2] - 97:21,	131:14, 131:20,
shifting [1] - 148:4	118:19, 124:17,	118:17, 120:4,	108:10	132:2, 132:8,
shocked [3] - 80:18,	124:25, 126:6,	122:22, 122:24,	stages [1] - 22:19	132:15, 132:20,
114:10	126:9, 134:23,	155:5, 155:7	stalling [2] - 62:7,	133:7, 133:14,
shocker [1] - 92:1	151:19	Sorry [1] - 157:4	62:21	133:21, 133:24,
shoot [1] - 81:22	sites [2] - 25:14, 29:25	sort [9] - 45:22, 80:4,	stand [1] - 5:5	134:5, 134:12,
shopping [3] - 82:2,	siting [1] - 80:19	104:15, 117:12,	standards [2] - 11:16,	134:17, 135:2,
82:7, 82:9	sitting [3] - 61:15,	117:22, 123:19,		135:16, 136:6,
	64:8, 143:8	128:17, 128:19,	71:23	143:1, 143:5, 144:2,
shore [1] - 99:16			standpoint [1] - 56:7	144:6, 144:9,
short [1] - 10:1	situation [7] - 93:9,	139:1	start [5] - 31:20,	144:18, 145:14,
shortly [1] - 10:5	93:21, 93:23, 99:11,	soul [1] - 91:17	83:16, 107:10,	
shot [2] - 138:23,	109:25, 139:20,	sounded [1] - 124:23	117:16, 150:20	145:18, 148:17,
138:25	141:10	sounds [8] - 37:18,	started [4] - 16:19,	148:22, 149:3,
shoulders [2] - 156:4,	six [9] - 12:23, 18:20,	73:14, 103:23,	31:7, 87:9, 117:17	149:9, 149:18,
156:22	52:12,66:15,66:18,	107:15, 141:22,	starting [1] - 124:13	152:12, 153:10,
shovel [1] - 43:9	66:20, 82:4, 142:24	141:23, 153:3, 159:7	state [26] - 9:6, 9:10,	157:11, 157:19,
show [5] - 4:7, 16:21,	six-year [1] - 12:23	South [2] - 9:7, 35:13	12:9, 16:23, 18:22,	158:4, 158:10,
19:3, 72:10, 80:15	size [3] - 66:14, 136:4,	south [1] - 113:16		158:16, 159:8,
	138:17	space [3] - 59:20,	24:16, 25:3, 25:9,	159:21
Showplace [15] - 20:6,		• • • •	25:10, 25:11, 35:21,	stenographic [1] - 2:2
20:20, 21:23, 26:4,	slam [1] - 41:6	98:16, 116:12	35:22, 37:12, 40:11,	stenographically [2] -
41:16, 59:7, 59:17,	slightly [2] - 54:10,	spaces [1] - 85:16	49:17, 49:20, 50:17,	1:20, 162:11
60:3, 60:21, 63:12,	68:19	SPEAKER [4] - 62:6,	68:12, 79:25, 84:5,	step [7] - 8:6, 21:10,
67:1, 71:6, 72:25,	slow [1] - 108:3	62:13, 62:18, 62:21	97:10, 107:25,	
73:3, 105:20	small [5] - 85:4, 88:4,	speakers [1] - 4:21	121:14, 136:22,	27:7, 31:6, 102:20,
shows [2] - 74:1, 81:7	88:9, 92:16, 141:12	speaking [2] - 49:16,	150:12	104:23, 131:17
shrink [1] - 135:12	smaller [6] - 137:7,	81:15	STATE [1] - 1:2	Step [1] - 97:2
Shu [4] - 57:18, 62:3,	138:10, 138:20,	SPECIAL [1] - 1:3	State [13] - 2:6, 15:21,	steps [1] - 40:18
131:25, 132:2	140:10, 145:25,	species [1] - 40:24	17:6, 24:4, 97:17,	still [29] - 5:9, 13:20,
shuffling [2] - 145:17,	146:10	specific [2] - 106:3,	98:10, 98:13, 100:9,	15:17, 21:25, 35:22,
146:25	smart [3] - 95:11,	111:22	100:10, 129:2,	36:25, 37:25, 38:3,
	109:7, 109:9			38:15, 39:4, 48:12,
side [3] - 45:3, 45:4,		specifics [1] - 45:17	136:2, 136:24, 162:4	48:20, 51:7, 53:5,
114:3	snatched [1] - 92:21	speed [3] - 9:2,	statement [2] - 125:6,	53:14, 53:18, 54:23,
sides [5] - 26:14, 27:1,	snatching [1] - 92:11	107:20, 107:23	153:5	58:20, 58:23, 65:18,
90:20, 90:21, 92:22	snow [2] - 112:24,	spell [1] - 69:18	Statement [1] - 4:6	65:23, 79:25, 86:7,
sidewalks [1] - 114:7	115:16	spend [5] - 21:21,	statements [1] - 4:25	86:11, 91:3, 111:4,
sight [1] - 92:19	so [1] - 30:7	72:11, 74:2, 74:13,	States [1] - 84:4	
signed [1] - 5:12	Soil [2] - 37:13, 108:1	91:16	statewise [1] - 148:2	137:8, 143:3, 155:25
significant [2] - 20:10,	soil [4] - 40:25,	spending [3] - 72:8,	stave [1] - 129:15	stomp [1] - 115:10
156:7	113:22, 121:8,	72:10, 90:2	stay [3] - 77:21,	Stony [1] - 117:7
significantly [1] - 78:4	121:18	spent [4] - 67:14,	119:16, 126:17	stop [6] - 38:13,
simple [1] - 32:18	Solar [3] - 88:3, 88:7,	74:16, 98:19, 143:17		42:17, 113:17,
	88:16		staying [2] - 124:19,	138:3, 138:9, 141:7
simplicity [1] - 157:15		spoken [2] - 111:13,	125:18	stopped [7] - 36:4,
simplify [1] - 130:25	solution [2] - 81:18,	128:6	stealing [1] - 92:13	36:6, 38:14, 44:7,
simplifying [1] -	81:20	sponsored [10] - 22:2,	Steib [1] - 7:20	50:9, 50:20, 136:15
134:20	solutions [1] - 95:16	29:21, 29:25, 35:15,	STEIB [82] - 1:17,	storm [16] - 39:13,
single [3] - 52:9,	someone [5] - 31:12,	44:17, 44:23, 74:5,	5:11, 9:1, 21:5, 21:8,	87:12, 98:11,
70:18, 114:13	65:14, 70:19, 77:13,	144:25, 146:19,	21:12, 23:24, 24:2,	01.12,00.11,

117:10, 123:12, 123:15, 123:16, 123:18, 123:20, 125:14, 125:15, 125:19, 125:20, 126:6, 126:10, 126:18 strategies [3] - 123:7, 123:14 street [6] - 81:21, 91:12, 101:13, 101:22, 102:1, 128:24 streets [1] - 58:18 strictly [1] - 76:24 strip [1] - 36:10 strips [1] - 32:21 strongly [1] - 148:8 structure [1] - 147:12 stuck [1] - 148:19 Stuck [1] - 148:20 studied [1] - 109:11 studies [5] - 30:6, 39:8, 86:11, 107:24, 108:19 **study** [4] - 87:15, 126:12, 136:25, 142:7 **stuff** [8] - 39:16, 42:21, 43:4, 44:11, 59:25, 74:11, 126:1, 159:10 subdivision [1] -159:3 **Subdivision** [1] - 40:6 subject [4] - 27:4, 28:11, 57:16, 93:13 **submission** [3] - 40:7, 92:17.94:10 submissions [1] -40:10 submit [8] - 16:24, 89:22, 107:25, 108:1, 110:13, 118:22, 130:20 submitted [9] - 12:18, 13:3, 26:16, 41:13, 41:15, 126:21, 133:15, 156:14 subset [2] - 77:23, 137:8 subsidized [1] - 104:5 subsidizing [1] -56.15 subtle [1] - 153:3 suburbs [1] - 86:17 successful [1] - 61:7 successfully [1] -129:7 sudden [2] - 91:22,

92:24 sue [2] - 94:5, 94:8 sued [2] - 89:23, 91:10 suggest [3] - 108:8, 151:3, 151:12 suggested [1] - 95:20 suggesting [6] - 41:5, 73:15, 77:9, 97:15, 103:2, 130:14 suggestion [2] -123:5, 151:4 suggests [1] - 96:17 suit [4] - 28:12, 32:2, 42:1, 44:4 suits [6] - 12:17, 12:20, 28:3, 32:22, 32:24, 35:19 summer [1] - 85:10 super [2] - 17:11, 46:24 Superior [1] - 15:8 **supply** [1] - 29:13 supplying [1] - 55:24 support [5] - 40:1, 112:4, 113:13, 145:20, 159:14 supported [2] - 17:16, 112:9 **supposed** [6] - 16:15, 37:20, 59:10, 75:24, 106:17, 107:2 Supreme [14] - 9:5, 9:9, 9:22, 9:25, 10:6, 14:4, 14:12, 14:13, 14:22, 14:23, 15:4, 17:4, 17:5, 110:7 surface [5] - 49:13, 98:4, 98:6, 125:16, 135:10 surplus [1] - 74:10 surround [2] - 112:12, 113:2 surrounding [1] - 96:6 suspect [5] - 137:1, 142:1, 142:3, 142:5, 142:7 sustainability [2] -119:2, 119:6 swallow [1] - 95:5 sweat [1] - 91:17 switch [1] - 105:5 sworn [4] - 5:9, 5:12, 8:9, 162:7 system [4] - 13:16, 16:19, 77:11, 94:21 systems [1] - 141:4 Т tab [1] - 71:11

table [6] - 6:15, 87:6, 87:7, 87:10, 113:6, 151.4talks [1] - 83:18 Tavern [4] - 43:3, 55:14, 57:11, 61:23 taxes [1] - 63:17 teachers [2] - 47:22, 114:17 technical [1] - 76:2 technically [2] - 77:12, 106:16 technology [1] - 19:24 Tel [1] - 1:25 ten [12] - 14:14, 53:23, 55:24, 65:13, 65:19, 80:22, 81:5, 81:13, 82:13, 82:22, 95:2, 111:17 ten-acre [7] - 80:22, 81:5, 81:13, 82:13, 82:22, 95:2, 111:17 tend [1] - 47:22 tens [1] - 101:21 term [1] - 45:22 terms [10] - 11:4, 19:18, 23:3, 26:2, 26:8, 59:1, 100:17, 118:12, 130:21, 132:9 **Terrace** [1] - 1:24 terribly [1] - 138:14 testified [2] - 19:4, 19:6 testify [1] - 162:7 testimony [1] - 8:10 testing [1] - 40:25 **THE** [2] - 1:5, 2:7 theme [1] - 136:22 themselves [1] - 4:20 theory [2] - 105:20, 146:9 thereafter [1] - 10:5 therefore [5] - 27:3, 50:21, 135:18, 137:14, 137:22 they've [3] - 30:6, 142:7.149:2 thinking [2] - 127:8, 151:13 Third [6] - 48:6, 48:10, 54:14, 54:21, 55:2, 55:3 third [6] - 11:23, 12:14, 35:17, 48:23, 54:11, 60:21 thirds [1] - 19:25 **THOMAS** [1] - 1:14 thoroughly [1] - 82:24 thoughts [1] - 151:11

thousands [3] - 93:17, 98:25, 101:21 threat [1] - 105:6 threaten [1] - 94:7 three [11] - 10:24, 14:9, 22:16, 28:6, 38:11, 84:14, 84:19, 85:19 three -bedroom [3] -84:14,84:19,85:19 three -three [1] - 14:9 throughout [5] -16:23, 18:21, 24:4, 24:16, 150:12 throw [3] - 15:2, 22:4, 30:14 thrown [3] - 10:4, 13:23, 14:3 thumb [1] - 23:23 thumbs [1] - 28:9 ticking [1] - 92:14 tie [1] - 14:9 tight [1] - 156:15 timeline [2] - 104:13, 117:22 timer [1] - 8:17 timing [2] - 157:24, 158:10 tiny [2] - 112:19, 128:18 tip [1] - 89:14 Titus [1] - 3:10 today [12] - 9:5, 21:10, 23:21, 45:15, 67:23, 80:11, 111:12, 117:8, 137:7, 147:16, 147:17, 147:22 today 's [1] - 37:10 together [12] - 13:7, 16:24, 27:13, 45:10, 46:6, 72:10, 97:22, 119:21, 127:10, 149:11, 150:19, 150:20 Toll [12] - 21:23, 22:1, 22:3, 23:2, 23:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:1, 29:20, 32:6, 43:19, 43:25 tomorrow [2] - 23:21, 158:5 ton [1] - 27:11 tonight [8] - 7:23, 30:10, 45:11, 64:8, 97:21, 133:2, 135:1, 152:1 took [1] - 92:23 tooth [1] - 43:19 top [2] - 119:16,

121:11 topic [3] - 7:9, 47:1, 79:21 topics [2] - 124:12, 127:2 total [6] - 51:9, 64:23, 65:5, 66:1, 66:2, 67:11 touched [1] - 23:20 toward [3] - 52:15, 97:25,99:16 towards [6] - 52:4, 53:24, 66:6, 67:12, 122:4, 147:3 town [52] - 17:8, 18:8, 20:2, 27:14, 27:23, 28:4, 28:5, 28:10, 28:11, 40:3, 41:10, 48:24, 49:19, 49:25, 52:23, 52:25, 56:11, 60:18, 60:19, 80:6, 83:9, 84:4, 84:5, 84:7, 84:8, 84:9, 85:5, 92:16, 94:13, 96:6, 98:1, 102:16, 103:9, 105:22, 105:24, 108:7, 108:9, 109:13, 109:20, 110:1, 110:14, 111:24, 113:14, 114:20, 128:17, 129:10, 131:17, 136:1, 141:7, 159:12 town 's [1] - 52:4 towns [8] - 17:20, 19:18, 48:24, 53:4, 70:19, 72:9, 97:12, 118:25 Township [54] - 1:24, 2:9, 4:4, 4:11, 4:12, 4:14, 9:8, 9:18, 13:3, 13:7, 15:20, 22:14, 22:16, 22:20, 23:8, 23:12, 25:18, 26:7, 32:17, 32:20, 38:18, 47:24, 48:9, 48:17, 48:21, 50:5, 50:21, 51:3, 51:7, 51:21, 53:10, 57:8, 58:20, 58:25, 59:3, 60:2, 60:7, 61:12, 61:13, 63:17, 65:12, 65:17, 65:18, 67:3, 67:5, 71:22, 76:18, 76:20, 80:20, 123:2, 124:2, 140:17, 158:2 **TOWNSHIP** [3] - 1:1, 1:5. 2:7 township [15] - 23:13,

I	1			
38:17, 59:21, 59:23,	38:8, 43:12, 47:2,	understood [1] - 24:3	up [100] - 7:22, 8:3,	various [6] - 25:3,
100:13, 101:17,	73:20, 90:9, 92:17,	Understood [1] - 24.5	8:6, 9:2, 11:2, 11:21,	25:11, 26:14, 76:13,
104:17, 104:18,	97:22, 119:1, 140:6,		13:16, 14:5, 14:6,	97:10, 118:25
111:25, 121:19,	142:15, 144:15,		18:14, 18:18, 19:3,	venture [2] - 95:12
123:3, 123:11,	147:4	62:6, 62:13, 62:18,	19:14, 19:16, 19:20,	
123:21, 127:11,	tubs [1] - 75:7	62:21	19:14, 19:10, 19:20, 19:22, 19:23, 20:1,	versa [1] - 16:14
158:14		UNISON [1] - 160:24		versus [4] - 49:11,
Township 's [2] - 28:3,	tuned [1] - 108:12	unit [6] - 50:16, 50:18,	20:2, 21:14, 21:15, 23:1, 25:17, 28:9,	54:4, 56:5, 69:25
45:7	turn [5] - 5:2, 7:23,	52:9, 54:11, 56:19,	28:10, 29:11, 29:12,	viability [2] - 39:23,
45.7 township 's [2] -	8:25, 32:10, 45:1	85:21	30:1, 30:18, 30:20,	70:22
153:14, 154:5	turns [1] - 116:13	United [1] - 84:4	31:19, 32:14, 32:16,	viable [4] - 41:11,
	two [30] - 19:25,	units [129] - 19:20,	33:20, 34:18, 35:12,	71:2, 96:16, 97:4
TOWNSHIP 'S [1] - 1:5	22:19, 35:17, 38:7,	19:21, 20:2, 22:5,	41:21, 44:4, 44:10,	VICE [41] - 1:14, 6:5,
townships [1] - 74:13 tract [4] - 22:25, 23:9,	38:9, 48:14, 53:21, 54:10, 55:1, 62:25	22:9, 22:10, 22:11,	49:3, 49:9, 49:11,	46:22, 47:5, 47:11,
92:23, 143:12	54:10, 55:1, 62:25, 66:14, 72:3, 73:5,	22:12, 22:16, 23:4,	49:12, 50:22, 53:16,	64:23, 65:1, 65:4,
traditional [1] - 40:5	73:8, 73:21, 81:21,	23:5, 25:22, 25:23,	53:25, 56:24, 60:15,	66:13, 66:18, 74:18,
traffic [13] - 25:5,	82:3, 84:14, 84:19,	29:13, 29:14, 29:16, 34:3, 34:6, 34:18,	61:22, 62:10, 63:4,	76:14, 76:23, 77:4, 77:16, 77:22, 78:11,
37:16, 84:12, 91:13,	84:20, 85:20, 103:7,	34.3, 34.6, 34.18, 35:5, 36:11, 36:16,	63:7, 69:12, 72:1,	105:16, 106:6,
99:11, 99:14, 99:24,	114:13, 116:13,	36:19, 36:22, 36:23,	72:23, 76:3, 76:11,	122:14, 122:16,
99.11, 99.14, 99.24, 100:2, 104:1, 136:9,	128:14, 128:21,	42:12, 43:1, 43:23,	78:2, 78:14, 80:2,	125:4, 125:6,
136:25, 137:17,	159:1, 160:9, 160:10	42.12, 43.1, 43.23, 44:12, 44:17, 44:24,	80:20, 80:25, 81:7,	125.4, 125.6, 127:22, 134:1,
138:15	two -and -a-half [4] -	44.12, 44.17, 44.24, 45:21, 48:10, 48:16,	81:8, 81:21, 81:23,	135:3, 135:20,
transcript [1] - 162:10	72:3, 73:5, 73:8,	49:24, 49:25, 50:15,	82:16, 85:12, 88:6,	136:14, 137:17,
TRANSCRIPT [1] - 1:7	73:21	50:25, 51:4, 51:8,	90:2, 92:11, 92:21,	137:25, 138:7,
transition [1] - 124:19	two-thirds [1] - 19:25	51:9, 51:10, 51:13,	95:15, 100:11,	141:11, 141:22,
transportation [1] -	type [4] - 45:19, 47:21,	51:15, 51:18, 51:23,	100:19, 105:21,	145:23, 146:5,
104:2	130:12, 136:9	52:12, 52:23, 52:25,	105:23, 106:10,	146:9, 152:9,
treatment [7] - 75:23,	types [1] - 118:12	53:12, 53:13, 53:14,	112:2, 113:16,	154:17, 155:21,
79:12, 82:8, 112:2,	typically [4] - 141:19,	53:18, 53:20, 53:23,	113:21, 114:11,	155:24, 160:11
113:5, 146:1, 146:4	151:16, 151:19,	54:4, 54:6, 54:9,	114:18, 116:10,	Vice [2] - 6:4, 155:23
tree [2] - 81:16	151:22	54:25, 55:2, 55:25,	116:16, 116:25,	vice [1] - 16:14
trees [2] - 87:8, 87:10	101.22	56:9, 56:11, 56:14,	123:24, 129:13,	VICE -CHAIRMAN [41]
tremendous [1] -	U	56:15, 56:18, 56:22,	133:23, 134:7,	- 1:14, 6:5, 46:22,
147:25	0	56:23, 57:14, 57:22,	143:9, 143:11,	47:5, 47:11, 64:23,
Trenton [2] - 4:11,	U.S [2] - 90:5, 90:6	57:23, 58:4, 59:21,	146:10, 146:16,	65:1, 65:4, 66:13,
81:8	ultimately [11] - 18:9,	64:13, 64:14, 64:21,	146:25, 147:2,	66:18, 74:18, 76:14,
trial [15] - 18:9, 18:12,	20:7, 35:6, 66:19,	65:5, 65:16, 65:18,	149:23, 158:17,	76:23, 77:4, 77:16,
18:19, 19:1, 19:13,	78:14, 79:9, 79:11,	66:1, 66:3, 66:4,	159:12	77:22, 78:11,
20:8, 21:19, 34:9,	135:4, 135:11,	66:10, 66:21, 70:1,	update [1] - 71:23	105:16, 106:6,
34:10, 34:11, 34:15,	136:18, 146:2	70:4, 70:10, 70:14,	upfront [2] - 59:9,	122:14, 122:16,
34:16, 35:4, 35:8,	um-hum [1] - 42:23	71:17, 74:22, 75:10,	59:11	125:4, 125:6,
35:11	umbrella [1] - 48:15	75:20, 75:21, 77:2,	upgrading [1] - 75:4	127:22, 134:1,
tried [4] - 15:15,	unacceptable [1] -	77:5, 78:9, 78:16,	upkeep [1] - 79:17	135:3, 135:20,
44:11, 84:9, 89:20	137:9	78:23, 79:4, 79:15,	upset [1] - 91:15	136:14, 137:17,
tries [1] - 81:1	uncertainty [1] - 70:21	84:13, 88:4, 88:12,	urban [1] - 86:18	137:25, 138:7,
triple [1] - 85:13	unconscionable [1] -	88:15,88:19,96:25,	usable [1] - 124:18	141:11, 141:22,
tripled [1] - 33:22	12:3	114:14, 143:23,	utilities [4] - 58:18,	145:23, 146:5,
true [4] - 31:22, 31:25,	unconstitutional [4] -	145:2, 145:6, 145:8,	59:2, 60:1, 67:16	146:9, 152:9,
132:8, 162:10	10:3, 10:18, 14:3,	145:11, 145:20,		154:17, 155:21,
trust [7] - 67:13,	52:21	146:15, 146:17,	V	155:24, 160:11
72:17, 74:14, 74:15,	under [15] - 11:18,	146:18, 146:19,	N-H	Vice - Chairman [2] -
80:19, 139:22	13:21, 15:6, 15:18,	146:24, 147:1,	Valley [3] - 52:6,	6:4, 155:23
truth [3] - 162:7, 162:8	22:18, 25:14, 26:6,	147:5, 147:9	54:24, 55:5	videotaping [1] - 4:14
try [12] - 8:14, 19:2,	26:8, 35:16, 35:23,	unless [8] - 8:23, 31:2,	valuable [1] - 97:20	VIII [1] - 123:4
	51:5, 110:6, 112:22,	41:19, 44:7, 71:15,	value [5] - 59:22,	Village [4] - 57:19,
21:20, 44:22, 81:9,			67:12,96:22,	88:3, 88:8, 88:16
21:20, 44:22, 81:9, 93:11, 94:9, 99:1,	117:6, 160:5	119:13, 130:4		
	underlying [1] -	unlikely [1] - 20:9	100:23, 129:10	violated [1] - 136:2
93:11, 94:9, 99:1,	underlying [1] - 136:21	unlikely [1] - 20:9 unrealistic [1] - 17:13	100:23, 129:10 variances [1] - 159:3	violated [1] - 136:2 violation [2] - 132:13,
93:11, 94:9, 99:1, 112:19, 113:13,	underlying [1] - 136:21 underneath [1] -	unlikely [1] - 20:9 unrealistic [1] - 17:13 unreasonable [2] -	100:23, 129:10 variances [1] - 159:3 variety [2] - 25:2,	violated [1] - 136:2 violation [2] - 132:13, 132:20
93:11, 94:9, 99:1, 112:19, 113:13, 119:16, 140:19	underlying [1] - 136:21	unlikely [1] - 20:9 unrealistic [1] - 17:13	100:23, 129:10 variances [1] - 159:3	violated [1] - 136:2 violation [2] - 132:13,

vision [1] - 82:17 visual [1] - 45:19 volume [1] - 125:23 **vote** [17] - 130:16, 140:5, 140:8, 140:9, 140:10, 140:11, 147:17, 147:23, 151:6, 151:8, 151:10, 151:15, 153:4, 153:8, 154:23, 156:22 **voted** [2] - 157:11, 158:8 votes [1] - 151:9 **voting** [3] - 140:25, 142:10, 154:12 **vouch** [1] - 160:15 vulnerable [1] -128:18 W wait [2] - 23:17, 136:10 waiting [1] - 14:13 walk [5] - 48:21, 77:18, 85:7, 115:17, 116:10 walked [1] - 87:4 walking [1] - 85:9 walkways [1] - 99:12 wall [1] - 21:25 wants [6] - 15:5, 28:12, 80:21, 129:14, 140:17, 140:20 warehouse [5] - 26:5, 59:20, 63:22, 63:24, 114:25 was.. [1] - 111:1 waste [1] - 129:3 wastewater [4] -77:10, 77:11, 79:18, 82:8 watchdogs [1] - 98:13 water [36] - 23:7, 23:10, 23:17, 26:3, 32:3, 39:13, 40:14, 87:6, 87:7, 87:10, 87:12,98:11, 112:17, 113:6, 113:7, 113:21, 113:23, 114:5, 114:9, 115:24, 117:10, 117:11, 123:12, 123:15, 123:16, 123:18, 123:20, 125:14, 125:15, 125:19, 125:21, 126:6,

126:7, 126:11, 126:18, 150:5 Watershed [5] - 117:5, 117:7, 118:18, 119:18, 159:11 watershed [2] -118:24, 127:25 ways [1] - 93:5 weakening [1] -148:12 wearing [3] - 37:25, 38:3, 111:5 weather [1] - 112:22 website [2] - 110:14 Wednesday [1] - 2:9 WEDNESDAY [1] - 1:8 week [2] - 15:23, 119:3 weigh [2] - 107:8, 159:25 weight [2] - 156:3, 156:21 welcome [5] - 4:3, 8:7, 69:16, 103:12, 151:9 wells [3] - 113:5, 113:23, 141:3 West [5] - 33:10, 33:11, 33:16, 33:24, 33:25 wet [2] - 115:18, 139:13 wetland [3] - 105:12, 108:19, 126:8 wetlands [36] - 40:15, 40:23, 68:9, 81:24, 87:2, 96:19, 96:22, 96:23, 97:11, 100:23, 105:12, 108:20, 109:12, 111:19, 112:3, 113:22, 115:17, 115:18, 117:10, 121:11, 124:22, 125:1, 125:10, 125:17, 125:18, 126:3, 126:8, 126:10, 126:17, 135:9, 135:18, 138:18, 139:19, 141:24, 142:6 whereas [1] - 23:8 white [7] - 28:4, 33:8, 38:1, 38:3, 111:5, 116:9, 143:14 whitewash [1] -114:15 whole [12] - 23:11, 38:6, 44:10, 68:18, 76:9, 94:2, 94:21, 94:23, 114:19,

147:24, 148:1, 162:8 wide [1] - 99:20 widen [4] - 101:17, 102:1, 102:7, 102:16 widened [5] - 86:20, 101:23, 103:2, 115:3, 137:16 widening [5] - 86:13, 101:13, 102:2, 102:18, 137:20 wider [4] - 68:15, 97:14, 102:7, 136:16 willing [3] - 31:16, 124:8, 129:11 wind [2] - 19:14, 76:11 **window** [2] - 30:20, 30.22 Windsor [8] - 33:10, 33:11, 33:17, 33:25, 34:1, 84:24, 114:22, 116:15 winner [2] - 139:21, 139:23 wisdom [1] - 19:10 wish [2] - 94:13, 133:18 withdraw [3] - 28:15, 28:16, 132:23 witnesses [2] - 11:8, 162:7 Wolfson [1] - 35:17 won [1] - 91:14 wonder [1] - 85:18 wonderful [1] - 147:15 wondering [1] - 75:15 woodlands [1] - 91:2 Woods [1] - 58:1 word [2] - 83:7, 96:11 words [2] - 134:3, 152:11 works [1] - 39:13 worried [1] - 95:9 worse [2] - 28:20, 28.25 worth [4] - 20:8, 49:13, 137:23, 145:25 wound [4] - 33:20, 146:16, 146:25, 147.2 written [1] - 132:6 wrote [1] - 82:16 Х XXXV [1] - 122:8 Υ year [15] - 12:23,

25:20, 46:11, 46:14, 72:2, 72:15, 72:24, 73:2, 73:7, 73:17, 73:21, 90:6, 98:12, 108:6, 110:9 years [25] - 13:13, 14:14, 20:24, 21:1, 26:6, 28:6, 30:23, 45:10, 54:17, 55:18, 57:17, 65:13, 80:19, 83:3, 83:18, 86:3, 87:8, 88:11, 92:3, 114:13, 128:20, 129:13, 141:13, 141:15 yeshiva [2] - 91:9, 128:22 yesterday [1] - 23:21 yields [1] - 64:17 Ζ zero [1] - 148:18 zigzag [2] - 142:14 **ZINER** [23] - 1:15, 6:9, 30:8, 30:19, 32:15, 41:22, 42:18, 42:23, 43:6, 43:12, 70:9, 109:23, 109:25, 111:4, 111:7, 126:12, 130:1, 132:5, 147:15, 148:21, 155:19, 160:15, 160:21 **Ziner** [2] - 6:8, 155:18 Zone [1] - 82:13 **zone** [11] - 22:12, 81:6, 81:13, 82:19, 82:21, 96:19, 96:23, 104:24, 105:22, 105:24, 117:25 zoned [1] - 110:11 zones [2] - 96:21, 105:13 **zoning** [43] - 10:2, 22:6, 22:8, 24:5, 26:7, 71:18, 81:4, 90:18, 90:19, 90:23, 91:6, 91:19, 91:21, 95:3, 104:23, 104:24, 105:5, 106:2, 106:5, 106:6, 106:14, 106:22, 107:2, 107:13, 108:7, 108:10, 108:11, 109:15, 109:17, 111:17, 111:18, 111:23, 116:1, 116:4, 117:25, 122:11,

131:18, 133:3, 133:6, 134:16, 134:18